

# CDC Clinical Practice Guideline for Prescribing Opioids—United States, 2022

Prepared by  
Deborah Dowell, MD<sup>1</sup>  
Kathleen R. Ragan, MSPH<sup>1</sup>  
Christopher M. Jones, PharmD, DrPH<sup>2</sup>  
Grant T. Baldwin, PhD<sup>1</sup>  
Roger Chou, MD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC, Atlanta, Georgia

<sup>2</sup>Office of the Director, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC Atlanta, Georgia

<sup>3</sup>Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center and Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon

## CONTENTS

[Introduction](#)

[Methods for clinical practice guideline development](#)

[Summary of findings for clinical questions](#)

[Contextual evidence reviews](#)

[Recommendations](#)

[Conclusions and future directions](#)

[References](#)

[Box 1: CDC recommendations for prescribing opioids for outpatients with pain outside of sickle cell disease-related pain management, cancer pain treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care](#)

[Box 2: Interpretation of recommendation categories and evidence type](#)

**This clinical practice guideline is**

- A clinical tool to improve communication between clinicians and patients and empower them to make informed, person-centered decisions related to pain care together
- Intended for primary care clinicians and other clinicians providing pain care for outpatients aged ≥18 years old with:
  - acute pain (duration <1 month);
  - subacute pain (duration of 1-3 months); or
  - chronic pain (duration of >3 months)
- Intended to be flexible to enable person-centered decision-making, taking into account an individual's expected health outcomes and well-being.

**This clinical practice guideline is not**

- A replacement for clinical judgment or individualized, person-centered care
- Intended to be applied as inflexible standards of care across patients, and/or patient populations by healthcare professionals, health systems, pharmacies, third-party payers, or governmental jurisdictions or to lead to the rapid tapering or discontinuation of opioids for patients
- A law, regulation, and/or policy that dictates clinical practice or a substitute for FDA-approved labeling
- Applicable to the following types of pain treatment:
  - sickle cell disease-related pain;
  - cancer pain;
  - palliative care; or
  - end-of-life care

27

28

**Summary**

29

This clinical practice guideline updates and expands the CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids

30

for Chronic Pain — United States, 2016 (Dowell, Haegerich, & Chou, 2016) and provides evidence-based

31

recommendations for clinicians providing pain care, including those prescribing opioids, for outpatients

32

aged ≥18 years with acute pain (duration <1 month), subacute (duration of 1-3 months) pain, or chronic

33

(duration of >3 months) pain, and excluding of sickle cell disease-related pain management, cancer pain

treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care. Content on use of opioids for acute pain and on tapering opioids for patients already receiving higher dosages for subacute or chronic pain has been substantially expanded. This update includes recommendations for primary care and other clinicians (including physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, and oral health practitioners) managing pain in outpatient settings. Applicable settings include clinician offices, clinics, and urgent care centers. The recommendations do not apply to inpatient care received while hospitalized or to care received while in an emergency department or other observational setting from which a patient might be admitted to inpatient care but do apply to prescribing for pain management upon discharge (from emergency departments, hospitals, or other facilities).

This clinical practice guideline addresses:

- 1) Determining whether or not to initiate opioids for pain;
- 2) Opioid selection and dosage;
- 3) Opioid duration and follow-up; and
- 4) Assessing risk and addressing potential harms of opioid use.

CDC developed this clinical practice guideline using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework, and recommendations are made based on a systematic review of the available scientific evidence while considering benefits and harms, patients', caregivers', and clinicians' values and preferences, and resource allocation (e.g., costs to patients or health systems, including clinician time). As described in more detail below, CDC obtained input on this updated clinical practice guideline in a wide variety of avenues including conversations with patients, caregivers, and clinicians, through *Federal Register* notices and comments from the public, peer reviewers, and a federally chartered advisory committee.

The clinical evidence reviews found that nonopioid therapies are effective for many common types of acute pain and found insufficient evidence to determine long-term (>1 year) benefits of opioid therapy for chronic pain. Recommendations include that opioids should be used only when benefits for pain and function are expected to outweigh risks. Before starting opioids for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should discuss with patients the known risks and realistic benefits of opioid therapy, work with patients to establish treatment goals for pain and function and consider how opioid therapy will be discontinued if benefits do not outweigh risks. When opioids are initiated, clinicians should prescribe the lowest effective dosage of immediate-release opioids for no longer than needed for the expected duration of pain severe enough to require opioids. During ongoing opioid therapy, clinicians should collaborate with patients to evaluate and carefully weigh benefits and risks of continuing opioid therapy and exercise care when increasing, continuing, or reducing opioid dosage. Before starting and periodically during continuation of opioid therapy, clinicians should evaluate risk for opioid-related harms and should work with patients to incorporate relevant strategies to mitigate risk, including offering naloxone when factors that increase risk for opioid overdose are present, and reviewing potential interactions with any other prescribed medications or substances used. Clinicians should offer or arrange treatment with medication for patients with opioid use disorder.

It is imperative that people with pain receive the most appropriate and effective pain treatment with careful consideration of the benefits and risks of all treatment options. Clinicians should collaborate with patients when making treatment decisions and designing a treatment plan, including when initiating or changing pain management strategies and, particularly, when considering initiating, increasing, tapering, or discontinuing opioids. Clinicians should avoid abrupt discontinuation of opioids, especially for patients receiving high dosages of opioids, should avoid dismissing patients from care, and should ensure (provide or arrange) appropriate care for patients with pain and patients with

complications from opioid use (e.g., opioid use disorder). Special attention should be given to ensure high quality and equitable care across sociodemographic groups, for example, through linguistically tailored care and cost assistance programs to ensure access to appropriate pharmacotherapy, psychological support, and physical therapy as needed. This voluntary clinical practice guideline provides recommendations only and is intended to be flexible to support, not supplant, clinical judgment and individualized, person-centered decision-making. This clinical practice guideline should not be applied as inflexible standards of care across patient populations by healthcare professionals, health systems, pharmacies, third-party payers, or state, local, and federal organizations or entities.

This clinical practice guideline is intended to improve communication between clinicians and patients about the risks and benefits of pain treatment, including opioid therapy for pain, improve the safety and effectiveness of pain treatment, mitigate pain, and improve function and quality of life for patients with pain, and reduce risks associated with opioid therapy, including opioid use disorder, overdose, and death.

## **Introduction**

### **Background**

Pain is one of the most common reasons adults seek medical care in the United States (Schappert & Burt, 2006). Acute pain, a nearly universal experience, is a physiologic response to noxious stimuli that can become pathologic, is normally sudden in onset, time limited (<1 month), and often caused by injury, trauma, or medical treatments such as surgery (Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education, 2011; Tighe et al., 2015). Chronic pain, defined in this clinical practice guideline as pain that typically lasts greater than three months or past the time of normal tissue healing, is often interlinked with acute pain (International Association for the Study of Pain, 1986). Chronic pain can be the result of an underlying medical disease or condition, injury, medical

treatment, inflammation, or an unknown cause (Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education, 2011). It is estimated that approximately 1 in 5 U.S. adults had chronic pain in 2019, and approximately 1 in 14 adults experienced “high-impact” chronic pain, defined as having pain most days or every day in the past three months that limited life or work activities (Zelaya, Dahlhamer, Lucas, & Connor, 2020). Pain, especially chronic pain, can impact almost every aspect of an individual’s life, leading to impaired physical functioning, poor mental health, and reduced quality of life, and contributes to substantial morbidity each year (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). In 2011, the economic costs of chronic pain were estimated to range from \$560 to \$635 billion in annual direct medical costs, lost productivity, and disability (Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education, 2011).

Pain is a complex phenomenon that is influenced by multiple factors, including biological, psychological, and social factors (Chou et al., April 2020). Given this complexity, there is substantial heterogeneity in the effectiveness of various pain treatments depending on the type of underlying pain or condition being treated (Chou et al., April 2020; Chou et al., December 2020; Halker Singh et al., December 2020; McDonagh et al., April 2020; Skelly et al., April 2020). Patients may experience persistent pain that is not well controlled (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). In addition, chronic pain often co-occurs with behavioral health conditions, including mental and substance use disorders (Hooten, 2016; Morasco et al., 2011); suicidal ideation also is common among patients with chronic pain (Racine, 2018; M. T. Smith, Edwards, Robinson, & Dworkin, 2004). Data from death investigations in 18 states between 2003 and 2014 indicate that at least 9% of suicide decedents had evidence of having chronic pain at the time of their death, although this is likely an underestimate given limitations of the underlying data sources used in the study (Petrosky et al., 2018). These factors and potentially deleterious outcomes associated with chronic pain for some individuals add to the clinical complexity and underscore the importance of adequately treating and caring for people with pain. Thus,

prevention, assessment, and treatment of pain is a persistent challenge for clinicians. Pain may go unrecognized, and some individuals — in particular members of some marginalized racial and ethnic groups, women, older persons, people with cognitive impairment, individuals with mental and substance use disorders, and individuals with cancer and at the end-of-life or those with sickle cell disease — can be at risk for inadequate pain treatment (Bazargan, Yazdanshenas, Gordon, & Orum, 2016; Becker et al., 2017; C Evans, Bazargan, Cobb, & Assari, 2019; Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education, 2011; Rupp & Delaney, 2004; Simon, Snow, & Wakeman, 2020; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b; Yazdanshenas et al., 2016).

While there is significant opportunity for improvement in pain management broadly across the United States, data underline particular opportunities for attending to specific, long-standing health disparities (Joynt et al., 2013; Ly, 2019; Morden, Chyn, Wood, & Meara, 2021) in the treatment of pain. For example, patients who identify as Black, Latino, and Asian have been found to receive fewer postpartum pain assessments relative to White patients (Bazargan et al., 2016; C Evans et al., 2019; J. D. Johnson et al., 2019; Rupp & Delaney, 2004; Simon et al., 2020; Yazdanshenas et al., 2016). Black (Goyal, Kuppermann, Cleary, Teach, & Chamberlain, 2015; P. Lee et al., 2019) and Latino (P. Lee et al., 2019) patients are less likely to receive analgesia for acute pain. Among Black and White patients receiving opioids for pain, Black patients are less likely to be referred to a pain specialist and receive prescription opioids at lower dosages than White patients (Hausmann, Gao, Lee, & Kwoh, 2013; Morden et al., 2021). Racial/ethnic differences remain even after adjusting for access-related factors, as well as the needs and preferences of patients, and the appropriateness of the intervention (Ly, 2019). These disparities appear to be further magnified if patients from some racial and ethnic groups reside in socioeconomically disadvantaged neighborhoods (Joynt et al., 2013). Women may be at higher risk for inadequate pain management (Majedi et al., 2019) although they have higher opioid prescription fill rates (Schieber, Guy, Seth, & Losby, 2020) than men at a population level. In addition, geographic disparities contribute to

increased use of opioids for conditions for which nonopioid treatment options may be preferred but may be less available. For example, compared to adults living in nonrural areas, adults living in rural areas are significantly more likely to be prescribed opioids for chronic nonmalignant pain (Prunuske et al., 2014). Despite the fact that American Indian/Alaska Native, non-Hispanic and White, non-Hispanic populations have experienced much higher rates of prescription opioid-related overdose deaths than Black, non-Hispanic, Hispanic, or Asian/Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic populations (Wilson, Kariisa, Seth, Smith IV, & Davis, 2020), there is evidence that application of safeguards in opioid prescribing are disproportionately applied to Black patients. Black patients in one study were more likely than White patients to receive regular office visits and have restricted early refills (Becker et al., 2011), and clinicians in another study were substantially more likely to discontinue opioids given evidence of misuse when patients were Black compared to when patients were White (Gaither et al., 2018). Pain being differentially untreated or undertreated as a result of clinician biases persists and demands immediate and sustained attention and action (Ghoshal, Shapiro, Todd, & Schatman, 2020; Nelson & Hackman, 2013; Pletcher, Kertesz, Kohn, & Gonzales, 2008; Soares, Knowles, & Friedmann, 2019).

Given the clinical, psychological, and social consequences associated with pain including limitations in activities, lost work productivity, reduced quality of life, and pervasive stigma, it is essential that clinicians have the training, education, guidance, and resources to provide appropriate, holistic, and compassionate care for patients with pain (Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education, 2011; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). A key aim of pain management is the provision of person-centered care, including the proper evaluation to establish a diagnosis, with measurable outcomes that focus on optimizing function and quality of life, that is built on a foundation of trust between patients and clinicians (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). To achieve this aim, it is important that clinicians consider the full range of pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatments for pain care, and health systems, payers, and



governmental programs and entities make the full spectrum of evidence-based treatments accessible to patients with pain and their treating clinicians.

The range of therapeutic options that might benefit patients has historically been inaccessible to many due to a variety of factors, including inadequate clinician education, training, and guidance, unconscious bias, a shortage of pain management specialists, insufficient access to treatment modalities such as behavioral therapy, siloed health systems, insurance coverage and reimbursement policies, and lack of clarity around the evidence supporting different pain treatments (Becker et al., 2017; Benzing, Bell, Derazin, Mack, & MacIntosh, 2020; Heyward et al., 2018; Jamison, Sheehan, Scanlan, Matthews, & Ross, 2014; D. H. Lin et al., 2018; Sabin & Greenwald, 2012; Saluja & Bryant, 2021; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). In part due to these factors affecting access to a wide range of treatment modalities, for many years, medications such as prescription opioids have been the mainstay to treat pain, despite very limited evidence to support their long-term (> 1 year) benefits, with most placebo-controlled trials shorter than 6 weeks in duration (Chou et al., September 2014; Dahlhamer, Connor, Bose, Lucas, & Zelaya, 2021; Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education, 2011; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b).

While opioids can be essential medications for the management of pain, they carry significant potential risk. A systematic review published in 2014 by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) found insufficient evidence to demonstrate long-term benefits of prescription opioid treatment for chronic pain, and also that long-term prescription opioid use was associated with increased risk of overdose and opioid misuse, among other risks, with some, such as overdose, being dose dependent (Chou et al., September 2014). Based on accumulating evidence of potential risks for patients, in 2014 the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) required new safety labeling changes for extended-release and long-acting opioids to include a boxed warning on the risks of addiction, abuse, and misuse which can potentially lead to overdose and death, as well as the risk for neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome

among patients receiving opioids during pregnancy (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2014a). These warnings were subsequently added to the labels for immediate-release opioids in 2016 (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2016).

In addition to the potential risks for patients prescribed opioids, these medications carry risks due to their potential for diversion and nonmedical use among individuals to whom they were not prescribed (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021a). In the United States, opioid prescribing increased four-fold between 1999 and 2010, and this increase was paralleled by a nearly four-fold increase in overdose deaths involving prescription opioids during the same time period (Paulozzi, Jones, Mack, & Rudd, 2011) as well as increases in prescription opioid use disorder (Han, Compton, Jones, & Cai, 2015). In addition to the overall volume of opioid prescriptions increasing during this period, how opioids were prescribed also changed, with opioids increasingly prescribed at higher dosages and for longer durations — prescribing behaviors associated with opioid use disorder and overdose (Bohnert et al., 2011; Edlund et al., 2014). Thus, the limited evidence of long-term effectiveness of opioids for chronic pain coupled with risks for patients and for people using prescription opioids that were not prescribed to them underscored the importance of reducing inappropriate opioid prescribing, while at the same time advancing evidence-based pain care to improve the lives of people living with pain.

Recognizing the need for a national guideline on pain management that could improve appropriate opioid prescribing while minimizing opioid-related risks, CDC released the CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain in 2016 (referred to as the 2016 CDC Guideline hereafter). The 2016 CDC Guideline included 12 recommendations for the prescribing of opioids by primary care clinicians for chronic pain in outpatient settings outside of active cancer treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care (Dowell et al., 2016). The recommendations in the 2016 CDC Guideline were based on a systematic review of the best available evidence at the time, along with input from experts and from the public,

and review and deliberation by a federally chartered advisory committee. The ultimate goal of the 2016 CDC Guideline was: 1) to ensure that clinicians and patients considered safer and more effective pain treatment, 2) improve patient outcomes such as reduced pain and improved function, and 3) reduce the number of persons who developed opioid use disorder, overdose, or experienced other prescription opioid-related adverse events (Dowell et al., 2016). To facilitate uptake of the 2016 CDC Guideline into clinical practice, CDC employed a broad-reaching implementation strategy that included clinician education and training, partnerships with health systems and payers, and multiple clinical tools and fact sheets (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021b).

While the number of overall opioid prescriptions in the United States had been declining since 2012, the release of the 2016 CDC Guideline furthered these declines. The timing of its release was associated with accelerated decreases in overall opioid prescribing and declines in high-risk prescribing behaviors cautioned against in the 2016 CDC Guideline, such as high-dose opioid prescribing and the concurrent prescribing of opioids and benzodiazepines (Bohnert, Guy, & Losby, 2018). Though not the intent of the 2016 CDC Guideline, design and implementation of new laws, regulations, and policies also drew from its recommendations. As one example since 2016, consistent with SUPPORT ACT requirements, many state Medicaid programs have used the guideline as well as other resources in creating opioid edits in their pharmacy programs (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2019). More than half of all states have passed legislation that limits initial opioid prescriptions for acute pain to a seven day supply or less (National Conference of State Legislatures, June 30, 2019.), and many insurers, pharmacy benefit managers, and pharmacies also have enacted similar policies (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2020). In addition, at least 17 states have passed laws that require the co-prescription of naloxone when risk factors such as high doses of opioids or concomitant opioids and benzodiazepines are prescribed (Haffajee, Cherney, & Smart, 2020).

While some laws, regulations, and policies that were derived from the 2016 CDC Guideline might have had positive results for some patients, a central tenet of the 2016 CDC Guideline was that the recommendations are voluntary and are intended to be flexible to support, not supplant, individualized, patient-centered care. Of particular concern, some policies that were purportedly drawn from the 2016 CDC Guideline have, in fact, been notably inconsistent with the 2016 CDC Guideline and have gone well beyond its clinical recommendations (Dowell, Haegerich, & Chou, 2019; Kroenke et al., 2019; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). Such misapplication includes extension of the 2016 CDC Guideline to patient populations not covered in the 2016 CDC Guideline (e.g., cancer and palliative care), opioid tapers and abrupt discontinuation without collaboration with patients, rigid application of opioid dosage thresholds, application of the Guideline's recommendations for opioid use for pain to medications for opioid use disorder treatment (previously referred to as medication assisted treatment), duration limits by insurers and by pharmacies, and patient dismissal and abandonment (Dowell, Haegerich, et al., 2019; Kroenke et al., 2019; U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019c). These actions are not consistent with the 2016 CDC Guideline and have contributed to patient harm, including untreated and undertreated pain, serious withdrawal symptoms, worsening pain outcomes, psychological distress, overdose, and suicidal ideation and behavior (Coffin et al., 2020; Demidenko et al., 2017; Dowell, Haegerich, et al., 2019; Kroenke et al., 2019; Mark & Parish, 2019; U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019c).

## **Rationale**

New evidence on the risks and benefits of prescription opioids for both acute and chronic pain, comparisons with nonopioid pain treatments, dosing strategies, opioid dose-response relationships, risk mitigation strategies, and opioid tapering and discontinuation has emerged since release of the 2016 CDC Guideline (Chou et al., April 2020; Chou et al., December 2020; Halker Singh et al., December 2020;

McDonagh et al., April 2020; Skelly et al., April 2020). In particular, studies have been published on misapplication of the 2016 CDC Guideline (Kroenke et al., 2019); benefits and risks of different tapering strategies and rapid tapering associated with patient harm (K. S. Gordon et al., 2020; James et al., 2019; Mark & Parish, 2019; U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019c); challenges in patient access to opioids (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b); patient abandonment and abrupt discontinuation of opioids (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b); a seminal randomized clinical trial comparing prescription opioids to nonopioid medications on long-term pain outcomes (E. E. Krebs et al., 2018); the association of characteristics of initial opioid prescriptions with subsequent likelihood for long-term opioid use (Deyo et al., 2017; Shah, Hayes, & Martin, 2017); and that many patients use a small proportion of opioids prescribed to them for postoperative pain (Hill, McMahon, Stucke, & Barth, 2017; Hill, Stucke, McMahon, Beeman, & Barth, 2018; Howard, Waljee, Brummett, Englesbe, & Lee, 2018).

Opioid prescribing has been declining since 2012, with the decline sharply accelerated after release of the 2016 CDC Guideline; however, these medications remain a common treatment for pain. In 2015-2018, approximately 6% of U.S. adults reported use of one or more prescription opioids in the past 30 days (Hales, Martin, & Gu, 2020), and in 2020, approximately 143 million opioid prescriptions were dispensed from pharmacies in the United States (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021c). In addition, rates of opioid prescribing continue to vary across states, medical specialties, patient demographics, and pain conditions in ways that cannot be explained by the underlying health status of the population and are often discordant with the 2016 CDC Guideline recommendations (Guy & Zhang, 2018; Hill et al., 2017; Ly, 2019; Mikosz et al., 2020; Schieber et al., 2019). The prevalence of prescription opioid misuse and opioid use disorder has also declined in recent years. Among people 12 and older in the U.S. in 2019, 9.7 million reported misuse of prescription opioids in the past year (decreased from 12.5 million in 2015), and 1.4 million met criteria for a past-year prescription opioid use disorder

(decreased from 2.0 million in 2015) (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2020); however, prescription opioids remain the most commonly misused prescription drug in the United States in 2020 (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021a). Also in 2020, it is important to note that among those reporting misuse in the past year, 64.6% reported the main reason for their most recent misuse was to “relieve physical pain” compared to 11.3% to “feel good or get high” and 2.3% “because I am hooked or have to have it” (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021a). Taken together, these factors underscore the need for an updated clinical practice guideline on appropriate opioid prescribing and pain management.

This clinical practice guideline expands and updates the 2016 CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain to provide evidence-based recommendations for the prescribing of opioid pain medication for acute, subacute, and chronic pain by clinicians for outpatients aged  $\geq 18$  years outside of sickle cell disease-related pain management, cancer pain treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care. This clinical practice guideline update leverages new data to expand content on prescription opioids for acute and subacute pain throughout the recommendations. Importantly, the update also aims to clearly delineate recommendations that apply to patients who are being considered for initial treatment with prescription opioids and those who have already been receiving opioids as part of their ongoing pain management treatment. CDC developed a draft clinical practice guideline based on five systematic reviews of the best available evidence on the benefits and risks of prescription opioids, nonopioid pharmacological treatments, and nonpharmacological treatments. As described in more detail below, the draft clinical practice guideline was reviewed by an independent Federal Advisory Committee (CDC’s Board of Scientific Counselors of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control), peer reviewers, and the public, and revised by CDC based on feedback from these reviews. In addition, insights from patients, caregivers, and clinicians via conversations held in 2020 were incorporated during the clinical practice guideline update.

This clinical practice guideline provides recommendations only. It does not replace clinical judgment and individualized, patient-centered decision-making. The recommendations are based on emerging evidence, including observational studies or randomized clinical trials with notable limitations, and thus, when providing care, they should be considered in the context of the individual clinician-patient relationship based on a shared understanding and a “whole-person approach” that considers such factors as the patient’s physical and psychological functioning, support needs, expected health outcomes and well-being, home environment, and home and work responsibilities. Flexibility for clinicians and patients is paramount when making clinical treatment decisions based on individual factors. The clinical practice guideline recommendations aim to improve communication between clinicians and patients about the risks and benefits of prescription opioids and other pain treatment strategies, improve the safety and effectiveness of pain treatment, improve pain, function, and quality of life for people with pain, and reduce the risks associated with opioid pain treatment (including opioid use disorder, overdose, and death) and with other pain treatment. Of utmost importance, this clinical practice guideline provides voluntary clinical practice recommendations for clinicians that should not be used as inflexible standards of care. The clinical practice guideline recommendations are also not intended to be implemented as absolute limits of policy or practice across populations by organizations, healthcare systems, or government entities.

#### **Scope and audience**

**This clinical practice guideline is intended for clinicians who are treating outpatients aged ≥18 years with acute (duration <1 month) pain, subacute (duration of 1-3 months) pain, or chronic (duration of >3 months) pain outside of sickle cell disease-related pain management, cancer treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care. For the purposes of this clinical practice guideline, “clinicians” refers to physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, and oral health**

practitioners. This clinical practice guideline update includes recommendations for primary care (e.g., internists, family physicians) and other (e.g., surgeons, emergency clinicians, occupational medicine and physical medicine and rehabilitation clinicians, neurologists) clinicians (including physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, and oral health practitioners managing pain in outpatient settings. Applicable settings include clinician offices, clinics, and urgent care centers. The recommendations do not apply to inpatient care received while hospitalized or to care received while in an emergency department or other observational setting from which a patient might be admitted to inpatient care but do apply to prescribing for pain management upon discharge (from emergency departments, hospitals, or other facilities). As clinicians may work within team-based care, the recommendations refer to and promote integrated pain management and collaborative working relationships with, for example, behavioral health specialists, such as social workers or psychologists, and pharmacists.

In addition to updating recommendations based on new evidence regarding management of chronic pain, this clinical practice guideline update is meant to assist clinicians in weighing benefits and risks of prescribing opioid pain medication for painful acute conditions (e.g., low back pain, neck pain, other musculoskeletal pain, neuropathic pain, dental pain, pain due to kidney stones, and acute episodic migraines) and pain related to procedures (e.g., postoperative pain, pain from oral surgery). Several of these indications were prioritized in 2020 by an ad hoc committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine, 2020) as those for which evidence-based clinical practice guidelines would help inform prescribing practices, with the greatest potential impact on public health. The clinical practice guideline has additionally been updated to include content on management of subacute painful conditions — when duration falls between that typically considered acute (defined as <1 month in this clinical practice guideline) and chronic (generally considered as >3 months). Note that the durations used to define acute, subacute, and chronic pain might imply more specificity than is found in real-life patient experience, when pain



often gradually transitions from acute to chronic pain. These time-bound definitions are not meant to be absolute, but instead to provide approximate guides to facilitate consideration and practical use of recommendations by clinicians and patients.

The 2016 CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain focused on recommendations for primary care physicians. This clinical practice guideline expands the scope of the 2016 CDC Guideline to additional clinicians. While primary care physicians prescribe approximately 37% of all opioid prescriptions, other clinicians, including pain medicine clinicians (8.9%) and dentists (8.6%), account for significant proportions of prescriptions. Pain medicine and physical medicine and rehabilitation clinicians prescribe opioids at the highest rates, followed by orthopedic and family medicine clinicians (Guy & Zhang, 2018). Thus, expanding the clinical practice guideline's scope to outpatient opioid prescribing can provide evidence-based advice for many additional clinicians, including dentists and other oral health providers, clinicians managing postoperative pain in outpatients, and clinicians providing pain management for patients being discharged from emergency departments.

Many principles of pain management are similar whether or not the treating clinician is a pain management specialist, and many of the recommendations might be relevant for pain management specialists. In addition, many pain management specialists already follow principles outlined in this clinical practice guideline. However, use by pain management specialists is not the focus of this clinical practice guideline. Pain management specialists often have extensive training and expertise in pain management modalities that other clinicians do not, and they might see patients with clinical situations that are more complex, less prevalent, and not well-addressed by the available evidence; thus, the balance of benefits and risks to patients might differ when the treating clinician is a pain management specialist treating patients with complex pain conditions.

In addition, the recommendations address the use of opioid pain medication in certain special populations (e.g., older adults and pregnant people) and in populations with conditions posing special risks (e.g., a history of substance use disorder). The recommendations do not address the use of opioid pain medication in children or adolescents aged <18 years. The available evidence concerning the benefits and risks of long-term opioid therapy in children and adolescents remains limited, and few opioid medications provide information in the labeling regarding safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients. Guidelines and recommendations are available for pain management in children with sickle cell disease (Brandow et al., 2020) and undergoing surgical procedures (Michigan Opioid Prescribing Engagement Network), and for palliative care in adolescent and young adult patients with cancer (National Comprehensive Cancer Network).

While some principles in this clinical practice guideline might be helpful in the management of pain in sickle cell disease, cancer, palliative care, and end-of-life care, some recommendations might not be relevant for patients with these conditions and receiving care in these settings. Thus, this clinical practice guideline does not apply to patients experiencing pain associated with these conditions or settings. Other guidelines more specifically address pain management for patients with these conditions (Brandow et al., 2020; Denlinger, Sanft, & Armenian; National Comprehensive Cancer Network; Paice et al., 2016; Swarm et al., 2019). This does not imply that any other types of pain are more or less worthy of effective treatment – only that they are not covered by this clinical practice guideline. This clinical practice guideline follows the Institute of Medicine’s definition of palliative care as care that provides relief from pain and other symptoms, supports quality of life, and is focused on patients with serious advanced illness (Committee on Approaching Death: Addressing Key End of Life Issues & Institute of Medicine, 2015). Palliative care can begin early in the course of treatment for any serious illness that requires advanced management of pain or other distressing symptoms (Committee on Approaching Death: Addressing Key End of Life Issues & Institute of Medicine, 2015). End-of-life care is defined as

care for persons in hospice care and others with a terminal illness or at high risk of dying in the near future in hospitals, receiving long-term services and supports (including institutional care, and home and community-based services), or at home. This clinical practice guideline does not apply to patients undergoing cancer treatment, palliative care, or end-of-life care because of the unique therapeutic goals, ethical considerations, opportunities for medical supervision, and balance of risks and benefits with opioid therapy in such care. Readers are referred to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Adult Cancer Pain (Swarm et al., 2019), NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Survivorship (Denlinger et al.), and Management of Chronic Pain in Survivors of Adult Cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline (Paice et al., 2016) for recommendations on pain management for patients with cancer and patients who have survived cancer. In addition, given unique considerations in management of pain related to sickle cell disease, which can change the balance of benefits and risks for the use of opioids, clinicians should refer to specific guidelines for pain management for patients facing painful complications of sickle cell disease and are referred to the American Society of Hematology 2020 Guidelines for Sickle Cell Disease: Management of Acute and Chronic pain (Brandow et al., 2020). In 2018, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network and the American Society of Clinical Oncology convened and led a meeting including representatives and guideline authors from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, American Society of Clinical Oncology, American Society of Hematology, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to review existing pain management guidelines (Denlinger et al.; Dowell et al., 2016; Paice et al., 2016; Swarm et al., 2019) and guidelines then in development (Brandow et al., 2020) from these organizations. Meeting participants noted that these guidelines applied to different patient populations and target audiences, but found no disagreement among recommendations when applied to the appropriate patient and clinical situation (Schatz et al., 2020).

While this clinical practice guideline update includes content on pain management for patients with opioid use disorder, and one recommendation focuses on management of opioid use disorder as a complication of opioid use, recommendations on opioids used specifically as medications for opioid use disorder are not the focus of this clinical practice guideline. Readers are referred to *The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: 2020 Focused Update* (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020) for more detailed recommendations on management of patients with opioid use disorder.

## **Methods for clinical practice guideline development**

### **Methods for conducting systematic reviews**

#### **Sources of evidence**

The 2016 CDC Guideline was based on a systematic clinical evidence review sponsored by AHRQ on the effectiveness and risks of long-term opioid therapy for chronic pain (Chou et al., September 2014; Chou et al., 2015), supplemented by a CDC update to the AHRQ-sponsored review and additional contextual questions (Dowell et al., 2016). The AHRQ-sponsored systematic review addressed the effectiveness of long-term opioid therapy for outcomes related to pain, function, and quality of life; the comparative effectiveness of different methods for initiating and titrating opioids; the harms and adverse events associated with opioids; and the accuracy of risk-prediction instruments and effectiveness of risk mitigation strategies on outcomes related to overdose, opioid use disorder, illicit drug use, and/or prescription opioid misuse. The CDC update to the AHRQ-sponsored review included more recently published literature (published during or after 2015) and an additional question on the association between opioid therapy for acute pain and long-term use. The contextual evidence review addressed effectiveness of nonpharmacologic and nonopioid pharmacologic treatments, clinician and patient values and preferences, and information regarding resource allocation.

For this CDC update to the 2016 CDC Guideline, CDC funded AHRQ in 2018 and 2019 to conduct five systematic reviews (Chou et al., April 2020; Chou et al., December 2020; Halker Singh et al., December 2020; McDonagh et al., April 2020; Skelly et al., April 2020). AHRQ's Evidence-based Practice Centers completed these reviews, which include new evidence related to the treatment of chronic and acute pain. The AHRQ review of opioids for chronic pain updated the evidence addressed in the prior (2016) CDC review and expanded upon it, by including studies on shorter term (1 to 12 month) outcomes of therapy involving opioids, effects of opioid plus nonopioid combination therapy, effects of tramadol, effects of naloxone co-prescription, risks of co-prescribed benzodiazepines, risks of co-prescribed gabapentinoids, and effects of concurrent use of cannabis (Chou et al., April 2020). The systematic clinical evidence review on opioids for chronic pain (Chou et al., April 2020) also included Contextual Questions on clinician and patient values and preferences and costs and cost-effectiveness of opioid therapy and risk mitigation strategies. In addition, CDC used four new, complementary AHRQ reviews on the benefits and harms of nonpharmacologic treatments for chronic pain (Skelly et al., April 2020), nonopioid pharmacologic treatments for chronic pain (McDonagh et al., April 2020), treatments for acute episodic migraine (Halker Singh et al., December 2020), and treatment for acute (non-migraine) pain (Chou et al., December 2020). A question on management of acute pain in the 2016 CDC review on opioids for chronic pain was moved to the new review on therapies for acute pain (Chou et al., December 2020). CDC also reviewed AHRQ-sponsored surveillance reports conducted in follow-up to the five systematic reviews for any new evidence that could potentially change systematic review conclusions (Chou R et al., 2022). To supplement the clinical evidence reviews, CDC sponsored a contextual evidence review on clinician and patient values and preferences and resource allocation (costs) for the areas addressed in the four new reviews (Chou et al., December 2020; Halker Singh et al., December 2020; McDonagh et al., April 2020; Skelly et al., April 2020).

#### **Primary clinical questions guiding the systematic reviews**

Across reviews, the main outcomes were pain, function, and quality of life. Harms varied depending on the therapy evaluated but included serious adverse events when reported; for opioids, key harms included overdose and harms related to opioid use disorder. The reviews of therapies for chronic pain assessed outcomes at short- (1 to <6 months), intermediate- (6 to <12 months), and long-term follow-up (≥12 months). The reviews of therapies for acute pain assessed outcomes at < 1 day; 1 day to <1 week; 1 week to <2 weeks; and 2 weeks to 4 weeks; the review of treatments for acute non-migraine pain also evaluated outcomes at ≥4 weeks. All reviews included key questions (KQs) or sub-questions on how benefits and harms varied according to demographic (age, sex, race), clinical (severity and duration of pain, medical and psychiatric comorbidities, concomitant medications), and intervention (dose, duration, intensity) characteristics.

The systematic clinical evidence reviews addressed questions in the following topic areas (details including questions available in the full AHRQ reports [Chou et al., April 2020; Chou et al., December 2020; Halker Singh et al., December 2020; McDonagh et al., April 2020; Skelly et al., April 2020]):

#### **Opioids for chronic pain**

- The effectiveness and comparative effectiveness (benefits, [KQ 1 and harms, [KQ 2]) of long-term opioid therapy versus placebo, no opioid therapy, or nonopioid therapy.
- The comparative effectiveness of various opioid dosing strategies (KQ3):
  - Different methods for initiating and titrating opioids
  - Short-acting versus long-acting/extended-release opioids
  - Different long-acting opioids
  - Short- plus long-acting versus long-acting opioid alone

- |
- 502                   ○ Scheduled, continuous versus as-needed dosing
  - 503                   ○ Opioid dose escalation versus dose maintenance or use of dose thresholds
  - 504                   ○ Opioid rotation versus maintenance
  - 505                   ○ Different strategies for treating acute exacerbations of chronic pain
  - 506                   ○ Decreasing opioid doses or tapering off opioids versus continuation of opioids
  - 507                   ○ Different tapering protocols and strategies
  - 508                   ○ Different opioid dosages and durations of therapy
  - 509                   • The accuracy of instruments for predicting risk for opioid overdose, addiction, abuse, or
  - 510                   misuse; the effectiveness of risk prediction instruments; the effectiveness of various risk
  - 511                   mitigation strategies; and comparative effectiveness of strategies for managing patients
  - 512                   with opioid use disorder (KQ 4). The risk mitigation strategies are:
  - 513                   ○ Opioid management plans
  - 514                   ○ Patient education
  - 515                   ○ Urine drug screening
  - 516                   ○ Use of prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) data
  - 517                   ○ Use of monitoring instruments in patients prescribed opioids
  - 518                   ○ More frequent monitoring intervals
  - 519                   ○ Pill counts
  - 520                   ○ Use of abuse-deterrent formulations

- Consultation with mental health specialists when mental health conditions are present or suspected
- Avoidance of co-prescribing of sedative hypnotics
- Co-prescribing of naloxone

#### **Noninvasive nonpharmacological treatments for chronic pain**

- The effectiveness and comparative effectiveness (benefits and harms) of noninvasive nonpharmacological treatments (exercise, mind-body practices, psychological interventions, multidisciplinary rehabilitation, mindfulness practices, musculoskeletal manipulation, physical modalities, and acupuncture) versus inactive treatments, usual care, no treatment, pharmacological therapy, or selected active treatments (exercise [chronic pain conditions other than headache] or biofeedback [headache]), for the following conditions:
  - Chronic low back pain (KQ 1)
  - Chronic neck pain (KQ 2)
  - Osteoarthritis (knee, hip, hand) (KQ 3)
  - Fibromyalgia (KQ 4)
  - Chronic tension headache (KQ 5)

#### **Nonopioid pharmacologic treatments for chronic pain**

- Effectiveness and comparative effectiveness (benefits [KQ 1] and harms [KQ 2]) of nonopioid pharmacologic agents (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs], antidepressants, anticonvulsants, acetaminophen, muscle relaxants, memantine, topical agents, and cannabis) versus placebo or other nonopioid pharmacologic agents.



543

### **Treatments for acute pain**

544

- Effectiveness and comparative effectiveness (benefits and harms) of opioid therapy

545

versus nonopioid pharmacologic therapy (acetaminophen, NSAIDs, skeletal muscle

546

relaxants, benzodiazepines, antidepressants, anticonvulsants, cannabis) or

547

nonpharmacologic therapy (exercise, cognitive behavioral therapy, meditation,

548

relaxation, music therapy, virtual reality, acupuncture, massage,

549

manipulation/mobilization, physical modalities); nonopioid pharmacologic therapy

550

versus other nonopioid pharmacologic treatments or nonpharmacologic therapy; and

551

nonpharmacologic therapy versus inactive treatments or usual care, for the following

552

conditions:

553

- Acute back pain (including back pain with radiculopathy) (KQ 1)

554

- Acute neck pain (including neck pain with radiculopathy) (KQ 2)

555

- Musculoskeletal pain not otherwise included in KQ 1 or KQ 2 (including

556

fractures) (KQ 3)

557

- Peripheral neuropathic pain (related to herpes zoster and trigeminal neuralgia)

558

(KQ 4)

559

- Postoperative pain (excluding inpatient management of pain following major

560

surgical procedures (KQ 5)

561

- Dental pain (KQ 6)

562

- Kidney stones (including inpatient management) (KQ 7)

563

- Sickle cell crisis (episodic pain) (KQ 8)

564

### **Treatments for acute episodic migraine**

565

- Effectiveness and comparative effectiveness (benefits and harms) of:

- Opioid therapy versus nonopioid pharmacologic therapy (acetaminophen, NSAIDs, triptans, ergot alkaloids, combination analgesics, muscle relaxants, anti-nausea medications, cannabis, or others [e.g., gepants]) or nonpharmacologic therapy (exercise, cognitive behavioral therapy, acupuncture, or others) (KQ 1)
- Nonopioid pharmacologic therapy versus a different nonopioid pharmacologic therapy or nonpharmacologic therapy (KQ 2)
- Nonpharmacologic therapy versus inactive treatments, usual care, or no treatment (KQ 3)

#### Search protocols

Complete methods and data, including detailed search protocols and inclusion and exclusion criteria, for the five AHRQ reports summarized here have been published (Chou et al., April 2020; Chou et al., December 2020; Halker Singh et al., December 2020; McDonagh et al., April 2020; Skelly et al., April 2020). Briefly, study authors developed the search protocols using a standardized process with input from experts and the public. The review protocols were submitted for registration in the PROSPERO database prior to conducting the reviews. For each review, research librarians conducted searches on multiple electronic databases. For all reviews, searches were conducted on MEDLINE, Cochrane CENTRAL, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; other databases that were utilized for one or more reviews (depending on the topic) were Embase PsycINFO, CINAHL, Scopus, and others. The searches were supplemented by a review of reference lists (including prior AHRQ and CDC reviews on these topics) (Chou et al., September 2014; Dowell et al., 2016; Skelly et al., 2018) and gray literature sources. Searches were conducted in August or September 2019 for the chronic pain reviews and in July or August 2020 for the acute pain reviews.

589

### **Summarizing the evidence**

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

The reviews categorized magnitude of effects for pain and function using the same system as prior AHRQ reviews (Chou et al., 2017; Skelly et al., 2018). A small effect was defined for pain as a mean between-group difference following treatment of 0.5 to 1.0 points on a 0- to 10-point numeric rating scale (NRS) or visual analog scale (VAS) and for function as a standardized mean difference (SMD) of 0.2 to 0.5 or a mean difference of 5 to 10 points on the 0 to 100-point Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) (Fairbank & Pynsent, 2000), 1 to 2 points on the 0 to 24-point Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RDQ) (Roland & Morris, 1983), or equivalent. A moderate effect was defined for pain as a mean difference of 10 to 20 points on a 0- to 100-point VAS (1 to 2 points on a 0- to 10-point NRS) and for function as an SMD of 0.5 to 0.8, or a mean difference of 10 to 20 points on the ODI, 2 to 5 points on the RDQ, or equivalent (Chou et al., 2017; Skelly et al., 2018). Large/substantial effects were defined as greater than moderate. We applied similar thresholds to other outcomes measured. Small effects using this system may not meet proposed thresholds for clinically meaningful effects (Ostelo et al., 2008). However, there is variability in estimated minimum clinically important differences across studies, and the clinical relevance of effects classified as small might vary for individual patients depending on preferences, baseline symptom severity, harms, cost, and other factors (Jayadevappa, Cook, & Chhatre, 2017; Keurentjes, Van Tol, Fiocco, Schoones, & Nelissen, 2012). The reviews also evaluated results based on dichotomous outcomes (e.g., likelihood of experiencing clinically meaningful improvement in pain or function, often defined as >30% or >50% improvement from baseline).

608

### **Evaluating quality of the evidence: the AHRQ method**

609

610

611

612

613

The reviews used the AHRQ approach to synthesize and grade the strength of evidence (Berkman et al., 2015). The AHRQ approach is based on a systematic review of the evidence and provides an overall strength of evidence indicating the level of certainty (high, moderate, low, or

insufficient), based on similar factors considered in the CDC Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) adapted (Ahmed, Temte, Campos-Outcalt, & Schünemann, 2011; G. Lee & Carr, 2018) GRADE (Guyatt et al., 2008) approach (study limitations/risk of bias, consistency, directness, precision, reporting bias, and other factors [large strength of association, dose response, and plausible confounders strengthening observed findings]).

### **Evaluating the quality of the evidence: the ACIP-adapted GRADE method**

Predicated on a systematic review of scientific evidence, the GRADE approach provides a transparent framework for grading the quality of evidence and strength of recommendations based on the evidence. GRADE has been adapted by the ACIP, (Ahmed et al., 2011; G. Lee & Carr, 2018) and CDC used the ACIP adaptation of the GRADE framework in this clinical practice guideline. Applying the ACIP GRADE framework, each body of evidence is initially categorized using a hierarchy that reflects the degree of confidence in the effect of a clinical action on health outcomes. The categories in the hierarchy ([Box 2](#)) are: type 1 evidence (randomized clinical trials or overwhelming evidence from observational studies), type 2 evidence (randomized clinical trials with important limitations, or exceptionally strong evidence from observational studies), type 3 evidence (observational studies or randomized clinical trials with notable limitations), and type 4 evidence (clinical experience and observations, observational studies with important limitations, or randomized clinical trials with several major limitations). The evidence is downgraded if issues are identified with regard to risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, or publication bias; observational studies may be upgraded in certain situations (large strength of association, presence of dose response, or plausible effects of confounding would strengthen findings). That is, if it is likely that confounding would provide results opposite to the observed findings, it strengthens the confidence that the observed association is present. Based on these considerations, a final evidence type is assigned. Type 1 evidence indicates high confidence that the true effect is close to the estimate of the effect; type 2 evidence means that the

true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is some uncertainty; type 3 evidence means that confidence in the effect estimate is limited (moderate uncertainty), and the true effect could differ substantially from the estimate of the effect; and type 4 evidence indicates that one has very little confidence in the effect estimate (high uncertainty), and the likelihood that the true effect differs from the estimate of the effect is high (Ahmed et al., 2011; Balshem et al., 2011). When no studies are available or the evidence is too limited to estimate effects, evidence is considered insufficient.

#### **Evaluating the quality of the evidence: converting the AHRQ quality rating to the ACIP-adapted GRADE rating**

The AHRQ approach uses a different method and terminology (high, moderate, low, or insufficient) to grade the strength of evidence (SOE) than the ACIP-adapted GRADE approach (evidence types 1, 2, 3, or 4) (Berkman et al., 2015). However, the underlying principles are similar, enabling translation from the AHRQ to CDC grades. A methodologist translated the AHRQ strength of evidence grades to CDC evidence types based on the information provided in the summary of evidence tables in the AHRQ reviews. Tables with GRADE clinical evidence review ratings of the evidence for the key clinical questions are available (<http://stacks.cdc.gov/XXXXX> link TBD). Evidence was categorized into the following types: type 1 (randomized clinical trials or overwhelming evidence from observational studies; generally equivalent to AHRQ high strength of evidence), type 2 (randomized clinical trials with important limitations, or exceptionally strong evidence from observational studies; generally equivalent to AHRQ moderate strength of evidence), type 3 (observational studies, or randomized clinical trials with notable limitations; generally equivalent to most AHRQ low strength of evidence ratings), or type 4 (clinical experience and observations, observational studies with important limitations, or randomized clinical trials with several major limitations; equivalent to AHRQ low strength of evidence with serious limitations). When no studies were available or the evidence was too limited to estimate effects,

evidence was assessed as insufficient. Results from meta-analyses conducted for the AHRQ reviews were reported when available; otherwise, the evidence was synthesized qualitatively.

### **Methods to develop the recommendations**

CDC developed this clinical practice guideline using the approach developed by the GRADE working group (<https://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/>). Recommendations are based on the reviewed evidence. In the ACIP adapted GRADE framework, recommendations are assigned one of two categories (category A or B). Four major factors determine the category of the recommendation: the quality of evidence, the balance between desirable and undesirable effects, values and preferences, and resource allocation (e.g., costs to patients or health systems) (Andrews et al., 2013). Other considerations include feasibility and acceptability, and impact on equity (Welch et al., 2017). Recommendations are more likely to be category A when the evidence is higher quality, there is a greater balance of desirable relative to undesirable effects, resources and costs are lower, and when recommendations are less sensitive to differences in values and preferences. Category A recommendations generally apply to all persons in the group addressed in the recommendation and indicate a course of action that can be followed in most circumstances. Category B recommendations indicate that the recommendation may not apply to all persons in the group addressed in the recommendation; therefore, different choices will be appropriate for different patients and decisions should be individualized based on the individual patient's circumstances. For category B recommendations, clinicians must help patients arrive at a decision consistent with patient values and preferences, and specific clinical situations (shared decision-making) (Ahmed, 2013). In the GRADE approach, a particular quality of evidence does not necessarily result in a particular strength of recommendation (Andrews et al., 2013; Balshem et al., 2011; Guyatt et al., 2008). Although it is desirable for category A recommendations to be based on type 1 or type 2 evidence, category A recommendations can be made based on type 3 or type 4 evidence when the advantages of a clinical action are assessed as clearly outweighing the disadvantages based on a

consideration of benefits and harms, values and preferences, and costs, despite uncertainty in effect estimates (Andrews et al., 2013). The GRADE Working Group has presented several “paradigmatic” situations in which strong (category A) recommendations may be justified despite low quality evidence, for example, when high quality evidence suggests equivalence of two alternatives and low quality evidence suggests harm in one alternative, or when high quality evidence suggests modest benefits and low/very low quality evidence suggests possibility of catastrophic harm (Andrews et al., 2013). Category B recommendations are made when the advantages and disadvantages of a clinical action are more balanced or when there is more uncertainty with regard to whether benefits clearly outweigh harms.

In accordance with the ACIP adapted GRADE process, CDC drafted recommendations based on the clinical and contextual evidence (including benefits and harms, values and preferences, resource allocation). Draft recommendations focused on determining whether or not to initiate opioids for pain; opioid selection and dosage; opioid duration and follow-up; and assessing risk and addressing potential harms of opioid use. To help assure the draft guideline’s integrity and credibility, CDC then began a multistep review process described in detail below.

#### **Federal Advisory Committee review and recommendation**

CDC sought recommendations on the draft updated clinical practice guideline from one of its federal advisory committees, the Board of Scientific Counselors of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (BSC/NCIPC). The BSC/NCIPC advises the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), the Director of CDC, and the Director of NCIPC, and makes recommendations regarding scientific, programmatic, and research policies, strategies, objectives, projects, and priorities. The BSC/NCIPC also reviews progress toward injury and violence prevention. BSC/NCIPC members are special government employees appointed by the Secretary, HHS, or their designee, as CDC advisory committee members. Members are required to complete the Office of Government Ethics Form 450

annually to disclose relevant interests and report on their disclosures during meetings. Disclosures for the BSC/NCIPC are reported in this clinical practice guideline.

On December 4-5, 2019, CDC held a public meeting of the BSC/NCIPC (announced via *Federal Register* 84 FR 57021; 84 FR 65159) and provided a presentation on the background for updating the clinical practice guideline. CDC then requested the formation of an Opioid Workgroup (OWG), under the parent BSC, whose primary purpose would be to review a draft updated clinical practice guideline and to develop a report of their observations for the BSC/NCIPC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021a). After considering CDC's presentations, the proposed OWG Terms of Reference, and public comments, the BSC/NCIPC voted unanimously to establish an OWG that reports to the BSC/NCIPC. CDC then held a public nomination process for prospective OWG members (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021a).

To provide background to the BSC/NCIPC for informing the creation of the OWG with a balance of perspectives, CDC identified audiences that would be: 1) directly affected by the clinical practice guideline, 2) directly involved with implementing or integrating recommendations into current practice, and 3) qualified to represent a specific discipline or expertise in alignment with the tasks of the workgroup for consideration by the BSC/NCIPC. Identified groups with perspectives that would support the workgroup's capacity included, but were not limited to, patients living with pain, family members and caregivers, clinicians, public health practitioners, and research scientists. CDC announced the call for nominations at the December 4-5, 2019, public meeting and heard recommendations from the public during the public comment opportunities, as well as from BSC/NCIPC members regarding recommendations for nominations. People interested in being considered for the workgroup were encouraged to submit self-nominations from December 4, 2019, through February 4, 2020. CDC's BSC/NCIPC received 255 nominations for the OWG.



After carefully reviewing clinical expertise, professional credentials, and diversity in perspectives of all nominees (including sex, race/ethnicity, geographic region, institutional affiliations, and personal experiences relevant to pain management and caring for patients with pain), the OWG's Designated Federal Officer (DFO) created a list of prospective workgroup members and sent invitations to participate along with conflict-of-interest disclosure forms. The OWG's DFO and the BSC/NCIPC's DFO reviewed conflict of interest disclosure forms. CDC's Strategic Business Initiatives Unit (SBIU), which oversees the Federal Advisory Committee Act program, also reviewed the OWG Terms of Reference, prospective OWG roster, curricula vitae, and conflict of interest disclosure forms and determined all reported financial or other conflicts of interest were not present or non-significant before finalizing selection. OWG members disclosed any potential topical conflicts of interest related to OWG meeting agenda items prior to each meeting. Disclosures of the OWG are reported in the clinical practice guideline.

The OWG had 23 members (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020d). In accordance with CDC guidance (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008, 2020c) that at least two BSC/NCIPC members must serve on the OWG, and one of the two members must serve as the workgroup chair, the OWG included a total of three BSC/NCIPC members, with one BSC/NCIPC member serving as the OWG chair. A NCIPC subject matter expert served as the OWG's DFO. OWG members included patients with pain, caregivers, and family members of patients with pain. The OWG also comprised clinicians and subject matter experts, with the following perspectives represented: primary care, pain medicine, public health, behavioral health, pharmacy, emergency medicine, medical toxicology, obstetrics/gynecology, bioethics, orthopedic surgery, plastic surgery, dentistry, sickle cell disease, substance use disorder treatment, and research. OWG members were diverse in regard to sex, race/ethnicity, geographic region, institutional affiliation, subject matter expertise, and personal

experiences. The CDC NCIPC OWG DFO presented the OWG roster and reviewed the Terms of Reference at the publicly held BSC/NCIPC meeting on July 22, 2020 (*Federal Register* 85 FR 30709; 85 FR 40290).

The OWG had a total of 11 meetings from October 2020 through June 2021. Before receiving the draft updated clinical practice guideline, the OWG held meetings to review and discuss the 2016 CDC Guideline, CDC's community engagement activities with patients, caregivers, and clinicians, and GRADE methodology. CDC NCIPC staff provided the OWG with the evidence reviews, public comments from BSC/NCIPC meetings, and summaries of community engagements for review before providing the OWG with the draft updated clinical practice guideline in March 2021. The OWG held 7 meetings to review and discuss the draft clinical practice guideline and develop a report summarizing their expert observations and findings for the BSC/NCIPC. The OWG report (BSC/NCIPC Opioid Workgroup Members, 2021) provided overall observations on overarching themes and draft clinical practice guideline recommendations. In addition, many members of the OWG developed a document entitled *OWG Guiding Principles* that was included as an appendix in the OWG report; this document outlines the "general process and principles by which the OWG approached their assigned tasks." These *Guiding Principles* included: minimize bias, scientific integrity, enhance inclusivity, patient and clinician centered, and historical context.

The OWG chair presented the OWG report at a public BSC/NCIPC meeting held on July 16, 2021 (*Federal Register* 86 FR 30048). After hearing additional CDC presentations on the process and progress of the draft clinical practice guideline, discussion of the OWG report, and a two-hour public comment period, the BSC/NCIPC voted unanimously that CDC adopt the OWG report, while considering ideas and suggestions raised by the BSC/NCIPC and public during the meeting, and that the OWG's work be considered complete and the OWG sunsetted. After the meeting, the BSC/NCIPC provided their recommendations to HHS and CDC. CDC carefully considered the OWG's observations, BSC/NCIPC recommendations, and public comments when revising the draft updated clinical practice guideline.

### **Federal partner engagement**

The BSC/NCIPC invited federal partners to serve as ex-officio members of the OWG, which comprised representatives from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), FDA, and the Indian Health Service (IHS). The BSC/NCIPC comprised ex-officio members from the Administration for Children and Families, the Administration on Aging in the Administration for Community Living, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and the National Center for Health Statistics at the CDC, the Health Resources and Services Administration, IHS, SAMHSA, and the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIDA, and the National Institute of Mental Health at the NIH. Additional federal partners were engaged throughout the clinical practice guideline update process. Federal partners reviewed the full draft clinical practice guideline as part of CDC's agency clearance process.

### **Public comment and community engagement**

CDC garnered input through *Federal Register* notices to better understand community members' lived experiences and perspectives related to pain and pain management options before drafting the updated clinical practice guideline. Through the *Federal Register* notice (85 FR 21441) posted from April 17, 2020, through June 16, 2020, CDC invited input specifically on topics focused on using or prescribing opioid pain medications, nonopioid medications, or nonpharmacological treatments and received 5,392 public comments. Public comments were synthesized into common themes, utilizing a CDC-funded analysis contract.

In addition, the Lab at the US Office of Personnel Management (OPM) worked with CDC to design and implement community engagement opportunities to gain additional insight into the values and preferences of patients, caregivers, and clinicians. For these opportunities, key groups included patients with acute or chronic pain, patients' family members and/or caregivers, and clinicians who care

for patients with pain or conditions that can complicate pain management (e.g., opioid use disorder or overdose).

CDC planned to have individual conversations with patients, caregivers, and clinicians in person but pivoted to holding conversations with individuals in a virtual format due to the COVID-19 pandemic. CDC posted a companion *Federal Register* notice (85 FR 44303) from July 22, 2020, through August 21, 2020, to solicit input from patients, caregivers, and clinicians interested in participating in individual conversations. After the *Federal Register* notice closed, CDC and OPM randomly selected participants within each group (i.e., patients, caregivers, clinicians) from a total of 973 respondents. They also developed a randomly-selected waitlist of participants that they used to fill conversation appointments that were missed or cancelled by participants. The community engagement was authorized under the Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery (OMB Control Number: 0920-1050) approval for the Paperwork Reduction Act. CDC and OPM conducted telephone and video conversations throughout September 2020 and spoke with 106 individuals, which included 42 patients, 21 caregivers, and 43 clinicians. Participating individuals lived and worked all over the United States and had diverse experiences with opioids. Participants provided verbal consent for their conversations to be recorded. A transcription service reviewed the conversation recordings to develop anonymized transcripts. CDC and OPM reviewed the anonymized transcripts to develop thematic summaries.

CDC and OPM also held two human-centered co-design workshops with staff from CDC and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Workshop topics included framing priority needs for public input, objectives for individual conversations, and synthesizing engagement strategies based on insights from public comments and conversations with patients, caregivers, and clinicians. Workshop participants included patients, caregivers, clinicians, clinical practice guideline authors, and other subject matter experts.

CDC also garnered input through oral and written public comment opportunities at and in conjunction with public BSC/NCIPC meetings. These public comment opportunities were announced through Federal Register notices (*Federal Register* 84 FR 57021; 84 FR 65159; 85 FR 30709; 85 FR 40290; 86 FR 1502; 86 FR 30048) and partner newsletters.

CDC reviewed thematic summaries of public comments, individual conversations, and the workshops to learn more about the values and preferences of patients, caregivers, clinicians, and experts before drafting the updated clinical practice guideline. After incorporating observations and comments on the draft clinical practice guideline from the BSC/NCIPC and agency clearance process, CDC will post the revised full draft clinical practice guideline in the Federal Register for public comment. The public comment period is anticipated to be open for 60 days. CDC will review and carefully consider all comments when revising the updated clinical practice guideline.

#### **Peer review**

This clinical practice guideline provides influential scientific information that could have a clear and substantial impact on public- and private-sector decisions. Therefore, peer review of the draft clinical practice guideline is required per the final information quality bulletin for peer review (<https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/M-19-15.pdf>).

**Note: at the time of developing this revision of the draft updated clinical practice guideline, the peer review process is ongoing. This information will be updated once peer review is complete.**

CDC selected peer reviewers based on scientific and subject-matter expertise, racial/ethnic diversity, diversity of experiences and perspectives, independence from the clinical practice guideline development process, and consideration of conflicts of interest. Specific effort was made to identify subject matter experts with knowledge and experience in topics such as chronic and acute pain management; clinical practice; health equity; mental health and well-being; opioids and opioid therapies; opioid tapering; opioid use disorder treatment; pharmacological and non-pharmacological

pain management; and surgical pain management. CDC assessed potential conflicts of interest with the same conflict of interest disclosure form used for selection of BSC/NCIPC OWG members. Conflict of interest forms will be reviewed by the NCIPC Associate Director for Science and confirmed by SBIU before finalizing selection. Any disclosures of the peer reviewers will be reported in the final published clinical practice guideline. After the peer reviewers have completed their reviews, CDC will post the names of peer reviewers on the CDC and the NCIPC Peer Review Agenda websites that are used to provide information about the peer review of influential government scientific documents. Peer reviewers will independently review the draft clinical practice guideline to determine the reasonableness and strength of recommendations; the clarity with which scientific uncertainties were clearly identified; and the rationale, importance, clarity, and ease of implementation of the recommendations. CDC will review and carefully consider peer review comments when revising the draft clinical practice guideline.

## **Summary of findings for clinical questions**

### **Opioids for chronic pain**

The AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review on opioids for chronic pain (Chou et al., April 2020) updated the 2014 AHRQ report (Chou et al., September 2014) and 2016 CDC update (Dowell et al., 2016) and expanded upon the prior reviews by adding evidence from randomized trials reporting short-term outcomes, including tramadol as an opioid intervention, addressing risks of co-prescribing benzodiazepines or gabapentin, and addressing effects of co-use of cannabis.

### **Effectiveness (benefits and harms)**

For short-term (1 to <6 month) outcomes, based on over 70 placebo-controlled trials (evidence type 1), opioids were associated with beneficial effects versus placebo, but mean differences were

876 small: for pain, <1 point on a 0 to 10 scale and for function, a SMD of 0.22 (or <1 point on the 0 to 10  
877 Brief Pain Inventory [BPI]) (Cleeland & Ryan, 1994) interference scale and <1 point on the 0 to 24  
878 Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire [RDQ]). Opioids were associated with a number of patients  
879 needed to treat (NNT) of approximately 6.7 to achieve one additional case of short-term pain relief (e.g.,  
880 ≥30% improvement in pain). Analyses based on a combination of head-to-head (within study)  
881 comparisons as well as a meta-regression of placebo-controlled trials indicated an association between  
882 higher opioid dose and greater short-term effects on pain which appeared to plateau at around 50 mg  
883 morphine equivalent dose (MME)/day (evidence type 2). Evidence also indicated that effects of opioids  
884 dissipate with longer duration of therapy. Opioids were associated with a small mean improvement in  
885 short-term sleep quality (evidence type 2) versus placebo and a small mean short-term improvement in  
886 Short-Form 36-item (SF-36) (Ware & Sherbourne, 1992) mental health status (evidence type 1). Effects  
887 of opioids on short-term outcomes were generally consistent across opioid types (opioid agonist, partial  
888 agonist, or mixed medication agent). Effects on pain were somewhat greater for neuropathic than  
889 musculoskeletal pain (effects on pain about 0.5 point greater for neuropathic versus musculoskeletal  
890 pain on a 0 to 10 scale). Use of a crossover or enriched enrollment randomized withdrawal (EERW)  
891 design (a type of trial in which potential participants receive the study drug for a period of time in a  
892 prerandomization phase, and only those who benefit from the drug and can tolerate the side effects  
893 continue in the trial, randomly assigned to continue on the study drug or placebo [Furlan, Chaparro,  
894 Irvin, & Mailis-Gagnon, 2011]) was associated with greater effects on pain than parallel group or non-  
895 EERW studies.

896 Opioids were associated with increased risk versus placebo of discontinuation due to adverse  
897 events (number of patients treated to cause one adverse event [number needed to harm, NNH 10], and  
898 increased risk of gastrointestinal events [NNH 7.1 for nausea, 14.3 for vomiting, and 7.1 for  
899 constipation], somnolence [NNH 11.1], dizziness [NNH 12.5], and pruritus [NNH 14.3]) (evidence type 1).

900 There were few serious adverse events and no difference between opioids versus placebo in risk in the  
901 short-term trials (evidence type 2), but serious adverse events were not well-defined by the trials, the  
902 trials excluded higher risk patients (e.g., those with history of substance use disorder), and the trials  
903 were not designed to assess serious but less common harms such as overdose, opioid use disorder  
904 mortality, cardiovascular events, and fractures. EERW studies tended to report lower risk with opioids of  
905 discontinuation due to adverse events and gastrointestinal adverse events than non-EERW studies.  
906 Uncontrolled studies (studies without a non-opioid control group) were not included in the AHRQ  
907 review, though a recent systematic review with such studies found that rates of misuse ranged from 21  
908 to 29% (range, 95% confidence interval [CI], 13 to 38%) and rates of addiction ranged from 8 to  
909 12%(range, 95% CI, 3 to 17%), based on higher quality observational evidence (Vowles et al., 2015).

910 As in the 2014 AHRQ report and 2016 CDC update, the clinical evidence review identified no  
911 long-term (>1 year) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of opioid therapy versus placebo. One new  
912 cohort study found long-term opioid therapy was not associated with improved pain, function or other  
913 outcomes versus no opioids (Veiga et al., 2019). New observational studies included in the new AHRQ  
914 review were consistent with the 2014 AHRQ report in finding an association between use of prescription  
915 opioids and risk of addiction, overdose, fractures, falls, and cardiovascular events (evidence type 3); a  
916 new study also found an association between opioid use and risk of all-cause mortality (Ray, Chung,  
917 Murray, Hall, & Stein, 2016) (evidence type 4). New observational studies were also consistent with the  
918 2014 AHRQ report in finding associations between higher doses of opioids and risks of overdose,  
919 addiction, and endocrinological adverse events; new studies also found an association between higher  
920 dose and increased risk of incident or refractory depression (Scherrer, Salas, Copeland, et al., 2016;  
921 Scherrer, Salas, Sullivan, et al., 2016). Observational studies also indicated an association between co-  
922 prescription of gabapentinoids (Gomes et al., 2018; Gomes et al., 2017; Peckham, Fairman, & Sclar,  
923 2018) or benzodiazepines (Dunn et al., 2010; Hernandez, He, Brooks, & Zhang, 2018; E. C. Sun et al.,



2017) and increased risk of overdose, with most pronounced risk occurring soon after initiation of these medications (evidence type 3). All observational studies were susceptible to residual confounding.

There were no differences across 16 trials between opioids versus nonopioids (most commonly, NSAIDs, gabapentinoids, and nortriptyline) in short-term pain, function, health status/quality of life, sleep quality, or mental health outcomes (evidence type 1 for function and 2 for other outcomes), though opioids were associated with increased risk of short-term adverse effects (evidence type 1 or 2). Most trials were <6 months; one trial of patients with chronic low back pain or pain associated with osteoarthritis (mean pain intensity 5.4 on a 0 to 10 scale at baseline) evaluated outcomes at 1 year (E. E. Krebs et al., 2018). It found no differences between stepped therapy with opioids versus stepped therapy starting with nonopioids in function, sleep, or mental health outcomes; opioids were associated with slightly worse effects (by ~0.5 point on a 0 to 10 scale) on pain (evidence type 2). Although tramadol was an option in step 3 of the nonopioid stepped therapy arm, only 11% received tramadol; mean opioid doses for stepped opioid therapy and stepped therapy starting with nonopioids were 26 vs. 1 MME/day, respectively, at 12 months.

There were also no differences between combination therapy versus a nonopioid alone in short-term effectiveness but increased risk of short-term adverse effects for combination therapy, based on six trials (evidence type 3). Combination therapy was associated with a small (5 to 13 MME/day) opioid-sparing effect versus opioid therapy alone, with little effect on pain. All trials of combination therapy evaluated patients with neuropathic pain and primarily evaluated gabapentinoids or nortriptyline. Evidence on long-term effects of combination therapy versus an opioid or nonopioid alone was lacking.

#### **Opioid dosing strategies**

Evidence on the effectiveness of different opioid dosing strategies remains very limited. One trial included in the 2014 AHRQ report found no differences between a more liberal dose escalation

strategy versus maintenance of current doses in pain, function, or discontinuation due to opioid misuse, but the difference in opioid doses between arms was small (52 vs. 40 mg MMD/day) (Naliboff et al., 2011) (evidence type 3). There were no clear differences between short- versus long-acting opioids (evidence type 3) or between different long-acting opioids (evidence type 2) in pain or function, but in most trials, doses were titrated to achieve adequate pain control. Evidence on comparative risks of methadone versus other opioids and risk of overdose remains limited and inconsistent. Evidence on the benefits and harms of different methods for initiating and titrating opioids, scheduled and continuous versus as-needed dosing of opioids, use of opioid rotation, and methods for titrating or discontinuing patients off opioids remains insufficient. The 2014 AHRQ report found buccal or intranasal fentanyl more effective than placebo or oral opioids for treatment of exacerbations of chronic pain, based on immediate effects (up to 2 hours after administration). None of the trials of buccal or intranasal fentanyl were designed to assess longer-term benefits or harms, and no new trials were identified for the 2020 systematic review. In 2007, the U.S. FDA released a public health advisory due to case reports of deaths and other life-threatening adverse effects in patients prescribed buccal fentanyl (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2007).

#### **Risk mitigation strategies**

New evidence on the accuracy of risk prediction instruments was consistent with the 2014 AHRQ report, which found highly inconsistent estimates of diagnostic accuracy, methodological limitations and few studies of risk assessment instruments other than the Opioid Risk Tool (L. R. Webster & Webster, 2005) and Screening and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain-Revised instrument (Butler, Fernandez, Benoit, Budman, & Jamison, 2008) (evidence type 3). Evidence on the effectiveness of risk mitigation strategies also remains very limited. One new observational study found provision of naloxone to patients prescribed opioids in primary care clinics was associated with decreased likelihood of emergency department visits, but no difference in overdose risk (evidence type 3) (Coffin et al.,

2016). Evidence on opioid tapering was largely limited to a trial that found a taper support intervention associated with better functional outcomes and a trend towards lower opioid doses versus usual opioid care (Sullivan et al., 2017) (evidence type 2). A cohort study found discontinuation of opioid therapy was associated with increased risk of overdose mortality versus continuation, but there was no statistically significant difference in risk of all-cause mortality (James et al., 2019). Findings should be interpreted with caution, because of potential confounding related to the reason for discontinuation.

No trial compared different rates of opioid tapering, though one observational study found an association between longer time to opioid discontinuation in patients on long-term, high-dose opioid therapy and decreased risk of opioid-related emergency department visit or hospitalization (Mark & Parish, 2019) (evidence type 3). The review did not identify any study that evaluated the effectiveness of risk mitigation strategies, such as use of risk assessment instruments, opioid management plans, patient education, urine drug screening, PDMP data review, monitoring instruments in patients prescribed opioids, more frequent monitoring intervals, pill counts, abuse-deterrent formulations, or avoidance of co-prescribing of benzodiazepines on risk of overdose, addiction, abuse or misuse.

Evidence on the effectiveness of interventions for opioid use disorder in patients with prescription opioid dependence or opioid use disorder was highly limited due to methodological shortcomings (small sample sizes, high attrition or crossover) and/or exclusion of patients with chronic pain.

### **Noninvasive nonpharmacologic treatment for chronic pain**

The AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review (Skelly et al., April 2020) focused on commonly encountered pain conditions and frequently used interventions; selection of conditions for review was informed by stakeholder input.

### **Benefits**

994 Chronic low back pain: The review found psychological therapies associated with small  
995 improvements versus usual care or an attention control for function and pain at short-, intermediate-,  
996 and long-term follow-up (evidence type 2). Exercise, low-level laser therapy, spinal manipulation,  
997 massage, yoga, acupuncture, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation were associated with improvements in  
998 function at short and/or intermediate term follow-up versus usual care, placebo, wait list, or inactive  
999 therapies; effects on pain were small for all therapies except yoga, for which benefits were moderate  
1000 (evidence type 2 at short term for exercise, massage, and yoga; evidence type 3 for others). Massage,  
1001 mindfulness-based stress reduction, acupuncture, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation were associated  
1002 with small short-term improvement in pain versus control (evidence type 2); exercise, low-level laser  
1003 therapy, and yoga were also associated with small to moderate short-term improvement in pain, though  
1004 evidence was not as strong (evidence type 3). At intermediate term, spinal manipulation, yoga,  
1005 multidisciplinary rehabilitation (evidence type 2) and exercise and mindfulness-based stress reduction  
1006 (evidence type 3) were associated with improved pain versus sham, usual care, or attention control;  
1007 effects were small for all therapies except for yoga, for which effects were moderate. Compared with  
1008 exercise, multidisciplinary rehabilitation was associated with small improvements in function and pain at  
1009 short and intermediate terms (evidence type 2).

1010 Chronic neck pain: The AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review found low-level laser therapy  
1011 (evidence type 2) and massage (evidence type 3) associated with improved short-term function and pain  
1012 for chronic neck pain. The magnitude of effect was moderate for low-level laser therapy and small for  
1013 massage. Exercise was associated with small improvement in long-term function versus attention  
1014 control (evidence type 3) and combination exercise was associated with improved short- and long-term  
1015 function and short-term pain versus wait list or attention control (evidence type 3). Acupuncture was  
1016 associated with small improvements in short- and intermediate-term function versus sham, placebo, or  
1017 usual care, but there were no differences in pain versus sham acupuncture, an intervention meant to

1018 mimic acupuncture but without acupuncture effects (e.g., needles into non-acupuncture point, or non-  
1019 penetrating needles/pressure on acupuncture points) (evidence type 3). Pilates was associated with  
1020 improved short-term function (small effect) and pain (large effect) versus acetaminophen (evidence type  
1021 3).

1022 Osteoarthritis pain: The AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review found that for knee  
1023 osteoarthritis, exercise was associated with small improvements in short- and long-term function and  
1024 pain versus usual care, no treatment, or sham (evidence type 2 for short-term and type 3 for long-term),  
1025 and moderate improvement in intermediate-term pain and function (evidence type 3). For hip  
1026 osteoarthritis, exercise was associated with small improvement in short-term function and pain versus  
1027 usual care (evidence type 3). Functional improvement persisted at intermediate-term follow-up, but  
1028 pain improvement did not (evidence type 3).

1029 Fibromyalgia: The AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review found exercise, mind-body  
1030 practices, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation, and acupuncture associated with small improvement in  
1031 short-term function versus usual care or inactive treatments for fibromyalgia (evidence type 2 for  
1032 acupuncture and evidence type 3 for others). At intermediate term, exercise, acupuncture, cognitive-  
1033 behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness-based stress reduction, myofascial release, and multidisciplinary  
1034 rehabilitation were associated with improvements in function versus inactive treatments, usual care, or  
1035 waitlist (evidence type 2 for exercise and acupuncture and evidence type 3 for others). Effects on  
1036 intermediate-term function were moderate for CBT and small for the other therapies. At long term,  
1037 multidisciplinary rehabilitation was associated with persistent small improvement in function versus  
1038 usual care, but not for pain (evidence type 3). Tai chi was associated with small improvement in function  
1039 versus exercise at short- to intermediate-term follow-up (evidence type 3). Therapies associated with  
1040 improved pain versus usual care, waitlist, no treatment, or inactive treatments were exercise (small  
1041 effect, short and intermediate term; evidence type 2), CBT (small, short-term; evidence type 3),

mindfulness practices (small, intermediate-term; evidence type 3), and multidisciplinary rehabilitation (small, intermediate-term; evidence type 3).

Chronic tension headache: The AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review found spinal manipulation was associated with moderate improvement in short-term pain and small improvement in function versus usual care for chronic tension headache (evidence type 3). For other interventions, evidence was sparse, and the majority of trials had serious methodological limitations.

#### **Harms**

Across conditions, data on harms of nonpharmacological therapies was limited, but no evidence suggested serious harms. Although reporting on harms was suboptimal, among studies that reported data, non-serious treatment-related adverse events (e.g., discomfort, soreness, bruising, increased pain, and worsening of symptoms) were infrequently reported, there were few withdrawals from nonpharmacological therapies due to adverse events, and there were no differences between comparison groups (either usual care/no nonpharmacological therapy or another therapy) in the frequency of intervention-related adverse events or withdrawals (evidence type 2 or 3).

#### **Nonopioid pharmacologic treatments for chronic pain**

#### **Benefits**

For neuropathic pain, the AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review (McDonagh et al., April 2020) found anticonvulsants (gabapentin, pregabalin, and oxcarbazepine) were associated with small short-term improvement in pain versus placebo (evidence type 2), with no difference between pregabalin versus gabapentin enacarbil (evidence type 3). The antidepressant duloxetine was associated with small improvements in short-term pain, function, and quality of life versus placebo in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy (evidence type 2 for pain and quality of life and type 3 for function).

1064 Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) oral spray had inconsistent effects on pain in  
1065 patients with multiple sclerosis or with allodynia (evidence type 3). Topical capsaicin was not associated  
1066 with significant effects on pain versus placebo, or effects were below the threshold for a small effect  
1067 (evidence type 2).

1068 For fibromyalgia, the serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) antidepressants  
1069 milnacipran and duloxetine were associated with small, short- and intermediate-term improvements in  
1070 pain and quality of life versus placebo; a small beneficial effect on function was only observed at short-  
1071 term (evidence type 2). The anticonvulsants pregabalin and gabapentin were associated with small  
1072 short-term improvements in pain and function versus placebo; there were no effects on quality of life  
1073 (evidence type 2). Memantine was associated with moderate intermediate-term improvements in pain,  
1074 function, and quality of life versus placebo (evidence type 3).

1075 For osteoarthritis, NSAIDs were associated with small short-term improvement in pain (evidence  
1076 type 2) and function (evidence type 1). Topical diclofenac was associated with small improvement in  
1077 short-term pain (evidence type 2) and function (evidence type 3) versus placebo. Duloxetine was  
1078 associated with small improvement in pain severity, function and quality of life; and moderate  
1079 improvement in likelihood of a pain response (evidence type 1). Acetaminophen was not associated  
1080 with improvement in pain or function versus placebo (evidence type 3).

1081 For inflammatory arthritis, NSAIDs were associated with small improvements in short-term pain  
1082 and function versus placebo (evidence type 2); effects on pain and function were small at intermediate-  
1083 term follow-up (evidence type 3). At long-term follow-up effects on pain were large, with no effects on  
1084 function (evidence type 3).

1085 For low back pain, duloxetine was associated with a small short-term improvement in pain  
1086 intensity and likelihood of a pain response versus placebo, but improvements in function and quality of  
1087 life did not meet the threshold for small improvement (evidence type 2).

## 1088 Harms

1089 Across all classes of nonopioid therapies, the AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review found  
1090 that the incidence of serious adverse events (SAE) was low; however, the trials were not designed to  
1091 assess SAEs and there were few SAEs (evidence type 3).

1092 Antidepressants were associated with increased risk of withdrawal due to adverse events (WAE)  
1093 versus placebo. SNRI antidepressants were associated with moderate to large increases in risk of nausea  
1094 and excessive sweating (evidence type 2 or 3). Duloxetine was associated with a large, dose-dependent,  
1095 increase in sedation versus placebo (evidence type 2 or 3).

1096 With regard to anticonvulsants, oxcarbazepine was associated with a large increase in risk of  
1097 WAEs versus placebo (evidence type 2). Pregabalin and gabapentin were associated with moderate  
1098 increased risk of WAEs (evidence type 2), with an association between higher doses of pregabalin and  
1099 increased risk. Pregabalin and gabapentin were associated with large increases in blurred vision,  
1100 dizziness, weight gain, and cognitive effects (e.g., confusion) (evidence type 2). Additionally, pregabalin  
1101 was associated with large increases in risk of peripheral edema and sedation (evidence type 2).

1102 NSAIDs were associated with increased risk of WAEs versus placebo; the magnitude was small  
1103 for ibuprofen and diclofenac and moderate for naproxen (evidence type 2). The risk of any  
1104 cardiovascular event was not significantly elevated for NSAIDs as a group, but diclofenac was associated  
1105 with small increase in risk, particularly in the first 6 months, and with higher doses (evidence type 2).  
1106 Versus placebo, the risk of major coronary events was elevated with diclofenac and celecoxib (moderate  
1107 effect) and with ibuprofen (large effect). For every 3000 patients treated with diclofenac or celecoxib,



1108 there were an estimated 3 additional major coronary events. There was no difference in cardiovascular  
1109 events between celecoxib versus nonselective NSAIDs in the intermediate or long term (evidence type  
1110 2). The risk of serious upper gastrointestinal events was increased with diclofenac (moderate effect) and  
1111 ibuprofen or naproxen (large increase), particularly in the first 6 months of treatment (evidence type 1  
1112 to 2). In the intermediate term, diclofenac and naproxen were associated with large increase in risk of  
1113 hepatic harms (evidence type 1 to 2).

1114 Acetaminophen was not associated with increased risk of short- or intermediate-term WAEs  
1115 versus placebo (evidence type 3). Capsaicin was associated with large increase in risk of application site  
1116 pain (evidence type 2) and a small increased risk of erythema (evidence type 3). Cannabis as oral  
1117 dronabinol solution was associated with large increase in risk of dizziness, and as  
1118 tetrahydrocannabinol/cannabidiol was associated with large increase in risk of WAEs, dizziness, and  
1119 nausea (evidence type 3).

#### 1120 **Treatments for acute pain**

1121 The AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review (Chou et al., December 2020) found that most  
1122 trials of treatments for acute pain focused on effects on pain at short-term (up to 1 week) follow-up.  
1123 Evidence was somewhat stronger for pharmacological than nonpharmacological therapies.

1124 For acute surgical dental pain (evidence type 3) and kidney stone pain (evidence type 2), the  
1125 AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review found that opioids were associated with small to moderate  
1126 increases in pain or need for rescue medication use versus NSAIDs. Findings for postoperative pain were  
1127 somewhat inconsistent. Although opioids were associated with increased likelihood of repeat or rescue  
1128 medication use at 1 day to 1 week (evidence type 3), evidence on pain intensity was insufficient due to  
1129 inconsistency. Results for postoperative pain were based on a small number of trials and pain related to  
1130 a limited set of surgical procedures (most commonly cesarean section, anterior cruciate ligament (ACL)

reconstruction, knee arthroplasty, and cholecystectomy), limiting generalizability to other surgical procedures. Opioids were associated with increased risk of adverse events such as nausea, dizziness, and sedation versus nonopioid pharmacologic therapies (evidence type 2 or 3). The trials were not designed to assess SAEs, and few such events were reported. Evidence on opioids versus acetaminophen was somewhat mixed: for dental pain, the systematic clinical evidence review found opioids were associated with small improvement in pain outcomes on some measures (evidence type 2), but for kidney stone pain, opioids were associated with small increase in pain (evidence type 2). Evidence on NSAIDs versus acetaminophen was also somewhat mixed: for dental pain, evidence indicated that NSAIDs were associated with moderate to large decrease in pain (evidence type 2), but for kidney stone pain, evidence was insufficient. Evidence on nonopioid pharmacologic therapies other than NSAIDs or acetaminophen was very limited.

Evidence on nonpharmacological therapies for acute pain was limited. For low back pain, the AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review found heat therapy was associated with a moderate decrease in pain versus usual care or placebo at 1 day to <1 week and at 2 to <4 weeks (evidence type 2 to 3). There may be no difference between spinal manipulation versus inactive controls for non-radicular low back pain (evidence type 2 to 3), though one trial of patients with radiculopathy found manipulation was associated with increased likelihood of improvement in pain at 2 to <4 weeks, and at ≥4 weeks (evidence type 3) (Santilli, Beghi, & Finucci, 2006). Acupuncture was associated with moderate improvement in pain and function versus an NSAID for low back pain, but findings were based on one trial that evaluated one session of acupuncture and a single dose of an NSAID (evidence type 3) (Shin et al., 2013). For postoperative pain, there was type 3 evidence that massage might have some effectiveness, with likely no difference between cold therapy versus no cold therapy, with the possible exception of decreased pain medication use at <1 week. There was also limited evidence supporting effectiveness of acupressure for acute musculoskeletal pain (evidence type 3). Reporting of harms for

nonpharmacologic therapies was suboptimal. However, the noninvasive nonpharmacologic therapies evaluated in the AHRQ systematic clinical evidence review were generally not thought to be associated with serious harms, and harms were few when reported.

Trials of opioid therapy for acute pain were not designed to evaluate effects on long-term use of opioids or outcomes such as misuse or development of opioid use disorder. Limited evidence from observational studies found being prescribed an opioid for acute low back pain or after minor or elective surgical procedures was associated with increased likelihood of opioid use at longer term (e.g., 6 months or 1 year) follow-up (evidence type 3). Evidence on factors associated with opioid prescribing in patients with acute pain conditions was very limited, and suggested that legislation mandating use of prescription drug monitoring program data prior to prescribing was not associated with decreases in opioid prescribing for low back pain or postoperative pain. No studies were identified that evaluated the accuracy or effectiveness of risk assessment instruments to inform use of opioids for acute pain.

#### **Treatments for acute episodic migraine**

The AHRQ review on treatments for acute episodic migraine (Halker Singh et al., December 2020) found limited evidence on the benefits and harms of opioids. It found that opioids might be associated with decreased pain versus placebo, but worse pain outcomes versus nonopioid pharmacological therapy (evidence type 3). Most outcomes were assessed at short-term (2 hours or 1 day) follow-up. Opioids were associated with increased risk of adverse events, though evidence on serious adverse events was lacking. There were no studies on instruments for predicting opioid misuse, opioid use disorder, or overdose, or risk mitigation strategies in patients prescribed opioids for migraine.

The AHRQ review found stronger (type 1 or 2) evidence supporting the effectiveness of several established nonopioid pharmacological therapies for improving pain resolution in acute episodic migraine, including triptans, NSAIDs, dihydroergotamine, and ergotamine plus caffeine. Evidence also

1178 favored antiemetics versus placebo or no antiemetic but was more limited (evidence type 3). Newer  
1179 treatments (calcitonin gene-related peptide [CGRP] antagonists [gepants] and the 5-HT<sub>1F</sub> receptor  
1180 antagonist lasmiditan) were associated with reduced pain and improved function versus placebo  
1181 (evidence type 2 or 3). However, lasmiditan was associated with increased risk of severe adverse events  
1182 (most commonly, dizziness; evidence type 3); evidence on serious adverse events of CGRP antagonists  
1183 was insufficient.

1184 Evidence on nonpharmacological therapy for acute episodic migraine was sparse. There was  
1185 moderate evidence (evidence type 2) supporting remote electrical neuromodulation. More limited  
1186 evidence (evidence type 3) supported acupuncture, chamomile oil, external trigeminal nerve  
1187 stimulation, and eye movement desensitization reprocessing. There was insufficient evidence to  
1188 determine risk of serious adverse events with nonpharmacological therapies for acute episodic  
1189 migraine.

#### 1190 **Contextual evidence reviews**

#### 1191 **Patient and clinician values and preferences**

#### 1192 **Opioids for chronic pain**

1193 A Contextual Evidence Review conducted for the 2016 CDC Guideline (Dowell et al., 2016) found  
1194 data indicating that physicians frequently lacked confidence in their ability to safely prescribe opioids,  
1195 predict or identify prescription medication misuse or opioid use disorder, or discuss these issues with  
1196 their patients. Clinicians reported favorable beliefs and attitudes about effects of opioids on pain and  
1197 quality of life; however, they also had concerns about risk of opioid use disorder and overdose, yet did  
1198 not consistently utilize risk mitigation strategies (e.g., use of PDMP data, urine toxicology testing, and/or  
1199 opioid treatment agreements). Evidence on patient values and preferences was limited but indicated

1200 unfamiliarity with some terms (“opioids”), more familiarity with the term “narcotics” but an association  
1201 between “narcotics” and “addiction” or “abuse,” and concerns about addiction and abuse. Side effects  
1202 such as nausea, constipation, and somnolence (rather than pain relief) accounted for most of the  
1203 variation in patient preferences regarding use of opioids. Patients prescribed high dose opioids reported  
1204 reliance on opioids, and ambivalence or uncertainty about benefits and side effects.

1205         The AHRQ review identified some new information on preferences and values. A survey of 961  
1206 clinicians found that 82% were reluctant to prescribe opioids and less than half (47%) expressed  
1207 confidence in caring for patients with chronic noncancer pain (Ebbert et al., 2018). Sixty-seven percent  
1208 were aware of the 2016 CDC guideline and 55% were enrolled in the state PDMP; 2% always or  
1209 frequently prescribed naloxone to patients on opioids, although results are difficult to interpret as the  
1210 study did not specify whether patients met 2016 CDC Guideline criteria for naloxone. Guideline  
1211 awareness was associated with increased confidence in caring for patients with chronic pain. Other  
1212 surveys found negative attitudes or concerns regarding prescription opioid use disorder, but beliefs in  
1213 potential effectiveness of opioids for treating pain and support for policies and guidelines aimed at  
1214 mitigating risks, with increased confidence when following “best practices” (Kennedy-Hendricks et al.,  
1215 2016; D. H. Lin et al., 2017; Razouki, Khokhar, Philpot, & Ebbert, 2019).

1216         Regarding patient preferences and values, a new systematic review found that among various  
1217 opioid-related outcomes (effects), patients ranked pain relief, nausea, and vomiting as most important,  
1218 followed by constipation (Goshua et al., 2018). “Addiction” was only evaluated in two studies and rated  
1219 as less important than pain relief. An online (non-peer reviewed) survey of over 3000 patients 1 year  
1220 after the release of the 2016 CDC Guideline found that 84% reported more pain and worse quality of life  
1221 and 42% said they had considered suicide; however, the survey did not attempt to sample patients with  
1222 chronic pain using a rigorous methodological approach (Pain News Network, 2017).

1223 **Noninvasive nonpharmacological treatments for chronic pain**

1224 The Contextual Evidence Review found that evidence on patient values and preferences related  
1225 to noninvasive nonpharmacological treatments for chronic pain was limited. A Gallup poll found that  
1226 78% of Americans preferred nonpharmacological therapies (e.g., physical therapy and chiropractic care)  
1227 to address pain over prescribed pain medication (Rosenberg et al., 2008). Another survey indicated  
1228 frequent use of complementary and integrative therapies for chronic pain (Francois, Lanier, Marich,  
1229 Wallendorf, & Van Dillen, 2018).

1230 Clinicians generally agreed with use of guideline-supported therapies and therapies supported  
1231 by evidence, including nonpharmacological therapies; clinicians also felt that treatments should be  
1232 credible and individualized to the patient (Cottrell, Foster, Porcheret, Rathod, & Roddy, 2017; Dima et  
1233 al., 2013). Clinician concerns regarding nonpharmacological treatments included costs and safety  
1234 (Cottrell et al., 2017). Surveys indicated high support for use of exercise therapy, complementary  
1235 medicine therapies, and psychological therapies (Cottrell, Roddy, & Foster, 2010; Cowell et al., 2018;  
1236 Driver, Kean, Opreescu, & Lovell, 2017); clinicians also supported chronic pain management informed by  
1237 a biopsychosocial framework or using a multidimensional approach (Holden, Nicholls, Young, Hay, &  
1238 Foster, 2009). Some barriers to use of therapies included lack of knowledge or expertise and uncertainty  
1239 regarding potential benefits (Cottrell et al., 2010; Cowell et al., 2018; Dima et al., 2013; Heyward et al.,  
1240 2018; Holden et al., 2009; Sierpina, Levine, Astin, & Tan, 2007).

1241 **Nonopioid pharmacological treatments for chronic pain**

1242 The Contextual Evidence Review found limited evidence on clinician and patient values and  
1243 preferences related to nonopioid pharmacological treatments. Evidence described variability in patient  
1244 preferences regarding nonopioid pharmacological treatments, interest in medical cannabis, cost as an  
1245 important consideration, high priority on pain reduction as well as side effects and harms (including risk

of OUD), and high value for having alternatives to opioids (Mühlbacher et al., 2015; Patel et al., 2016; Turk et al., 2020). A survey of pharmacists in Canada found that 38% agreed that non-prescription analgesics should be first line for chronic low back pain and 79% agreed that tricyclic antidepressants are effective for peripheral diabetic neuropathy (R. C. Wielage, Bansal, Andrews, Klein, & Happich, 2013).

#### **Treatments for acute pain**

The Contextual Evidence Review found limited evidence suggesting variability in patient values and preferences regarding treatments for acute pain (Fullen et al., 2008; Hallway et al., 2019), with some evidence of high satisfaction when postoperative pain was managed using an opioid-sparing pathway (Swenson, Prashar, Mangino, Thode, & Singer, 2019). There was also variability in clinician values and preferences regarding acute pain treatments that were impacted by clinical specialty, knowledge regarding effectiveness, and costs; negative attitudes towards acute pain conditions were associated with less likelihood of using or re-dosing opioids (Cherkin, Deyo, Wheeler, & Ciol, 1995; Fullen et al., 2009; Glassberg et al., 2013; Green, Wheeler, & LaPorte, 2003; Mikhail, Korner-Bitensky, Rossignol, & Dumas, 2005). A systematic review found inconsistent evidence that education increased clinician adherence with acute low back pain guideline recommendations in terms of referral rates to physiotherapy (C. C. Lin et al., 2018).

#### **Treatments for acute episodic migraine**

The Contextual Evidence Review found very limited evidence on clinician and patient values and preferences related to treatments for acute episodic migraine. One survey found that patients with headaches (primarily episodic or chronic migraine) prioritized efficacy of treatment over the safety or route of administration and preferred oral over parenteral medications (Adelman & Belsey, 2003). A survey of Canadian pharmacists found that 42% agreed that migraine patients should try non-

prescription prior to prescription medications and 53% agreed that triptans should be reserved until failure of at least two other prescription medications (R. C. Wielage et al., 2013).

## **Costs and cost-effectiveness**

### **Opioid therapy for chronic pain**

The Contextual Evidence Review conducted for the 2016 CDC Guideline estimated (based on studies published after 2010) yearly direct and indirect costs related to prescription opioids at \$53.4 billion for nonmedical use of prescription opioids; \$55.7 billion for abuse, dependence (i.e., opioid use disorder), and misuse of prescription opioids; and \$20.4 billion for opioid-related overdoses (Birnbaum et al., 2011; Hansen, Oster, Edelsberg, Woody, & Sullivan, 2011; Inocencio, Carroll, Read, & Holdford, 2013). In 2012, total expenses for outpatient prescription opioids were estimated at \$9.0 billion, an increase of 120% from 2002 (Stagnitti, 2001). Based on a large national sample of 2008 claims data, direct costs of opioids in patients with osteoarthritis were estimated at \$287.4 per patient, but there was wide variability in estimates (SD \$1,652.1) (Gore, Tai, Sadosky, Leslie, & Stacey, 2012). One study estimated costs of urine toxicology testing (including screening and confirmatory tests) at \$211 to \$363 per test (Laffer et al., 2011).

The AHRQ report included data that estimated the total economic burden of fatal overdose, abuse, and dependence of prescription opioids in 2013 at \$78.5 billion, with \$28.9 billion related to increased healthcare and substance use disorder treatment costs (Florence, Zhou, Luo, & Xu, 2016). More recent data indicate that spending on opioid prescriptions peaked at \$1.6 billion in 2009, with a decrease to \$1.2 billion in 2016 (Cox, Rae, & Sawyer, 2018). However, costs of treatment for opioid use disorder and overdose increased (\$646 million in 2009 and \$2.6 billion in 2016). Data also indicate that Medicaid spending on opioids has declined since 2014, though spending on buprenorphine (a partial



opioid agonist often used to treat opioid use disorder) has increased (Young, 2019), likely because of greater numbers of individuals accessing medication and treatment for opioid use disorder (MOUD).

No study was identified that formally evaluated the cost-effectiveness of opioid therapy versus no opioid therapy or nonopioid pharmacological therapy for noncancer pain. A modeling study that estimated 80% of opioid overdose deaths to be attributable to illicit opioids projected that interventions targeting prescription opioid misuse such as prescription monitoring programs would decrease the number of opioid overdose deaths by 3.0% to 5.3% (Chen et al., 2019). There were also no cost-effectiveness analyses of risk mitigation strategies in persons prescribed opioids for chronic pain. A systematic review that included 43 economic evaluation studies of treatments for opioid use disorder found evidence supporting the cost-effectiveness of methadone therapy, with less evidence for other opioid use disorder therapies (Murphy & Polsky, 2016). Additional analyses from the UK and California also found treatment for opioid use disorder to be cost-effective or cost saving (Kenworthy et al., 2017; E. Krebs et al., 2018).

#### **Noninvasive nonpharmacological treatments for chronic pain**

The Contextual Evidence Review found that for nonpharmacological treatments covered by commercial insurers, out-of-pocket costs ranged from \$25 to \$60 per visit (\$150 to \$720 for a 6- to 12-visit course of therapy) (Heyward et al., 2018). Studies found that a number of nonpharmacologic therapies were cost-effective for various chronic pain conditions. For osteoarthritis, cost-effective interventions (relative to a comparison such as no therapy or usual care) included exercise, acupuncture, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (Center for Health Information and Analysis, 2015; Coupe et al., 2007; Dagenais, Caro, & Haldeman, 2008; Hurley et al., 2007; Jessep, Walsh, Ratcliffe, & Hurley, 2009; MacPherson et al., 2017; Oppong et al., 2015; Sevick et al., 2000; Sevick, Miller, Loeser, Williamson, & Messier, 2009). For low back pain, cost-effective interventions included interdisciplinary

1313 rehabilitation, exercise, yoga, acupuncture, spinal manipulation, cognitive behavioral therapy,  
1314 mindfulness based stress reduction, biofeedback, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation (Aboagye,  
1315 Karlsson, Hagberg, & Jensen, 2015; Andronis et al., 2017; Driessen, Lin, & van Tulder, 2012; Haines &  
1316 Bowles, 2017; Herman et al., 2017; Herman, Lavelle, Sorbero, Hurwitz, & Coulter, 2019; C. W. Lin, Haas,  
1317 Maher, Machado, & van Tulder, 2011; Suni et al., 2018; Tsertsvadze et al., 2014). For neck pain, cost-  
1318 effective interventions included manual therapy, physiotherapy, acupuncture, exercise, and spinal  
1319 manipulative therapy (Essex et al., 2017; Herman et al., 2019; Miyamoto, Lin, Cabral, van Dongen, & van  
1320 Tulder, 2019; R. L. Robinson & Jones, 2006; van der Velde et al., 2016; Willich et al., 2006). For  
1321 fibromyalgia, cost-effectiveness analyses of nonpharmacological therapies was very limited (Luciano et  
1322 al., 2014), but some evidence suggested that cognitive behavioral therapy dominated (associated with  
1323 cost savings and greater benefits) pharmacological therapy or usual care (Hsiao & Fraenkel, 2019).

#### 1324 **Nonopioid pharmacologic treatments for chronic pain**

1325 The Contextual Evidence Review found some evidence indicating that nonopioid  
1326 pharmacological therapies are cost-effective for chronic pain. For osteoarthritis and low back pain, there  
1327 was some evidence that nonopioid pharmacological therapies (NSAIDs, duloxetine) are cost-effective  
1328 versus opioids (Huelin, Pokora, Foster, & Mould, 2012; Ivanova, Birnbaum, Kantor, Schiller, & Swindle,  
1329 2012; R. Wielage, Bansal, Wilson, Klein, & Happich, 2013); studies also found NSAIDs, duloxetine, and  
1330 pregabalin cost-effective versus usual care or no treatment (Huelin et al., 2012; Ivanova, Birnbaum,  
1331 Kantor, Schiller, & Swindle, 2014; Morera-Dominguez, Ceberio-Balda, Florez-Garcia, Masramon, &  
1332 Lopez-Gomez, 2010; O'Connor, 2009). For neuropathic pain, cost-effective treatments included tricyclic  
1333 antidepressants, duloxetine, pregabalin, and topical capsaicin or lidocaine (Armstrong, Malone,  
1334 McCarberg, Panarites, & Pham, 2011; Beard et al., 2011; Cepeda & Farrar, 2006; Darba et al., 2014; de  
1335 Salas-Cansado, Perez, Saldana, Navarro, & Rejas, 2012; J. Gordon et al., 2012; Kirson et al., 2010;  
1336 Liedgens et al., 2008; Mankowski, Patel, Trueman, Bentley, & Poole, 2016; Parker, Huelin, Khankhel,

1337 Wasiak, & Mould, 2015; Tarride, Gordon, Vera-Llonch, Dukes, & Rousseau, 2006; E. Q. Wu et al., 2006;  
1338 N. Wu, Chen, Boulanger, Rao, & Zhao, 2011; Zhao et al., 2010). For fibromyalgia, cost-effective  
1339 treatments included duloxetine, pregabalin, and amitriptyline, though analyses of relative cost-  
1340 effectiveness among these therapies were inconsistent (Burke et al., 2012; Gan et al., 2004; Gore, Tai,  
1341 Chandran, Zlateva, & Leslie, 2012; Harnett et al., 2011; Kleinman et al., 2011; Lloyd, Boomershine, Choy,  
1342 Chandran, & Zlateva, 2012; P. Sun et al., 2014; Zhao, Sun, & Watson, 2011).

#### 1343 **Treatments for acute pain**

1344 The Contextual Evidence Review found limited evidence exercise was cost-effective for acute  
1345 low back pain and interdisciplinary rehabilitation cost-effective for low back pain that was identified as  
1346 high risk for becoming chronic (Essex et al., 2017; Rogerson, Gatchel, & Bierner, 2010; Seferlis, Lindholm,  
1347 & Nemeth, 2000). There was limited evidence that acetaminophen and spinal manipulation were not  
1348 cost-effective for acute low back pain (the acetaminophen analysis was based on a randomized trial that  
1349 found acetaminophen to be ineffective for acute low back pain and the spinal manipulation analysis was  
1350 based on a cohort study that found that manipulation for acute low back pain did not reduce follow-up  
1351 visits or days of sick leave for low back pain) (C. C. Lin et al., 2018; Walker, Mertens, Schmidt, & Chenot,  
1352 2017). One cohort study of patients with postsurgical pain found use of long-acting opioids within 30  
1353 days associated with greater costs of services (\$11,900 vs. \$8,400,  $p < 0.0001$ ) (Gold, Strassels, & Hansen,  
1354 2016).

#### 1355 **Treatments for acute episodic migraine**

1356 The Contextual Evidence Review found that studies on costs and cost-effectiveness of  
1357 treatments for acute episodic migraine focused almost exclusively on triptans. Triptans were  
1358 consistently found to be associated with low costs per pain-free episode and other outcomes (e.g.,  
1359 migraine-disability days averted) (Asseburg et al., 2012; Belsey, 2004; Cady, Sheftell, Lipton, Kwong, &

O'Quinn, 2001; Kelman & Von Seggern, 2006; Lofland et al., 2001; Lofland & Nash, 2005; Mullins, Subedi, Healey, & Sanchez, 2007; Perfetto, Weis, Mullins, Subedi, & Healey, 2005; P. Williams & Reeder, 2004). Triptans were dominant (more effective and less costly) over fixed-dose combination of ergotamine tartrate plus caffeine (Zhang & Hay, 2005).

## Recommendations

This clinical practice guideline includes 12 recommendations ([Box 1](#)) for clinicians who are prescribing opioids for outpatients aged ≥18 years with acute (duration <1 month) pain, subacute (duration of 1-3 months) pain, or chronic (duration of >3 months) pain outside of sickle cell disease-related pain management, cancer pain treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care. Refer to the earlier section on scope and audience for further details on clinicians and patients and on definitions of acute, subacute, and chronic pain. In accordance with the ACIP adapted GRADE process, CDC based the recommendations on consideration of clinical evidence, contextual evidence (including benefits and harms, values and preferences, resource allocation), and expert opinion. Expert input is reflected within the recommendation rationales. For each recommendation statement, CDC notes the recommendation category (A or B) and the type of the evidence (1, 2, 3, or 4) supporting the statement ([Box 2](#)).

Category A recommendations indicate that most patients should receive the recommended course of action; category B recommendations indicate that different choices will be appropriate for different patients, requiring clinicians to help patients arrive at a decision consistent with patient values and preferences and specific clinical situations. Consistent with the ACIP (Ahmed, 2013; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018a) and GRADE process (Balshem et al., 2011), category A recommendations were made, even with type 3 and 4 evidence, when there was broad agreement that the advantages of a clinical action greatly outweighed the disadvantages based on a consideration of benefits and harms, values and preferences, and resource allocation. Category B recommendations

1383 were made when there was broad agreement that the advantages and disadvantages of a clinical action  
1384 were more balanced, but advantages were significant enough to warrant a recommendation.  
1385 Recommendations were associated with a range of evidence types, from type 1 to type 4.

1386 In summary, the categorization of recommendations was based on the following assessment:

- 1387 • A number of nonpharmacological treatments and a number of nonopioid medications are  
1388 associated with improvements in pain and/or function that are reportedly comparable to  
1389 improvements associated with opioid use.
- 1390 • There is evidence that several noninvasive, nonpharmacologic interventions improve chronic  
1391 pain and function, with small to moderate effects in specific pain conditions, and are not  
1392 associated with serious harms. Compared with medication treatment, for which benefits are  
1393 anticipated while patients are taking the medication but are not usually expected to persist  
1394 following completion of treatment (once patients stop taking the medication), several  
1395 noninvasive, nonpharmacologic interventions are associated with improvements in pain and/or  
1396 function that are sustained following treatment.
- 1397 • Nonopioid drugs, including SNRI antidepressants, pregabalin/gabapentin, and NSAIDs, are  
1398 associated with small to moderate improvements in chronic pain and function. Drug class-  
1399 specific adverse events include serious cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, or renal effects with  
1400 NSAIDs and sedation with anticonvulsants.
- 1401 • Opioid therapy is associated with similar or decreased effectiveness for pain and function versus  
1402 NSAIDs across several acute pain conditions, with small improvements in short-term (1 to <6  
1403 months) pain and function compared with placebo, with increased short-term harms compared  
1404 with placebo, and with evidence of attenuated pain reduction over time (between 3 and 6  
1405 months versus between 1 and 3 months). There is evidence from observational studies of an  
1406 association between opioid use for acute pain and long-term opioid use. Evidence on long-term

|

effectiveness of opioids remains very limited; a long-term (12 months) randomized trial of stepped therapy for chronic musculoskeletal pain found no difference in function and higher pain intensity after starting with opioid therapy compared to starting with nonopioid therapy. There is evidence of increased risk of serious harms (including opioid use disorder and overdose) with long-term opioid therapy that appears to increase with increase in opioid dosage, without a clear threshold below which there is no risk. There is no validated, reliable way to predict which patients will suffer serious harm from opioid therapy and no reliable way to predict which patients will benefit from opioid therapy.

- It can be very challenging for clinicians and patients to discontinue opioids after extended periods of continuous opioid use. Tapering or discontinuing opioids in patients who have taken them long-term can be associated with significant risks (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019c), particularly if opioids are tapered rapidly or patients do not receive effective support.
- Patients, caregivers, and clinicians responded to CDC with invited input regarding their lived experiences and perspectives related to pain and pain management options. Key themes expressed included strained patient-provider relationships and the need for patients and providers to make shared decisions, the impact of misapplication of the 2016 CDC Guideline, inconsistent access to effective pain management solutions, and achieving reduced prescription opioid use through diverse approaches.

Each of the 12 recommendations is followed by a rationale for the recommendation, with considerations for implementation noted immediately below the recommendation statement. These bulleted implementation considerations offer practical insights meant to further inform clinician-patient decision-making for the respective recommendation and are not meant to be rigidly or inflexibly followed. The recommendations are grouped into four areas for consideration:

- Determining whether or not to initiate opioids for pain

- 1431       • Opioid selection and dosage
- 1432       • Opioid duration and follow-up
- 1433       • Assessing risk and addressing potential harms of opioid use
- 1434       In addition, these five guiding principles should broadly inform implementation across
- 1435 recommendations:
- 1436       1. Acute, subacute, and chronic pain need to be appropriately and effectively treated independent
- 1437       of whether opioids are part of a treatment regimen.
- 1438       2. Recommendations are voluntary and are intended to support, not supplant, individualized,
- 1439       person-centered care. Flexibility to meet the care needs and the clinical circumstances of a
- 1440       specific patient are paramount.
- 1441       3. A multimodal and multidisciplinary approach to pain management attending to the physical
- 1442       health, behavioral health, long-term services and supports, and expected health outcomes and
- 1443       well-being of each person is critical.
- 1444       4. Special attention should be given to avoid misapplying this updated clinical practice guideline
- 1445       beyond its intended use or implementing policies purportedly derived from it that might lead to
- 1446       unintended consequences for patients.
- 1447       5. Clinicians, practices, health systems, and payers should vigilantly attend to health inequities,
- 1448       provide culturally and linguistically appropriate communication (Office of Minority Health,
- 1449       2021), including communication that is accessible to persons with disabilities, and ensure access
- 1450       to an appropriate, affordable, diversified, coordinated, and effective nonpharmacologic and
- 1451       pharmacologic pain management regimen for all persons.

1452       **Determining whether or not to initiate opioids for pain**

1453 All patients with pain should receive treatment that provides the greatest benefits relative to  
1454 risks. See Recommendation 1 for determining whether to initiate opioids for acute pain (i.e., with a  
1455 duration of less than one month) and Recommendation 2 for determining whether or not to initiate  
1456 opioids for subacute (i.e., with a duration of at least one month and less than three months) or chronic  
1457 pain (i.e., with a duration of three months or more).

1458  
1459 **1. Nonopioid therapies are effective for many common types of acute pain. Clinicians should only**  
1460 **consider opioid therapy for acute pain if benefits are anticipated to outweigh risks to the patient**  
1461 **(recommendation category: B, evidence type: 3).**

1462 Implementation considerations:

- 1463 • *There is an important role for opioid therapy for acute pain related to severe traumatic injuries*  
1464 *(including crush injuries and burns), invasive surgeries typically associated with moderate to*  
1465 *severe postoperative pain, and other severe acute pain when NSAIDs and other therapies are*  
1466 *contraindicated or likely to be ineffective.*
- 1467 • *Opioids are not first-line therapy for many common acute pain conditions, including low back*  
1468 *pain, neck pain, pain related to other musculoskeletal injuries (such as sprains, strains,*  
1469 *tendonitis, bursitis), pain related to minor surgeries typically associated with minimal tissue*  
1470 *injury and only mild postoperative pain (e.g., dental extraction), dental pain, kidney stone pain,*  
1471 *and headaches including episodic migraine.*
- 1472 • *When diagnosis and severity of acute pain are reasonably assumed to warrant the use of*  
1473 *opioids, clinicians should prescribe immediate-release opioids (see Recommendation 3) at the*  
1474 *lowest dose to achieve expected effects (see Recommendation 4) and for no longer than the*  
1475 *expected duration of pain severe enough to require opioids (see Recommendation 6).*
- 1476 • *Clinicians should maximize use of nonopioid pharmacologic (e.g., NSAIDs and/or acetaminophen)*  
1477 *and nonpharmacologic (e.g., ice, heat, elevation, rest, immobilization and/or exercise) therapies*  
1478 *as appropriate for the specific condition and continue these therapies as needed once opioids are*  
1479 *discontinued.*
- 1480 • *Clinicians should prescribe and advise opioid use only as needed (e.g., hydrocodone 5*  
1481 *mg/acetaminophen 325mg, one tablet not more frequently than every 4 hours as needed for*  
1482 *pain) rather than on a scheduled basis (e.g., one tablet every 4 hours) and encourage and include*  
1483 *an opioid taper if opioids will be taken around the clock for more than a few days (see*  
1484 *Recommendation 6).*
- 1485 • *If patients already receiving opioids in a long-term fashion require additional medication for*  
1486 *acute pain, nonopioid medications should be used when possible, and if additional opioids are*



1487           *required (e.g., for superimposed severe acute pain), they should be continued only for the*  
1488           *duration of pain severe enough to require additional opioids, returning to the patient's baseline*  
1489           *opioid dosage as soon as possible, including a taper to baseline dosage if additional opioids were*  
1490           *used around the clock for more than a few days (see Recommendation 6).*

- 1491           • *Clinicians should ensure that patients are aware of expected benefits of, common and serious*  
1492           *risks of, and alternatives to opioids before starting or continuing opioid therapy and should*  
1493           *involve patients meaningfully in decisions about whether to start opioid therapy.*

#### 1494   *Supporting Rationale*

1495           Evaluation of the patient is critical in order to inform appropriate management. Evaluation can  
1496   identify reversible causes of pain and underlying etiologies with potentially serious sequelae that require  
1497   urgent action. To guide patient-specific selection of therapy, clinicians should evaluate patients and  
1498   establish or confirm the diagnosis. Diagnosis can help identify interventions to reverse, ameliorate, or  
1499   prevent worsening of pain and improve function; for example, surgical intervention to repair structure  
1500   and function following certain traumatic injuries, bracing to prevent recurrence of acute ankle sprain,  
1501   fracture immobilization, ice or elevation to reduce swelling, and early mobilization to maintain function  
1502   (Doherty, Bleakley, Delahunt, & Holden, 2017).

#### 1503           **Noninvasive, nonpharmacologic approaches to acute pain** 1504

1505           Noninvasive, nonpharmacologic approaches have the potential to improve pain and function  
1506   without risk of serious harms (Chou et al., December 2020). The clinical evidence reviews found that  
1507   some nonpharmacologic treatments were likely effective for acute pain (e.g., heat therapy will probably  
1508   be effective for acute low back pain, spinal manipulation might be effective for acute back pain with  
1509   radiculopathy, a cervical collar or exercise might be effective for acute neck pain with radiculopathy,  
1510   acupressure might be effective for acute musculoskeletal pain, massage might be effective for  
1511   postoperative pain (Chou et al., December 2020), and remote electrical neuromodulation might improve  
1512   acute pain related to episodic migraine (Halker Singh et al., December 2020)). Some nonpharmacologic

therapies are relatively low cost and available without a clinician appointment (e.g., heat for low back pain) (Chou et al., December 2020).

The American College of Physicians recommends nonpharmacologic treatment with superficial heat, massage, acupuncture, or spinal manipulation as a cornerstone of treatment for acute low back pain (Qaseem, Wilt, McLean, & Forciea, 2017). The American College of Physicians and American Academy of Family Physicians suggest acupressure to improve pain and function and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to reduce pain in patients with acute musculoskeletal injuries (Qaseem et al., 2020).

Despite evidence supporting their use, noninvasive, nonpharmacologic therapies are not always or fully covered by insurance (Heyward et al., 2018), and access and cost can be barriers for patients, particularly for patients who are uninsured, individuals with limited income, and for people with transportation challenges or living in rural areas. Experts expressed concern about limited access to non-opioid pain management modalities, in part due to lack of availability or lack of coverage by payers, and emphasized improving access to non-opioid pain management modalities as a priority. To improve pain management and reduce medication use and associated risks, health insurers and health systems should increase access to noninvasive, nonpharmacologic therapies with evidence of effectiveness. Noninvasive, nonpharmacologic approaches should be used as appropriate to alleviate acute pain, including ice and elevation to reduce swelling and discomfort from musculoskeletal injuries, heat to alleviate low back pain, and other modalities depending on the cause of the acute pain.

#### **Nonopioid medications for acute pain**

Many acute pain conditions can often be managed most effectively with nonopioid medications (Chou et al., December 2020). NSAIDs are probably more effective than opioids for surgical dental pain and for kidney stone pain and similarly effective to opioids for low back pain (Chou et al., December 2020). There is limited evidence on comparative effectiveness of therapies for acute neuropathic pain,

1538 neck pain, and postoperative pain (Chou et al., December 2020). For episodic migraine, triptans, NSAIDs,  
1539 antiemetics, dihydroergotamine, CGRP antagonists, and lasmiditan are associated with improved pain  
1540 and function with generally mild and transient adverse events (Halker Singh et al., December 2020).

1541       The American College of Physicians recommends NSAIDs or skeletal muscle relaxants if  
1542 pharmacologic treatment is desired to treat low back pain (Qaseem et al., 2017). For acute  
1543 musculoskeletal injuries other than low back pain, the American College of Physicians and American  
1544 Academy of Family Physicians recommend topical NSAIDs with or without menthol gel as first-line  
1545 therapy and suggest oral NSAIDs to improve function, or oral acetaminophen to reduce pain (Qaseem et  
1546 al., 2020). The American Dental Association recommends NSAIDs as first-line treatment for acute dental  
1547 pain management (American Dental Association, 2020). For pain management for women in the  
1548 postpartum period, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends a  
1549 stepwise, multimodal approach. After vaginal delivery, ACOG recommends acetaminophen or NSAIDs,  
1550 and if needed, escalating to an opioid; after caesarian delivery, ACOG recommends standard oral and  
1551 parenteral medications such as acetaminophen, NSAIDs, and/or low-dose, low-potency, short-acting  
1552 opioids with duration of opioid use limited to the shortest reasonable course expected for treating acute  
1553 pain (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2021). ACOG recommends counseling  
1554 individuals who are prescribed opioids about the risk of central nervous system depression in the  
1555 individual and in the breastfed infant (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2021).  
1556 For acute kidney stone pain, NSAIDs are at least as effective as opioids (Cordell et al., 1994; Cordell et  
1557 al., 1996; Teichman, 2004; Udén, Rentzhog, & Berger, 1983), can decrease the ureteral smooth muscle  
1558 tone and ureteral spasm (Cole, Fry, & Shuttleworth, 1988) causing kidney stone pain, and are preferred  
1559 for kidney stone pain if not contraindicated. Triptans, NSAIDs, combined triptans with NSAIDs, as well as  
1560 antiemetics, dihydroergotamine, and acetaminophen are established acute treatments for migraine  
1561 (Halker Singh et al., December 2020). The 5-HT<sub>1F</sub> receptor antagonist lasmiditan and the gepant

ubrogepant were approved by the FDA in 2019 for the treatment of migraine (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019a); another gepant, rimegepant, was approved in 2020. Lasmiditan and the gepants were more effective than placebo in providing pain relief at 2 hours, 1 day, and at 1 week (Halker Singh et al., December 2020). Adverse events related to these newer medications require further study, but given their mechanisms of action, are believed to be nonvasoconstrictive (Shapiro et al., 2019), and potentially carry lower risks than vasoactive medications in patients with cardiovascular risk factors (Halker Singh et al., December 2020).

When not contraindicated, NSAIDs should be used for low back pain, painful musculoskeletal injuries (including minor pain related to fractures), dental pain, postoperative pain, and kidney stones; triptans, NSAIDs, or their combinations should be used along with antiemetics as needed for acute pain related to episodic migraine. NSAID use has been associated with serious gastrointestinal events and major coronary events (McDonagh et al., April 2020), particularly in patients with cardiovascular or gastrointestinal co-morbidities, and clinicians should weigh risks and benefits of use, dose, and duration of NSAIDs when treating older adults as well as patients with hypertension, renal insufficiency, or heart failure, or those with risk for peptic ulcer disease or cardiovascular disease. Vasoactive effects of triptans and ergot alkaloids might preclude their use in patients with migraine who also have cardiovascular risk factors (Buse, Reed, Fanning, Kurth, & Lipton, 2017; Halker Singh et al., December 2020; Lipton, Reed, Kurth, Fanning, & Buse, 2017). Clinicians should review FDA-approved labeling, including boxed warnings before initiating treatment with any pharmacologic therapy.

#### **Opioid medication for acute pain**

The evidence review (Chou et al., December 2020) found that opioids might not be more effective than nonopioid therapies for some acute pain conditions (Chang, Bijur, Esses, Barnaby, & Baer, 2017; Friedman et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2015; Moore & Hersh, 2013; Pathan, Mitra, & Cameron, 2018),

and use of opioids might negatively affect recovery and function (Franklin, Stover, Turner, Fulton-Kehoe, & Wickizer, 2008; B. S. Webster, Verma, & Gatchel, 2007). The review found that opioids were probably less effective than NSAIDs for surgical dental pain and kidney stones, less effective than acetaminophen for kidney stone pain, and similarly effective as NSAIDs for low back pain (Chou et al., December 2020). For postoperative pain, effects of opioids on pain intensity were inconsistent, and opioids were associated with increased likelihood of repeat or rescue analgesic use (Chou et al., December 2020). There was some evidence that opioids might be more effective than gabapentin for acute neuropathic pain (Chou et al., December 2020). There was insufficient evidence for opioids in treatment of episodic migraine (Halker Singh et al., December 2020). Compared with NSAIDs or acetaminophen, opioids were associated with increased risk of short-term adverse events, including any adverse event, nausea, dizziness, and somnolence (Chou et al., December 2020). Observational studies found opioid use for acute low back pain or postoperative pain was associated with increased likelihood of long-term opioid use (Chou et al., December 2020). Proportions of adults with new long-term opioid use at follow-up after initiation for short-term use for post-operative pain have ranged from <1% to 13% (Brummett et al., 2017; Deyo et al., 2018; Goesling et al., 2016; S. P. Johnson et al., 2016; J. S. Lee et al., 2017; E. C. Sun, Darnall, Baker, & Mackey, 2016). Odds of long-term opioid use at follow-up after initiation for short-term use for acute pain might be greater with higher dose and duration of exposure. For example, one study found that compared with no early opioid use for acute low back pain, the adjusted odds ratio was 2.08 (95% CI 1.55 to 2.78) for an early prescription totaling 1 to 140 MME/day and increased to 6.14 (95% CI 4.92 to 7.66) for an early prescription totaling  $\geq 450$  MME/day (B. S. Webster et al., 2007). In episodic migraine, opioids as well as butalbital-containing medications were associated with a two-fold higher risk of development of medication overuse headache compared with simple analgesics and triptans (Halker Singh et al., December 2020; Katsarava et al., 2004). Serious adverse events were

1609 uncommon for opioids as well as for other medications, but studies were not designed to assess risk of  
1610 overdose, opioid use disorder, or long-term harms (Chou et al., December 2020).

1611 For acute low back pain, the American College of Physicians found insufficient evidence for  
1612 effectiveness of opioids and recommends nonopioid medications (see **Nonopioid medications for acute**  
1613 **pain**) if choosing pharmacologic treatment (Qaseem et al., 2017). The American College of Physicians  
1614 and American Academy of Family Physicians suggest against treating patients with acute pain from  
1615 musculoskeletal injuries with opioids, including tramadol (Qaseem et al., 2020). The American Dental  
1616 Association recommends NSAIDs as the first-line therapy for acute pain management (see **Nonopioid**  
1617 **medications for acute pain**) (American Dental Association, 2020). The American College of Obstetricians  
1618 and Gynecologists recommends a shared decision-making approach to postpartum discharge pain  
1619 management, incorporating pharmacologic treatments that may include opioids, limiting duration of  
1620 opioid use to the shortest reasonable course expected for treating acute pain, noting that if a codeine-  
1621 containing medication is selected, duration of therapy and neonatal signs of toxicity should be reviewed  
1622 with individuals and their families (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2021).  
1623 Multiple guidelines addressing prescribing for postoperative pain include both nonopioid and opioid  
1624 treatment options and have emphasized multimodal analgesia, incorporating around the clock  
1625 nonopioid analgesics and nonpharmacologic therapies and noting that systemic opioids are often  
1626 needed postoperatively but are not required in all patients (Chou et al., 2016; Hill, Stucke, Billmeier,  
1627 Kelly, & Barth, 2018; Overton et al., 2018). The American Headache Society recommends against  
1628 prescribing opioid or butalbital-containing medications as first-line treatment for recurrent headache  
1629 disorders (Loder, Weizenbaum, Frishberg, & Silberstein, 2013), and the American Academy of Neurology  
1630 recommends against use of these medications for treatment of migraine, except as a last resort (Langer-  
1631 Gould et al., 2013).

1632           Given equivalent or lesser effectiveness for pain relief compared with NSAIDs and risks of long-  
1633 term opioid use after using opioids for acute pain, opioids are not recommended as first-line therapy for  
1634 many common acute pain conditions, including low back pain, neck pain, pain related to other  
1635 musculoskeletal injuries (such as sprains, strains, tendonitis, bursitis), pain related to minor surgeries  
1636 typically associated with minimal tissue injury and only mild postoperative pain (e.g., dental extraction),  
1637 dental pain, kidney stone pain, and headaches including episodic migraine. There is an important role  
1638 for opioid therapy for acute pain related to severe traumatic injuries (including crush injuries and burns),  
1639 invasive surgeries typically associated with moderate to severe postoperative pain, and other severe  
1640 acute pain when NSAIDs and other therapies are contraindicated or likely to be ineffective.

1641           When diagnosis and severity of acute pain are reasonably assumed to warrant the use of  
1642 opioids, clinicians should prescribe immediate-release opioids (see Recommendation 3) at the lowest  
1643 dose to achieve expected effects (see Recommendation 4) and for no longer than the expected duration  
1644 of pain severe enough to require opioids (see Recommendation 6) to minimize unintentional initiation  
1645 of long-term opioid use. Clinicians should maximize use of nonopioid pharmacologic (e.g., NSAIDs  
1646 and/or acetaminophen) and nonpharmacologic (e.g., ice, heat, elevation, rest, immobilization and/or  
1647 exercise) therapies as appropriate for the specific condition and continue these therapies as needed  
1648 once opioids are discontinued. Clinicians should work with patients to prevent prolonged opioid use,  
1649 prescribe and advise opioid use only as needed (e.g., hydrocodone 5 mg/acetaminophen 325mg, one  
1650 tablet not more frequently than every 4 hours as needed for pain) rather than on a scheduled basis (e.g.,  
1651 one tablet every 4 hours), and encourage and include an opioid taper if opioids will be taken around the  
1652 clock for more than a few days (see Recommendation 6). Clinicians should consider concurrent medical  
1653 conditions, including sleep apnea, pregnancy, renal or hepatic insufficiency, mental health conditions,  
1654 and substance use disorder, in assessing risks of opioid therapy (see Recommendation 8), offer naloxone  
1655 if the patient or a household member has risk factors for opioid overdose (see Recommendation 8), use

extreme caution when prescribing benzodiazepines or other sedating medications with opioids (see Recommendation 11), and check the PDMP database to ensure a new opioid prescription will not contribute to cumulative opioid dosages or medication combinations that put the patient at risk for overdose (see Recommendation 9). If there are signs of opioid use disorder, clinicians should address concerns with the patient, should offer or arrange medication treatment for patients who meet criteria for opioid use disorder, and should use nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic treatments as appropriate to manage the patient's pain (see Recommendation 12 and The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: 2020 Focused Update (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020)).

Although findings regarding risks of new long-term opioid use after use for acute pain (Chou et al., December 2020) relate specifically to patients who were previously opioid-naïve, there might also be risks associated with dose escalation (see Recommendation 4) if patients already treated with long-term opioids are prescribed additional opioid medication for new acute pain superimposed on chronic pain. Therefore, strategies that minimize opioid use should be implemented for both opioid-naïve and opioid-tolerant patients with acute pain when possible. If patients already receiving long-term opioids require additional medication for acute pain, nonopioid medications should be used when possible, and if additional opioids are required (e.g., for superimposed severe acute pain), they should be continued only for the duration of pain severe enough to require additional opioids, returning to the patient's baseline opioid dosage as soon as possible, including an appropriate taper to baseline dosage if additional opioids were used around the clock for more than a few days (see Recommendation 6).

Patient education and discussion before starting outpatient opioid therapy are critical so that patient preferences and values can be understood and inform clinical decisions. Clinicians should ensure that patients are aware of expected benefits of, common and serious risks of, and alternatives to opioids before starting or continuing opioid therapy and should involve patients in decisions about whether to



1680 start opioid therapy. Essential elements for communication and discussion with patients before starting  
1681 outpatient opioid therapy for acute pain include the following:

- 1682 • Advise patients that short-term opioid use can lead to unintended long-term opioid use and the  
1683 importance of working toward planned discontinuation of opioid use as soon as feasible,  
1684 including a plan to appropriately taper opioids as pain resolves if opioids have been used around  
1685 the clock for more than a few days (see Recommendation 6).
- 1686 • Review communication mechanisms and protocols patients can use to inform clinicians of  
1687 severe or uncontrolled pain and to arrange for timely reassessment and management.
- 1688 • Advise patients about serious adverse effects of opioids, including potentially fatal respiratory  
1689 depression and development of a potentially serious lifelong opioid use disorder (see  
1690 Recommendation 12) that can cause distress and inability to fulfill major role obligations at  
1691 work, school, or home.
- 1692 • Advise patients about common effects of opioids, such as constipation, dry mouth, nausea,  
1693 vomiting, drowsiness, confusion, tolerance, physical dependence, and withdrawal symptoms  
1694 when stopping opioids. To prevent constipation associated with opioid use, advise patients to  
1695 increase hydration and fiber intake and to maintain or increase physical activity as they are able.  
1696 A cathartic (e.g., senna) with or without a stool softener or a laxative might be needed if opioids  
1697 are used for more than a few days. To minimize withdrawal symptoms, clinicians should provide  
1698 and discuss an opioid tapering plan when opioids will be used around the clock for more than a  
1699 few days (see Recommendation 6). Limiting opioid use to the minimum needed to manage pain  
1700 (e.g., taking the opioid only when needed if needed less frequently than every 4 hours and the  
1701 prescription is written for every 4 hours as needed for pain) can help limit development of  
1702 tolerance and therefore of withdrawal once opioids are discontinued.

- |
- 1703 • If formulations are prescribed that combine opioids with acetaminophen, advise patients of the  
1704 risks of taking additional over-the-counter products containing acetaminophen. Acetaminophen  
1705 can be hepatotoxic at dosages of >3–4 grams/day and at lower dosages in patients with chronic  
1706 alcohol use or liver disease (American Geriatrics Society Panel on the Pharmacological  
1707 Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons, 2009).
  - 1708 • To help patients assess when a dose of opioids is needed, explain that the goal is to reduce pain  
1709 to make it manageable rather than to eliminate pain.
  - 1710 • Discuss effects that opioids might have on ability to safely operate a vehicle or other machinery,  
1711 particularly when opioids are initiated or when other central nervous system depressants, such  
1712 as benzodiazepines or alcohol, are used concurrently.
  - 1713 • Discuss increased risks for opioid use disorder, respiratory depression, and death at higher  
1714 dosages, along with the importance of taking only the amount of opioids prescribed, i.e., not  
1715 taking more opioids or taking them more often.
  - 1716 • Review increased risks for respiratory depression when opioids are taken with benzodiazepines,  
1717 other sedatives, alcohol, non-prescribed or illicit drugs such as heroin, or other opioids (see  
1718 Recommendations 8, 11).
  - 1719 • Discuss risks to household members and other individuals if opioids are intentionally or  
1720 unintentionally shared with others for whom they are not prescribed, including the possibility  
1721 that others might experience overdose at the same or at lower dosage than prescribed for the  
1722 patient, and that young children and pets are susceptible to unintentional ingestion. Discuss  
1723 storage of opioids in a secure, preferably locked location and options for safe disposal of unused  
1724 opioids (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020a).

- 1725 • Discuss planned use of precautions to reduce risks, including naloxone for overdose reversal  
1726 (see Recommendation 8), and clinician use of prescription drug monitoring program information  
1727 (see Recommendation 9).

1728  
1729 **2. Nonopioid therapies are preferred for subacute and chronic pain. Clinicians should only consider**  
1730 **initiating opioid therapy if expected benefits for pain and function are anticipated to outweigh**  
1731 **risks to the patient. Before starting opioid therapy for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should**  
1732 **discuss with patients the known risks and realistic benefits of opioid therapy, should work with**  
1733 **patients to establish treatment goals for pain and function, and should consider how opioid**  
1734 **therapy will be discontinued if benefits do not outweigh risks (recommendation category: A,**  
1735 **evidence type: 2).**

1736 Implementation considerations:

- 1737 • To guide patient-specific selection of therapy, clinicians should evaluate patients and establish or  
1738 confirm the diagnosis.
- 1739 • Clinicians should use appropriate noninvasive, nonpharmacologic approaches to help manage  
1740 chronic pain, such as exercise (aerobic, aquatic, and/or resistance exercises) or exercise therapy  
1741 (a prominent modality in physical therapy) for back pain, fibromyalgia, and hip or knee  
1742 osteoarthritis; weight loss for knee osteoarthritis; manual therapies for hip osteoarthritis;  
1743 psychological therapy, spinal manipulation, low-level laser therapy, massage, mindfulness-based  
1744 stress reduction, yoga, acupuncture, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain; mind-  
1745 body practices (yoga, tai chi, qigong), massage, and acupuncture for neck pain; CBT, myofascial  
1746 release massage, mindfulness practices, tai chi, qigong, acupuncture, and multidisciplinary  
1747 rehabilitation for fibromyalgia; and spinal manipulation for tension headache.
- 1748 • Low-cost options to integrate exercise include walking in public spaces or use of public recreation  
1749 facilities for group exercise. Physical therapy can be helpful, particularly for patients who have  
1750 limited access to safe public spaces or public recreation facilities for exercise or have not  
1751 improved with low-intensity physical exercise.
- 1752 • To improve pain management and reduce medication use and associated risks, health insurers  
1753 and health systems should increase access to noninvasive, nonpharmacologic therapies with  
1754 evidence for effectiveness.
- 1755 • Clinicians should review FDA-approved labeling including boxed warnings and weigh benefits  
1756 and risks before initiating treatment with any pharmacologic therapy.

- 1757 • *When patients affected by osteoarthritis have an insufficient response to nonpharmacologic*  
 1758 *interventions such as exercise for arthritis pain, topical NSAIDs can be used in patients with a*  
 1759 *single or few joints near the surface of the skin (e.g., knee). In patients with osteoarthritis pain in*  
 1760 *multiple joints or incompletely controlled with topical NSAIDs, duloxetine or systemic NSAIDs can*  
 1761 *be considered.*
- 1762 • *NSAIDs should be used at the lowest dose and duration needed and should be used with caution,*  
 1763 *particularly in patients with cardiovascular comorbidities, chronic renal failure, or previous*  
 1764 *gastrointestinal bleeding.*
- 1765 • *When patients with chronic low back pain have had an insufficient response to*  
 1766 *nonpharmacologic approaches such as exercise, clinicians can consider NSAIDs or duloxetine for*  
 1767 *patients without contraindications.*
- 1768 • *Tricyclic, tetracyclic, and SNRI antidepressants, selected anticonvulsants (pregabalin, gabapentin*  
 1769 *enacarbil, oxcarbazepine), and capsaicin and lidocaine patches can be considered for*  
 1770 *neuropathic pain.*
- 1771 • *Duloxetine and pregabalin are FDA-approved for the treatment of diabetic peripheral*  
 1772 *neuropathy, and pregabalin and gabapentin are FDA-approved for treatment of post-herpetic*  
 1773 *neuralgia.*
- 1774 • *In patients with fibromyalgia, tricyclic (amitriptyline) and SNRI antidepressants (duloxetine and*  
 1775 *milnacipran), NSAIDs (topical diclofenac), and specific anticonvulsants (pregabalin and*  
 1776 *gabapentin) are used to improve pain, function, and quality of life. Duloxetine, milnacipran, and*  
 1777 *pregabalin are FDA-approved for the treatment of fibromyalgia.*
- 1778 • *Patients with co-occurring pain and depression might be especially likely to benefit from*  
 1779 *antidepressant medication (see Recommendation 8).*
- 1780 • *Opioids should not be considered first-line or routine therapy for subacute or chronic pain. This*  
 1781 *does not mean that patients should be required to sequentially “fail” nonpharmacologic and*  
 1782 *nonopioid pharmacologic therapy or be required to use any specific therapy before proceeding to*  
 1783 *opioid therapy. Rather, expected benefits specific to the clinical context should be weighed*  
 1784 *against risks before initiating therapy. In some clinical contexts (e.g., serious illness in a patient*  
 1785 *with poor prognosis for return to previous level of function, contraindications to other therapies,*  
 1786 *and clinician and patient agreement that the overriding goal is patient comfort), opioids might*  
 1787 *be appropriate regardless of previous therapies used. In other situations, (e.g., headache or*  
 1788 *fibromyalgia), expected benefits of initiating opioids are unlikely to outweigh risks regardless of*  
 1789 *previous nonpharmacologic and nonopioid pharmacologic therapies used.*
- 1790 • *Opioid therapy should not be initiated without consideration by the clinician and patient of an*  
 1791 *“exit strategy” to be used if opioid therapy is unsuccessful.*
- 1792 • *Before opioid therapy is initiated for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should determine jointly*  
 1793 *with patients how effectiveness will be evaluated and establish treatment goals.*

- 1794 • *Clinicians seeing new patients already receiving opioids should establish treatment goals for*  
1795 *continued opioid therapy. Clinicians should avoid rapid tapering or abrupt discontinuation of*  
1796 *opioids (see Recommendation 5).*
- 1797 • *Patient education and discussion before starting opioid therapy are critical so that patient*  
1798 *preferences and values can be understood and used to inform clinical decisions.*
- 1799 • *Clinicians should review available low-cost options for pain management for all patients, and*  
1800 *particularly for low-income, underinsured and uninsured patients.*
- 1801 • *Clinicians should ensure that patients are aware of expected benefits of, common and serious*  
1802 *risks of, and alternatives to opioids before starting or continuing opioid therapy and should*  
1803 *involve patients in decisions about whether to start opioid therapy.*

1804 *Supporting Rationale*

1805 To guide patient-specific selection of therapy, clinicians should evaluate patients and establish  
1806 or confirm the diagnosis. Detailed recommendations on diagnosis are provided in other guidelines  
1807 (American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2017; Chou et al., 2007; Federation of  
1808 State Medical Boards, 2017; Hooten et al., 2013; U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of  
1809 Defense, 2017), but evaluation should generally include a focused history, including history and  
1810 characteristics of pain and potential contributing factors (e.g., function, psychosocial stressors, sleep)  
1811 and physical exam, with imaging or other diagnostic testing only if indicated (e.g., if severe or  
1812 progressive neurologic deficits are present or if serious underlying conditions are suspected [Chou et al.,  
1813 2007; Hooten et al., 2013]). For complex pain syndromes, pain specialty consultation can be considered  
1814 to assist with diagnosis as well as management.

1815 Diagnosis can help identify disease-specific interventions to reverse, ameliorate, or prevent  
1816 worsening of pain and improve function; for example, improving glucose control to prevent progression  
1817 of diabetic neuropathy; immune-modulating agents for rheumatoid arthritis; physical or occupational  
1818 therapy to address posture, muscle weakness, or repetitive occupational motions that contribute to  
1819 musculoskeletal pain; or surgical intervention to relieve mechanical/compressive pain (Hooten et al.,  
1820 2013). The underlying mechanism for most pain syndromes can be categorized as neuropathic (e.g.,

diabetic neuropathy, postherpetic neuralgia, fibromyalgia), or nociceptive (e.g., osteoarthritis, muscular back pain). The diagnosis and pathophysiologic mechanism of pain have implications for symptomatic pain treatment with medication. For example, there is limited evidence for improved pain or function, or evidence of worse outcomes, with long-term use of opioids for several chronic pain conditions for which opioids are commonly prescribed, such as osteoarthritis (Bannuru et al., 2019), low back pain (Chaparro et al., 2014; Qaseem et al., 2017), headache (Loder et al., 2013), and fibromyalgia (Gaskell, Moore, Derry, & Stannard, 2014; Goldenberg, Clauw, Palmer, & Clair, 2016). For moderate to severe chronic back pain or hip or knee osteoarthritis pain, a nonopioid strategy starting with acetaminophen or NSAIDs results in significantly improved pain intensity compared to a strategy starting with opioids (E. E. Krebs et al., 2018). Tricyclic antidepressants, SNRI antidepressants, selected anticonvulsants, or transdermal lidocaine are recommended for neuropathic pain syndromes (e.g., diabetic neuropathy, postherpetic neuralgia [American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2017]).

In addition, review of the patient's history and context beyond the presenting pain syndrome is helpful in selection of pain treatments. In particular, medications should be used only after assessment and determination that expected benefits outweigh risks given patient-specific factors. For example, clinicians should consider fall risk when selecting and dosing potentially sedating medications such as tricyclics, anticonvulsants, and opioids, and should weigh risks and benefits of use, dose, and duration of NSAIDs when treating older adults as well as patients with hypertension, renal insufficiency, or heart failure, or those with risk for peptic ulcer disease or cardiovascular disease. Some guidelines recommend topical NSAIDs for localized osteoarthritis (e.g., knee osteoarthritis) over oral NSAIDs in patients aged  $\geq 75$  years to minimize systemic effects (Hochberg et al., 2012). See Recommendation 8 for additional considerations for assessing risks of opioid therapy.

**Noninvasive, nonpharmacologic approaches to subacute and chronic pain**

1845 Many noninvasive, nonpharmacologic approaches, including physical therapy, weight loss for  
1846 knee osteoarthritis, and psychological therapies such as CBT, and mindfulness-based stress reduction  
1847 can improve pain and function without risk for serious harms (Skelly et al., April 2020). There is high-  
1848 quality evidence that exercise therapy (a prominent modality in physical therapy) for back pain,  
1849 fibromyalgia, and hip or knee osteoarthritis reduces pain and improves function immediately after  
1850 treatment and that the improvements are sustained for at least 2–6 months (Busch, Barber, Overend,  
1851 Peloso, & Schachter, 2007; Fransen et al., 2015; Fransen, McConnell, Hernandez-Molina, & Reichenbach,  
1852 2014; Hayden, van Tulder, Malmivaara, & Koes, 2005; Skelly et al., April 2020). Previous guidelines have  
1853 recommended aerobic, aquatic, and/or resistance exercises for people with chronic pain, including  
1854 osteoarthritis of the knee or hip, back pain, and fibromyalgia (American College of Occupational and  
1855 Environmental Medicine, 2017; Hochberg et al., 2012; Macfarlane et al., 2017; Qaseem et al., 2017; U.S.  
1856 Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense, 2017). Other noninvasive,  
1857 nonpharmacologic therapies that improve pain and/or function for at least one month after delivery  
1858 without apparent risk for serious harm include CBT for knee osteoarthritis; manual therapies for hip  
1859 osteoarthritis; psychological therapy, spinal manipulation, low-level laser therapy, massage,  
1860 mindfulness-based stress reduction, yoga, acupuncture, and multidisciplinary rehabilitation for low back  
1861 pain; mind-body practices (e.g., yoga, tai chi, qigong), massage, and acupuncture for neck pain; CBT,  
1862 myofascial release massage, mindfulness practices, tai chi, qigong, acupuncture, and multidisciplinary  
1863 rehabilitation for fibromyalgia; and spinal manipulation for tension headache (Skelly et al., April 2020).  
1864 For temporomandibular disorder pain, patient education and self-care can be effective, as can occlusal  
1865 splints for some patients and biobehavioral therapy for prevention of disabling symptoms (List &  
1866 Axelsson, 2010; Michelotti, Iodice, Vollaro, Steenks, & Farella, 2012). Exercise, mind-body interventions,  
1867 and psychological treatments (including CBT and mindfulness practices) can encourage active patient  
1868 participation in the care plan and address the effects of pain in the patient’s life; these more “active”

therapies have somewhat more robust evidence for sustained improvements in pain and function than more “passive” treatments (e.g., massage), particularly at longer-term follow-up (Skelly et al., April 2020). Active approaches that engage the patient should be used, when possible, with a supplementary role for more passive approaches, to reduce pain and improve function.

Despite their favorable benefit-to-risk profile, noninvasive, nonpharmacologic therapies are not always or fully covered by insurance (Heyward et al., 2018). Access and cost can be barriers for patients, particularly people who are low-income, uninsured, underinsured, or living in rural areas or with transportation challenges. To improve pain management and reduce medication use and associated risks, health insurers and health systems should increase access to noninvasive, nonpharmacologic therapies with evidence for effectiveness. In addition, for many patients, aspects of these approaches can be used even when there is limited access to specialty care. For example, previous guidelines have strongly recommended aerobic, aquatic, and/or resistance exercises for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee or hip (Hochberg et al., 2012) and maintenance of physical activity, including normal daily activities, for patients with low back pain (Chou et al., 2007). A randomized trial found no difference in reduced chronic low back pain intensity, frequency or disability between patients assigned to relatively low-cost group aerobics and individual physiotherapy or muscle reconditioning sessions (Mannion, Müntener, Taimela, & Dvorak, 1999). Low-cost options to integrate exercise include walking in public spaces or use of public recreation facilities for group exercise. Physical therapy can be helpful, particularly for patients who have limited access to safe public spaces or public recreation facilities for exercise or have not improved with low-intensity physical exercise. A randomized trial found a stepped exercise program, in which patients were initially offered an internet-based exercise program and progressively advanced to biweekly coaching calls and then to in-person physical therapy if not improved at previous steps, successfully improved symptomatic knee osteoarthritis, with 35% of patients ultimately requiring in-person physical therapy (Allen et al., 2020). In addition, primary care



clinicians can integrate elements of psychosocial therapies such as CBT, which addresses psychosocial contributors to pain and improves function (A. C. Williams, Eccleston, & Morley, 2012), by encouraging patients to take an active role in the care plan, by supporting patients in engaging activities such as exercise that are generally beneficial but that might initially be associated with fear of exacerbating pain (Hooten et al., 2013), or by providing education in relaxation techniques and coping strategies. In many locations, there are free or low-cost patient support, self-help, and educational community-based or employer-sponsored programs that can provide stress reduction and other mental health benefits. Clinicians should be familiar with such options within their communities so they can refer patients to low-cost services. Patients with higher levels of anxiety or fear related to pain, or other significant psychological distress, can be referred for treatment with a mental health specialist (e.g., psychologist, psychiatrist, clinical social worker).

#### **Nonopioid medications for subacute and chronic pain**

Several nonopioid pharmacologic therapies (including acetaminophen, NSAIDs, and selected antidepressants and anticonvulsants) are used for painful symptoms in chronic pain conditions. Nonopioid pharmacologic therapies are associated with risks, particularly in older adults, pregnant patients, and patients with certain co-morbidities such as cardiovascular, renal, gastrointestinal, and liver disease. For example, NSAID use has been associated with serious gastrointestinal events and major coronary events (McDonagh et al., April 2020). Increases in non-serious adverse events have been found with the anticonvulsants pregabalin (blurred vision, cognitive effects, sedation, weight gain, dizziness and peripheral edema) and gabapentin (blurred vision, cognitive effects, sedation, and weight gain), with cannabis (nausea and dizziness), and with the SNRIs duloxetine (nausea, sedation) and milnacipran (nausea); dose reductions reduced the risk of some adverse events with SNRI

antidepressants (McDonagh et al., April 2020). Clinicians should review FDA-approved labeling including boxed warnings before initiating treatment with any pharmacologic therapy.

For osteoarthritis, NSAIDs including topical NSAIDs (diclofenac) and the SNRI duloxetine have small to moderate benefits for pain and function at short-term assessment (3 to 6 months), with intermediate-term (6 to 12 months) evidence for some medications (celecoxib and duloxetine), and some evidence that duloxetine is more effective in older (>65 years) compared to younger patients and in patients with knee osteoarthritis (McDonagh et al., April 2020). Acetaminophen has limited evidence for effectiveness (McDonagh et al., April 2020) and is no longer considered a first-line treatment for osteoarthritis (Bannuru et al., 2019). When patients have an insufficient response to nonpharmacologic interventions such as exercise for arthritis pain and if a single or a few joints near the surface of the skin (e.g., knee) are affected by osteoarthritis, use of topical NSAIDs is recommended (Bannuru et al., 2019). In patients with osteoarthritis pain in multiple joints or incompletely controlled with topical NSAIDs, systemic NSAIDs or duloxetine can be used. However, systemic NSAIDs should be used at the lowest dose and duration needed as risks may increase with longer use and at higher doses (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2015b). NSAIDs should be used with caution particularly in patients with cardiovascular comorbidities, chronic renal failure, or previous gastrointestinal bleeding. In patients with gastrointestinal comorbidities but without current or previous gastrointestinal bleeding, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors or NSAIDs with proton pump inhibitors can be used to minimize risk compared to risk with use of NSAIDs alone (Bannuru et al., 2019). Moderate-quality evidence shows small improvements in chronic low back pain with NSAIDs (Qaseem et al., 2017) and with duloxetine (McDonagh et al., April 2020). When patients have had an insufficient response to nonpharmacologic approaches such as exercise, clinicians can consider NSAIDs or duloxetine (Qaseem et al., 2017) for patients without contraindications. For temporomandibular disorder pain that is not sufficiently improved with nonpharmacologic interventions, NSAIDs can be effective (Kulkarni, Thambar, & Arora,

2020; Mujakperuo, Watson, Morrison, & Macfarlane, 2010). Tricyclic, tetracyclic, and SNRI antidepressants, selected anticonvulsants, and capsaicin and lidocaine patches are recommended for neuropathic pain (American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2017). However, evidence on topical lidocaine and capsaicin is limited (McDonagh et al., April 2020). The SNRI antidepressant duloxetine and selected anticonvulsants (pregabalin, gabapentin, and carbamazepine) are associated with small improvements in neuropathic pain (mainly diabetic neuropathy and post-herpetic neuralgia) (McDonagh et al., April 2020). Duloxetine and pregabalin are FDA-approved for the treatment of diabetic neuropathy, and pregabalin and gabapentin are FDA-approved for treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia. In patients with fibromyalgia, several medications have been shown to be associated with small to moderate improvements in pain, function, and quality of life, including SNRI antidepressants (duloxetine and milnacipran), NSAIDs (topical diclofenac), and specific anticonvulsants (pregabalin and gabapentin) (McDonagh et al., April 2020). Tricyclics and SNRIs can also relieve fibromyalgia symptoms. Duloxetine, milnacipran, and pregabalin are FDA-approved for and are recommended for the treatment of fibromyalgia (American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2017). The tricyclic antidepressant amitriptyline is often used and recommended in patients with fibromyalgia (American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2017), although evidence on its effectiveness is limited (McDonagh et al., April 2020). Because patients with chronic pain might experience concurrent depression (Howe & Sullivan, 2014), and depression can exacerbate physical symptoms including pain (Sullivan, Edlund, Zhang, Unützer, & Wells, 2006), patients with co-occurring pain and depression might be especially likely to benefit from antidepressant medication (see Recommendation 8). Evidence on effectiveness of cannabis for painful conditions is limited, inconsistent across studies, and some studies have reported adverse events such as dizziness, nausea, and sedation (Banerjee & McCormack, 2019; McDonagh et al., April 2020).

## **Opioid medication for subacute and chronic pain**

The clinical evidence reviews found insufficient evidence to determine long-term benefits of opioid therapy for chronic pain and found an increased risk for serious harms related to long-term opioid therapy that appears to be dose-dependent (Chou et al., April 2020). Compared with no opioid use, opioid use was associated with increased risk of opioid use disorder, overdose, all-cause mortality, fractures, falls, and myocardial infarction (Chou et al., April 2020). Opioids were also associated with increased risk of discontinuation due to gastrointestinal adverse events, somnolence, dizziness, and pruritus (Chou et al., April 2020). Compared with placebo, at short-term (1 - <6 months) follow-up, opioids were associated with small mean improvements in pain intensity (mean difference -0.79 point on a 0 to 10 scale, 95% confidence interval [CI], -0.93 to -0.67, I<sup>2</sup>=71%) and function (Chou et al., April 2020). There was some evidence that improvement in pain is reduced with longer duration of opioid therapy; from a mean improvement of 1 on a 0 to 10 scale at 1 to 3 months to about 0.5 at 3 to 6 months (Chou et al., April 2020). No placebo-controlled trial evaluated effectiveness of opioids at intermediate (6 - <12 months) or long-term (≥12 months) follow-up (Chou et al., April 2020). Compared with nonopioid treatments at short-term follow-up, there were no differences in mean pain improvement (mean difference -0.29 on a 0 to 10 scale, 95% CI, -0.61 to 0.03) or functional improvement. No trials compared opioids with nonopioid therapies at intermediate or long-term follow-up, with the exception of one trial which found stepped therapy starting with opioids associated with higher pain intensity than stepped therapy starting with nonopioids (4.0 vs. 3.5, mean difference 0.5, 95% CI, 0.0 to 1.0) at 12-months (Chou et al., April 2020; E. E. Krebs et al., 2018).

The clinical evidence reviews identified an observational study (Edlund et al., 2014) finding long-term (>90 days' supply) opioid prescription to be associated with significantly increased risk of a new opioid use disorder diagnosis for all dosages of long-term (>90 days' supply) opioids prescribed, with adjusted odds ratios of 15, 29, and 122 at low (1 to 36 MME/day), medium (36 to 120 MME/day) and

high ( $\geq 120$  MME/day) opioid dosages, respectively). Compared with no opioid use, opioid use was associated with increased risk of opioid use disorder, overdose, all-cause mortality, fractures, falls, and myocardial infarction (Chou et al., April 2020).

Several experts from the Opioid Workgroup appreciated the importance of highlighting both pain and function, of clinicians being realistic “upfront” with patients, and of attention to tapering and exit strategies. While some experts felt the recommendation statement could state nonopioid therapies “may be preferred” or “may be effective” for chronic pain, others agreed with language that nonopioid therapies “are preferred” for chronic pain, given opioid therapies are associated with small short-term benefits compared with placebo, comparable or reduced short-term benefits compared with nonopioid therapies, uncertain long-term benefits, and potential for serious harms.

***Opioids should not be considered first-line or routine therapy for subacute or chronic pain.***

Although evidence on long-term benefits of nonopioid therapies is also limited, these therapies are also associated with short-term benefits, there is no evidence for attenuated benefit over time or difficulty stopping therapy when benefits do not outweigh risks, and risks for serious harms are usually lower.

***This does not mean that patients should be required to sequentially “fail” nonpharmacologic and nonopioid pharmacologic therapy or be required to use any specific therapy before proceeding to opioid therapy. Rather, expected benefits specific to the clinical context should be weighed against risks before initiating therapy. In some clinical contexts (e.g., serious illness in a patient with poor prognosis for return to previous level of function, contraindications to other therapies, and clinician and patient agreement that the overriding goal is patient comfort), opioids might be appropriate regardless of previous therapies used. In other situations (e.g., headache or fibromyalgia), expected benefits of initiating opioids are unlikely to outweigh risks regardless of previous nonpharmacologic and nonopioid pharmacologic therapies used.***

2011 The clinical evidence reviews found no instrument with high accuracy for predicting opioid-  
2012 related harms such as overdose or opioid use disorder (Chou et al., April 2020). It can be very  
2013 challenging for clinicians to predict whether benefits of opioids for chronic pain will outweigh risks of  
2014 ongoing treatment for individual patients. **Therefore, opioid therapy should not be initiated without**  
2015 **consideration by the clinician and patient of an “exit strategy” that could be used if opioid therapy is**  
2016 **unsuccessful.** Before opioid therapy is initiated for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should determine  
2017 with patients how effectiveness will be evaluated and establish treatment goals. Some patients have  
2018 reported treatment goals are effective in increasing motivation and functioning (Chou et al., April 2020).  
2019 Goals ideally include improvement in pain relief, function (including social and emotional as well as  
2020 physical dimensions), and quality of life. Goals can be tailored to individual patient and clinical  
2021 circumstances. For example, for some patients with diseases typically associated with progressive  
2022 functional impairment or catastrophic injuries such as spinal cord trauma, reductions in pain without  
2023 improvement in physical function might be more realistic. Clinicians can assess and then follow (see  
2024 Recommendation 7) function, pain control, and quality of life using tools such as the three-item “Pain  
2025 average, interference with Enjoyment of life, and interference with General activity” (PEG) Assessment  
2026 Scale (Krebs et al., 2009). Clinically meaningful improvement has been defined as a 30% improvement in  
2027 scores for both pain and function (Ostelo et al., 2008). Clinicians can ask patients about functional goals  
2028 that have meaning for them (e.g., walking the dog or walking around the block, returning to part-time  
2029 work, attending family sports or recreational activities), and then use these goals in assessing benefits of  
2030 opioid therapy for individual patients and in weighing benefits against risks of continued opioid therapy  
2031 (see Recommendation 7). Clinicians seeing new patients already using opioid medication should  
2032 establish treatment goals for continued opioid therapy. Clinicians should avoid rapid tapering or abrupt  
2033 discontinuation of opioids (see Recommendation 5). Although the clinical evidence reviews did not find  
2034 studies evaluating the effectiveness of written agreements or treatment plans (Chou et al., April 2020),

2035 clinicians and patients who set a treatment plan in advance of prescribing will clarify expectations  
2036 regarding how opioids will be prescribed and monitored with an aim to improve patient safety, health,  
2037 and well-being.

2038 Patient education and discussion before starting opioid therapy are critical so that patient  
2039 preferences and values can be understood and used to inform clinical decisions. Clinicians should ensure  
2040 that patients are aware of expected benefits of, common and serious risks of, and alternatives to opioids  
2041 before starting or continuing opioid therapy and should involve patients in decisions about whether to  
2042 start opioid therapy. Many patients rank pain relief, nausea, vomiting, and constipation as significant  
2043 effects (Chou et al., April 2020). Essential elements for communication and discussion with patients  
2044 before starting opioid therapy include the following:

- 2045 • Review available low-cost options for pain management for all patients, and particularly for low-  
2046 income, underinsured, and uninsured patients. Review considerations related to access to care  
2047 given the clinical oversight needed to initiate and continue opioid therapy and other treatments  
2048 for pain.
- 2049 • Be explicit and realistic about expected benefits of opioids, explaining that there is not robust  
2050 evidence that opioids improve pain or function with long-term use, and that complete  
2051 elimination of pain is unlikely.
- 2052 • Emphasize improvement in function as a primary goal and that function can improve even when  
2053 pain is not completely eliminated.
- 2054 • Advise patients about serious adverse effects of opioids, including potentially fatal respiratory  
2055 depression and development of a potentially serious lifelong opioid use disorder that can cause  
2056 distress and inability to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.
- 2057 • Advise patients about common effects of opioids, such as constipation, dry mouth, nausea,  
2058 vomiting, drowsiness, confusion, tolerance, physical dependence, and withdrawal symptoms

2059 when stopping opioids. To prevent constipation associated with opioid use, advise patients to  
2060 increase hydration and fiber intake and to maintain or increase physical activity. A cathartic  
2061 (e.g., senna) with or without a stool softener or a laxative might be needed.

- 2062 • If formulations are prescribed that combine opioids with acetaminophen, advise patients of the  
2063 risks of taking additional over-the-counter products containing acetaminophen. Acetaminophen  
2064 can be hepatotoxic at dosages of >3–4 grams/day and at lower dosages in patients with chronic  
2065 alcohol use or liver disease (American Geriatrics Society Panel on the Pharmacological  
2066 Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons, 2009).
- 2067 • Discuss effects that opioids might have on ability to safely operate a vehicle or other machinery,  
2068 particularly when opioids are initiated, when dosages are increased, or when other central  
2069 nervous system depressants, such as benzodiazepines or alcohol, are used concurrently.
- 2070 • Discuss increased risks for opioid use disorder, respiratory depression, and death at higher  
2071 dosages, along with the importance of taking only the amount of opioids prescribed, i.e., not  
2072 taking more opioids or taking them more often.
- 2073 • Review increased risks for respiratory depression when opioids are taken with benzodiazepines,  
2074 other sedatives, alcohol, non-prescribed drugs such as heroin, or other opioids.
- 2075 • Discuss risks to household members and other individuals if opioids are intentionally or  
2076 unintentionally shared with others for whom they are not prescribed, including the possibility  
2077 that others might experience overdose at the same or at lower dosage than prescribed for the  
2078 patient, and that young children are susceptible to unintentional ingestion. Discuss storage of  
2079 opioids in a secure, preferably locked location and options for safe disposal of unused opioids  
2080 (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020a).
- 2081 • Discuss the importance of periodic reassessment to ensure that opioids are helping to meet  
2082 patient goals and to allow opportunities for opioid dosage reduction and/or discontinuation and



2083 consideration of additional nonpharmacologic or nonopioid pharmacologic treatment options if  
2084 opioids are not effective or are harmful.

2085 • Discuss expectations for clinician and patient responsibilities to mitigate risks of opioid therapy  
2086 and planned use of precautions to reduce risks, including naloxone for overdose reversal (see  
2087 Recommendation 8), and clinician use of prescription drug monitoring program information (see  
2088 Recommendation 9) and toxicology screening (see Recommendation 10).

2089 • Consider whether cognitive status might interfere with management of opioid therapy and, if  
2090 so, determine whether a caregiver can responsibly co-manage medication therapy. Discuss the  
2091 importance of reassessing medication use over time with both the patient and caregiver (as  
2092 appropriate).

2093

2094 Given the possibility that benefits of opioid therapy might diminish or that risks might become  
2095 more prominent over time, it is important that clinicians elicit patients' experiences and preferences and  
2096 review expected benefits and risks of continued opioid therapy with patients periodically (see  
2097 Recommendation 7).

2098

#### 2099 **Interventional approaches to subacute and chronic pain**

2100 Interventional approaches such as arthrocentesis and intraarticular glucocorticoid injection for  
2101 pain associated with rheumatoid arthritis (Wallen & Gillies, 2006) or osteoarthritis (Bellamy et al., 2006)  
2102 and subacromial corticosteroid injection for rotator cuff disease (Buchbinder, Green, & Youd, 2003) can  
2103 provide short-term improvement in pain and function. Evidence is insufficient to determine the extent  
2104 to which repeated glucocorticoid injection increases potential risks such as articular cartilage changes (in  
2105 osteoarthritis) and sepsis (Bellamy et al., 2006). Interventional pain management specialists offer  
2106 additional interventions that can alleviate pain as part of a comprehensive pain management approach

(U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b), including epidural steroid injections (for lumbar radiculopathy with herniated disc), nerve ablation procedures (e.g., radiofrequency denervation for low back pain), and neurostimulation procedures (e.g., peripheral nerve stimulation, spinal cord stimulation). Evidence is limited for many of these procedures, and additional research is needed to establish the clinical benefits of specific interventional procedures for specific pain conditions (Chou et al., 2021; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). Rare, serious adverse events have been reported with epidural injection (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2014c).

#### **Multimodal therapy for subacute and chronic pain**

Integrated pain management requires coordination of medical, psychological, and social aspects of healthcare and includes primary care, mental and behavioral healthcare, and specialist services when needed (The Interagency Pain Research Coordinating Committee, 2015). Multimodal therapies and multidisciplinary biopsychosocial rehabilitation-combining approaches (e.g., psychological therapies with exercise) can reduce long-term pain and disability compared with usual care and compared with physical treatments (e.g., exercise) alone. Nonpharmacologic therapies can also provide synergistic benefits when nonopioid or opioid pain medications are used (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). When needed, medications should ideally be combined with nonpharmacologic therapy to provide greater benefits to patients in improving pain and function. Multimodal therapies are not always available or reimbursed by insurance and can be time-consuming and costly for patients, and disparities for being able to access multimodal care exist. There is evidence that less-intensive multidisciplinary rehabilitation can be similarly effective to high-intensity multidisciplinary rehabilitation (Skelly et al., April 2020). Multimodal therapies should be considered for patients not responding to single-modality therapy, and combinations should be tailored depending on patient needs, cost, convenience, and other individual factors.

2131 Depending on patient co-morbidities and benefit-to-risk ratio in individual patients,  
2132 combinations of medications (for example, two nonopioid medications with different mechanisms of  
2133 action or a nonopioid with an opioid medication) might also be used. In some cases, medication  
2134 combinations might provide complementary or synergistic benefits and/or facilitate lower dosing of  
2135 individual medications (Chou et al., April 2020), as has been demonstrated in trials of patients with  
2136 neuropathic pain (Chou et al., April 2020). However, caution should be used to avoid synergistic risks of  
2137 medications. For example, combinations of medications that depress the central nervous system and  
2138 cause sedation (see Recommendation 11), such as an opioid with gabapentin, have been associated with  
2139 increased risk of overdose compared with either medication alone (Chou et al., April 2020).

#### 2140 **Opioid selection and dosage**

2141 **3. When starting opioid therapy for acute, subacute, or chronic pain, clinicians should prescribe**  
2142 **immediate-release opioids instead of extended-release/long-acting (ER/LA) opioids**  
2143 **(recommendation category: A, evidence type: 4).**

#### 2144 Implementation considerations:

- 2145 • *Clinicians should not treat acute pain with ER/LA opioids or initiate opioid treatment for*  
2146 *subacute or chronic pain with ER/LA opioids, and clinicians should not prescribe ER/LA opioids for*  
2147 *intermittent or as needed use.*
- 2148 • *ER/LA opioids should be reserved for severe, continuous pain. Some ER/LA opioids should be*  
2149 *considered only for patients who have received certain dosages of opioids (e.g., 60 mg daily of*  
2150 *oral morphine, 30 mg daily of oral oxycodone, or equianalgesic dosages of other opioids) of*  
2151 *immediate-release opioids daily for at least 1 week.*
- 2152 • *When changing to an ER/LA opioid for a patient previously receiving a different immediate-*  
2153 *release opioid, clinicians should consult product labeling and reduce total daily dosage to*  
2154 *account for incomplete opioid cross-tolerance.*
- 2155 • *Clinicians should use additional caution with ER/LA opioids and consider a longer dosing interval*  
2156 *when prescribing to patients with renal or hepatic dysfunction because decreased clearance of*  
2157 *medications among these patients can lead to accumulation of drugs to toxic levels and*  
2158 *persistence in the body for longer durations.*

- 2159       • *Although there might be situations in which clinicians need to prescribe immediate-release and*  
2160 *ER/LA opioids together (e.g., transitioning patients from ER/LA opioids to immediate-release*  
2161 *opioids by temporarily using lower dosages of both), in general, avoiding the use of immediate-*  
2162 *release opioids in combination with ER/LA opioids is preferable, given the potential increased risk*  
2163 *for adverse events, including respiratory depression and overdose.*
- 2164       • *Methadone should not be the first choice for an ER/LA opioid. Only clinicians who are familiar*  
2165 *with methadone's unique risk profile and who are prepared to educate and closely monitor their*  
2166 *patients, including assessing risk for QT prolongation and considering electrocardiographic*  
2167 *monitoring, should consider prescribing methadone for pain.*
- 2168       • *Only clinicians who are familiar with the dosing and absorption properties of the ER/LA opioid*  
2169 *transdermal fentanyl and are prepared to educate their patients about its use should consider*  
2170 *prescribing it.*

2171

#### 2172 *Supporting Rationale*

2173       ER/LA opioids include methadone, transdermal fentanyl, and extended-release versions of  
2174 opioids such as oxycodone, hydromorphone, hydrocodone, and morphine. The clinical evidence reviews  
2175 found effects of opioids on short-term pain and function were generally consistent across duration of  
2176 action (short- or long-acting) and opioid type (opioid agonist, partial agonist, or mixed mechanism [with  
2177 mixed opioid and nonopioid mechanisms of action] agent), although 5 trials directly comparing different  
2178 types of opioids found a mixed mechanism agent associated with greater pain relief versus a pure opioid  
2179 agonist, with fewer nonserious adverse events (Chou et al., April 2020). A fair-quality study showed a  
2180 higher risk for overdose among patients treated with ER/LA opioids than among those treated with  
2181 immediate-release opioids, especially within the first 2 weeks of therapy, with relative risk decreasing  
2182 with longer duration of exposure (Chou et al., April 2020; Miller et al., 2015). The clinical evidence  
2183 reviews did not find evidence that continuous, time-scheduled use of ER/LA opioids is more effective or  
2184 safer than intermittent use of immediate-release opioids or that time-scheduled use of ER/ LA opioids  
2185 reduces risks for opioid use disorder (Chou et al., April 2020). In 2014, the FDA modified the labeling for  
2186 ER/LA opioid pain medications, noting serious risks and recommending that ER/LA opioids be reserved

for “management of pain severe enough to require daily, around-the-clock, long-term opioid treatment” when “alternative treatment options (e.g., nonopioid analgesics or immediate-release opioids) are ineffective, not tolerated, or would be otherwise inadequate to provide sufficient management of pain” and not used as “as needed” pain relievers (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2013). FDA has also noted that some ER/LA opioids are only appropriate for opioid-tolerant patients, defined as patients who have received certain dosages of opioids (e.g., 60 mg daily of oral morphine, 30 mg daily of oral oxycodone, or equianalgesic dosages of other opioids) for at least 1 week (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2014b). Time-scheduled opioid use can be associated with greater total average daily opioid dosage compared with intermittent, as-needed opioid use (Von Korff et al., 2011). Abuse-deterrent technologies have been employed to prevent manipulation intended to defeat extended-release properties of ER/LA opioids and to prevent opioid use by unintended routes of administration, such as intravenous injection of oral opioids. As indicated in FDA guidance for industry on evaluation and labeling of abuse-deterrent opioids (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2015a), although abuse-deterrent technologies are expected to make manipulation of opioids more difficult or to reduce the potent effects of manipulation, they do not prevent opioid misuse or overdose through oral intake the most common route of opioid misuse — and can still be misused by nonoral routes. The “abuse-deterrent” label does not indicate that there is no risk for misuse or opioid use disorder. No studies were found in the clinical evidence reviews assessing the effectiveness of abuse-deterrent technologies as a risk mitigation strategy for deterring or preventing opioid misuse, use disorder, or overdose (Chou et al., April 2020). Experts agreed with the recommendation for clinicians to initiate opioid treatment with immediate-release opioids instead of with extended-release/long-acting (ER/LA) opioids and appreciated discussion of the lack of evidence for “abuse-deterrent” formulations.

In comparing different ER/LA formulations, the clinical evidence reviews found inconsistent results for overdose risk with methadone versus other ER/LA opioids used for chronic pain, with two

2211 cohort studies of Medicaid beneficiaries finding methadone associated with increased risk of overdose  
2212 or all-cause mortality versus morphine and one cohort study of Veterans Affairs patients finding  
2213 methadone associated with decreased risk (Chou et al., April 2020). Methadone has been associated  
2214 with disproportionate numbers of overdose deaths relative to the frequency with which it is prescribed  
2215 for pain (Paulozzi, Mack, & Jones, 2012). In addition, methadone is associated with cardiac arrhythmias  
2216 along with QT prolongation on the electrocardiogram, and it has complicated pharmacokinetics and  
2217 pharmacodynamics, including a long and variable half-life and peak respiratory depressant effect  
2218 occurring later and lasting longer than peak analgesic effect (Grissinger, 2011; Lugo, Satterfield, & Kern,  
2219 2005; Stringer, Welsh, & Tommasello, 2009). In regard to other ER/LA opioid formulations, the  
2220 absorption and pharmacodynamics of transdermal fentanyl are also complex, with gradually increasing  
2221 serum concentration during the first part of the 72-hour dosing interval, as well as variable absorption  
2222 based on factors such as external heat. In addition, the dosing of transdermal fentanyl is in mcg/hour,  
2223 which is not typical for a drug used by outpatients and can be confusing. These complexities might  
2224 increase the risk for fatal overdose when methadone or transdermal fentanyl is prescribed.

2225 ***Clinicians should not treat acute pain with ER/LA opioids or initiate opioid treatment for***  
2226 ***subacute or chronic pain with ER/LA opioids, and clinicians should not prescribe ER/LA opioids for***  
2227 ***intermittent use.*** Given longer half-lives and longer duration of effects (e.g., respiratory depression)  
2228 with ER/LA opioids such as methadone, fentanyl patches, or extended-release versions of opioids such  
2229 as oxycodone, hydromorphone, hydrocodone, or morphine, clinicians should not prescribe ER/LA  
2230 opioids for the treatment of acute pain. ER/LA opioids should be reserved for severe, continuous pain  
2231 and should be considered only for patients who have received certain dosages of immediate-release  
2232 opioids daily (e.g., 60 mg daily of oral morphine, 30 mg daily of oral oxycodone, or equianalgesic  
2233 dosages of other opioids) for at least 1 week. When changing to an ER/LA opioid for a patient previously  
2234 receiving a different immediate-release opioid, clinicians should consult product labeling and reduce

total daily dosage to account for incomplete opioid cross-tolerance. Clinicians should use additional caution with ER/LA opioids and consider a longer dosing interval when prescribing to patients with renal or hepatic dysfunction because decreased clearance of medications among these patients can lead to accumulation of medications to toxic levels and persistence in the body for longer durations. Although there might be situations in which clinicians need to prescribe immediate-release and ER/LA opioids together (e.g., transitioning patients from ER/LA opioids to immediate-release opioids by temporarily using lower dosages of both or in patients with opioid use disorder treated and stabilized on methadone who need short-acting opioids for acute pain), in general, avoiding the use of immediate-release opioids in combination with ER/LA opioids is preferable, given potentially increased risk.

When an ER/LA opioid is prescribed, using one with predictable pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics is preferred to minimize unintentional overdose risk. In particular, unique characteristics of methadone and of transdermal fentanyl make safe prescribing of these medications for pain especially challenging. Methadone should not be the first choice for an ER/LA opioid. ***Only clinicians who are familiar with methadone's unique risk profile and who are prepared to educate and closely monitor their patients, including risk assessment for QT prolongation and consideration of electrocardiographic monitoring, should consider prescribing methadone for pain.*** A clinical practice guideline regarding methadone prescribing for pain has been published previously (Chou et al., 2014). ***Because dosing effects of transdermal fentanyl are often misunderstood by both clinicians and patients, only clinicians who are familiar with the dosing and absorption properties of transdermal fentanyl and are prepared to educate their patients about its use should consider prescribing it.***

4. **When opioids are initiated for opioid-naïve patients with acute, subacute, or chronic pain, clinicians should prescribe the lowest dosage to achieve expected effects. If opioids are continued for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should use caution when prescribing opioids at any dosage,**

2259        **should carefully evaluate individual benefits and risks when considering increasing dosage, and**  
2260        **should avoid increasing dosage above levels likely to yield diminishing returns in benefits relative**  
2261        **to risks to patients (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 3).**

2262        Implementation considerations:

- 2263        • *When opioids are initiated for opioid-naïve patients with acute, subacute, or chronic pain,*  
2264        *clinicians should prescribe the lowest dosage to achieve expected effects.*
- 2265        • *For patients not already taking opioids, the lowest dose to achieve expected effects can be*  
2266        *determined using product labeling as a starting point with calibration as needed based on the*  
2267        *severity of pain and on other clinical factors such as renal or hepatic insufficiency (see*  
2268        *Recommendation 8).*
- 2269        • *The lowest starting dose for opioid-naïve patients is often equivalent to a single dose of*  
2270        *approximately 5 to 10 MME or a daily dosage of 20-30 MME/day. A listing of common opioid*  
2271        *medications and their dosage in MME equivalents is provided (Table).*
- 2272        • *Risks of opioid use, including risk for overdose and overdose death, increase continuously with*  
2273        *dosage, and there is no single dosage threshold below which risks are eliminated.*
- 2274        • *If opioids are continued for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should use caution when*  
2275        *prescribing opioids at any dosage and should generally avoid dosage increases when possible.*
- 2276        • *Many patients do not experience benefit in pain or function from increasing opioid dosages to*  
2277        *≥50 MME/day but are exposed to progressive increases in risk as dosage increases. Therefore,*  
2278        *before increasing total opioid dosage to ≥50 MME/day, clinicians should pause and carefully*  
2279        *reassess evidence of individual benefits and risks. If a decision is made to increase dosage,*  
2280        *clinicians should use caution and increase dosage by the smallest practical amount.*
- 2281        • *Additional dosage increases beyond 50 MME/day are progressively more likely to yield*  
2282        *diminishing returns in benefits relative to risks to patients as dosage increases further. Clinicians*  
2283        *should carefully evaluate a decision to further increase dosage based on individualized*  
2284        *assessment of benefits and risks and weighing factors such as diagnosis, incremental benefits for*  
2285        *pain and function relative to risks with previous dosage increases, other treatments and*  
2286        *effectiveness, and patient values and preferences.*
- 2287        • *The recommendations related to opioid dosages are not intended to be used as an inflexible,*  
2288        *rigid standard of care; rather, they are intended to be guideposts to help inform clinician-patient*  
2289        *decision making. Further, these recommendations apply specifically to starting opioids or to*  
2290        *increasing opioid dosages, and a different set of benefits and risks applies to reducing opioid*  
2291        *dosages (see Recommendation 5).*

2292  
2293        *Supporting Rationale*



2294 Benefits of high-dose opioids for pain are not well established. Few trials evaluated opioid  
2295 dosages of ( $\geq 90$  MME/day) (Chou et al., April 2020). Opioid dosages of 50 to 90 MME/day were  
2296 associated with a minimally greater (below the threshold for small) improvement in mean pain intensity  
2297 compared with doses less than 50 MME/day (mean difference -0.26, 95% CI -0.57 to -0.02); there was  
2298 no difference in mean improvement in function (Chou et al., April 2020). Analyses of placebo-controlled  
2299 trials also found some evidence of a plateauing effect at 50 mg or greater MME/day (Chou et al., April  
2300 2020). One trial of more liberal dose escalation compared with maintenance of current dosage found no  
2301 difference in outcomes related to pain or function (Chou et al., April 2020).

2302 At the same time, risks for serious harms related to opioid therapy, including opioid misuse,  
2303 overdose, and death, increase at higher opioid dosage, without a single point below which there is no  
2304 risk (Coyle et al., 2018). One cohort study from the clinical evidence reviews found higher dosages of  
2305 opioids were associated with increased risk of all-cause mortality; one cohort study found modest  
2306 associations between higher dose of long-term opioid and increased risk of falls and major trauma; one  
2307 case-control study found opioid doses higher than 20 MME/day were associated with increased odds of  
2308 road trauma injury when the analysis was restricted to drivers, with no dose-dependent association at  
2309 doses higher than 20 MME/day; and cohort studies found association between higher opioid dose and  
2310 risk of various endocrinological adverse events (Chou et al., April 2020). Patients on higher doses  
2311 reported reliance on opioids despite ambivalence about their benefits (Chou et al., April 2020).

2312 Four observational studies identified in the clinical evidence reviews consistently found an  
2313 association between higher doses of long-term opioids and risk of overdose or overdose mortality (Chou  
2314 et al., April 2020). Opioid dosages for chronic pain of 50– $<100$  MME/day in observational studies have  
2315 been associated with increased risks for opioid overdose by factors of 1.9 to 4.6 compared with dosages  
2316 of 1– $<20$  MME/day, and dosages  $\geq 100$  MME/day with increased risks of overdose 2.0–8.9 times the risk  
2317 at 1– $<20$  MME/day, after adjusting for confounders based on demographics, comorbidities, concomitant

medications, and other factors (Bohnert et al., 2011; Dunn et al., 2010; Gomes, Mamdani, Dhalla, Paterson, & Juurlink, 2011). When prescribed for acute pain, similar associations have been found, with dosages of 50–<100 MME/day associated with 4.73 times and dosages  $\geq 100$  MME/day associated with 6.64 times the risk for opioid overdose compared with dosages of 1–<20 MME/day (Bohnert et al., 2011). The MME cut points in these studies (e.g., 20 MME, 50 MME, 100 MME) were selected by the authors for research purposes, and while their findings are consistent with progressive increases in overdose risk being associated with increases in prescribed opioid dosages, they do not demonstrate a specific dosage threshold below which opioids are never associated with overdose. In a national sample of Veterans Health Administration patients with chronic pain who were prescribed opioids, mean prescribed opioid dosage among patients who died from opioid overdose was 98 MME (median 60 MME) compared with mean prescribed opioid dosage of 48 MME (median 25 MME) among patients not experiencing fatal overdose (Bohnert, Logan, Ganoczy, & Dowell, 2016). A narrative review conducted by FDA staff concluded that although there is not a single dosage threshold below which overdose risk is eliminated (Coyle et al., 2018), the studies included in the review show an increasing risk of serious adverse health outcomes, including misuse, overdose, and death associated with increasing opioid dose. Note that these studies examined dose-response risk of overdose for full-agonist opioids and not for partial agonist opioids such as buprenorphine, which is unlikely to have the same continuous association between dosage and overdose risk because respiratory depressant effects of buprenorphine reach a plateau (Dahan et al., 2006).

Several experts expressed concern that including specific dosage thresholds in a main recommendation statement would emphasize them as “authoritative” absolutes and would lead to non-collaborative tapers or other potentially harmful consequences. In addition, experts noted the lack of a single standard formula for calculating MMEs (Dasgupta et al., 2021). However, experts agreed there is a need for thresholds as benchmarks and suggested instead including them in the supporting text

following the main recommendation statement. Experts also agreed with separating recommendations on dosage into a recommendation applying to patients starting opioids and patients already receiving opioids at higher dosages.

When opioids are used for acute, subacute, or chronic pain, clinicians should start opioids at the lowest possible effective dosage. ***For patients not already taking opioids, the lowest dose to achieve expected effects can be determined using product labeling as a starting point with calibration as needed based on the severity of pain and on other clinical factors such as renal or hepatic insufficiency (see Recommendation 8). The lowest starting dosage for opioid-naïve patients is often equivalent to a single dose of approximately 5 to 10 MME or a daily dosage of 20-30 MME/day.*** A listing of common opioid medications and their dosage in MME equivalents is provided (Table). For example, a label for hydrocodone bitartrate (5mg) and acetaminophen (SpecGx LLC, 2021) (300mg) states that “the usual adult dosage is one or two tablets every four to six hours as needed for pain. The total daily dosage should not exceed 8 tablets.” Clinicians should use additional caution when initiating opioids for patients aged ≥65 years and for patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency because of a potentially smaller therapeutic window between safe dosages and dosages associated with respiratory depression and overdose (see Recommendation 8). Formulations with lower opioid doses (e.g., hydrocodone bitartrate 2.5 mg with acetaminophen 325 mg) are available and can facilitate dosing when additional caution is needed. Product labeling regarding tolerance includes guidance for patients already taking opioids. In addition to opioids, clinicians should consider cumulative dosages of other medications, such as acetaminophen, that are combined with opioids in many formulations and for which decreased clearance of medications might result in accumulation of medications to toxic levels. Acetaminophen can be hepatotoxic at dosages of >3–4 grams/day and at lower dosages in patients with chronic alcohol use or liver disease (American Geriatrics Society Panel on the Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons, 2009).

2366 Clinicians should generally avoid unnecessary dosage increases, use caution when increasing  
2367 opioid dosages, and increase dosage by the smallest practical amount because overdose risk increases  
2368 with increases in opioid dosage. Although there is limited evidence to recommend specific intervals for  
2369 dosage titration, rapid dosage increases put patients at greater risk for sedation, respiratory depression,  
2370 and overdose. For opioid-naïve outpatients with acute pain treated with an opioid for a few days or less,  
2371 dosage increases are usually unnecessary and should not be attempted without close monitoring, given  
2372 the risks of respiratory depression. In the context of long-term opioid use, when dosage is increased,  
2373 clinicians should re-evaluate patients after increasing dosage for changes in pain, function, and risk for  
2374 harm (see Recommendation 7).

2375 *Before increasing total opioid dosage to  $\geq 50$  MME/day, clinicians should pause, given that*  
2376 *dosage increases to more than 50 MME/day are unlikely to provide significantly improved pain control*  
2377 *for most patients while overdose risk increases with dosage, and carefully reassess evidence of*  
2378 *individual benefits and risks. If a patient's opioid dosage for all sources of opioids combined reaches or*  
2379 *exceeds 50 MME/day, clinicians should implement additional precautions, including increased frequency*  
2380 *of follow-up (see Recommendation 7) and offer naloxone and overdose prevention education to both*  
2381 *patients and the patients' household members (see Recommendation 8).*

2382 ***Additional dosage increases beyond 50 MME/day are progressively more likely to yield***  
2383 ***diminishing returns in benefits relative to risks to patients, and clinicians should carefully evaluate a***  
2384 ***decision to increase dosage based on individualized assessment of benefits and risks and weighing***  
2385 ***factors such as diagnosis, incremental benefits for pain and function relative to risks with previous***  
2386 ***dosage increases, other treatments and effectiveness, and patient values and preferences.***

2387 Some states require clinicians to implement clinical protocols at specific dosage levels. For  
2388 example, before increasing long-term opioid therapy dosage to  $>120$  MME/day, clinicians in Washington  
2389 state must obtain consultation from a pain specialist who agrees that this is indicated and appropriate

2390 (State of Washington Department of Health, 2019). Clinicians should be aware of rules related to MME  
2391 thresholds and associated clinical protocols established by their states.

2392  
2393 **5. For patients already receiving higher opioid dosages, clinicians should carefully weigh benefits and**  
2394 **risks and exercise care when reducing or continuing opioid dosage. If risks outweigh benefits of**  
2395 **continued opioid therapy, clinicians should optimize other therapies and work closely with**  
2396 **patients to gradually taper to lower dosages or, if warranted based on the individual clinical**  
2397 **circumstances of the patient, to appropriately taper and discontinue opioids. Unless there are**  
2398 **indications of a life-threatening issue, such as warning signs of impending overdose, e.g.,**  
2399 **confusion, sedation, or slurred speech, opioid therapy should not be discontinued abruptly, and**  
2400 **clinicians should not abruptly or rapidly reduce opioid dosages from higher dosages**  
2401 **(recommendation category: B, evidence type: 4).**

2402 Implementation considerations:

- 2403 • *Clinicians should consider tapering to a reduced opioid dosage, or tapering and discontinuing*  
2404 *opioid therapy, and discuss these approaches with patients prior to initiating changes, when*  
2405 *risks outweigh benefits (potentially including avoiding risks of tapering) of continued opioid*  
2406 *therapy.*
- 2407 • *Patient agreement and interest in tapering is likely to be a key component of successful tapers.*
- 2408 • *For patients agreeing to taper to lower opioid dosages as well as for those remaining on higher*  
2409 *opioid dosages, clinicians should establish goals with the patient for continued opioid therapy*  
2410 *(see Recommendations 2 and 7) and maximize pain treatment with nonpharmacologic and*  
2411 *nonopioid pharmacologic treatments as appropriate (see Recommendation 2).*
- 2412 • *Clinicians should collaborate with the patient on the tapering plan, including patients in decisions*  
2413 *such as how quickly tapering will occur and when pauses in the taper may be warranted.*
- 2414 • *Clinicians should follow up frequently (at least monthly) with patients engaging in opioid*  
2415 *tapering.*
- 2416 • *When opioids are reduced or discontinued, a taper slow enough to minimize symptoms and signs*  
2417 *of opioid withdrawal (e.g., anxiety, insomnia, abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, diaphoresis,*  
2418 *mydriasis, tremor, tachycardia, or piloerection) should be used.*

- 2419 • *Tapers can be completed over several months to years depending on the opioid dosage and*  
2420 *should be individualized based on patient goals and concerns. Longer durations of previous*  
2421 *opioid therapy might require longer tapers.*
- 2422 • *Tapers of 10% per month or slower are likely to be better tolerated than more rapid tapers,*  
2423 *particularly when patients have been taking opioids for longer durations (e.g., for a year or*  
2424 *longer).*
- 2425 • *Significant opioid withdrawal symptoms can signal the need to further slow the taper rate.*
- 2426 • *At times, tapers might have to be paused and restarted again when the patient is ready and*  
2427 *might have to be slowed once patients reach low dosages.*
- 2428 • *Tapers should not be reversed without careful assessment of benefits and risks of increasing*  
2429 *opioid dosage or without maximizing nonopioid treatments for pain and addressing behavioral*  
2430 *distress.*
- 2431 • *Once the smallest available dose is reached, the interval between doses can be extended.*
- 2432 • *Goals of the taper may vary—some patients might achieve discontinuation; others might attain*  
2433 *a reduced dosage. If the clinician has determined with the patient that the ultimate goal of*  
2434 *tapering is discontinuing opioids, opioids may be stopped when taken less frequently than once a*  
2435 *day.*
- 2436 • *Clinicians should access appropriate expertise if considering tapering opioids during pregnancy*  
2437 *because of possible risk to the pregnant patient and to the fetus if the patient goes into*  
2438 *withdrawal.*
- 2439 • *Clinicians should advise patients that there is an increased risk for overdose on abrupt return to a*  
2440 *previously prescribed higher dose, caution that it takes as little as a week to lose tolerance,*  
2441 *provide opioid overdose education, and offer naloxone.*
- 2442 • *Clinicians should remain alert to signs of anxiety, depression, and opioid misuse or opioid use*  
2443 *disorder (see Recommendations 8 and 12) that might be revealed by an opioid taper and provide*  
2444 *treatment or arrange for management of these co-morbidities.*
- 2445 • *Clinicians should closely monitor patients who are unable to taper and who continue on high-*  
2446 *dose or otherwise high-risk opioid regimens (e.g., opioids prescribed concurrently with*  
2447 *benzodiazepines) and should work with patients to mitigate overdose risk (e.g., by providing*  
2448 *overdose education and naloxone—see Recommendation 8).*
- 2449 • *Clinicians can use periodic and strategic motivational questions and statements to encourage*  
2450 *movement toward appropriate therapeutic changes and functional goals.*
- 2451 • *Clinicians have a responsibility to provide or arrange for coordinated management of patients’*  
2452 *pain and opioid-related problems, including opioid use disorder. **Clinicians should not abandon***  
2453 *patients.*

- 2454 • *Payers, health systems, and state medical boards should not use this clinical practice guideline to*  
2455 *set rigid standards related to dose or duration of opioid therapy, and should ensure that policies*  
2456 *based on cautionary dosage thresholds do not result in rapid tapers or abrupt discontinuation of*  
2457 *opioids, and that policies do not penalize clinicians for accepting new patients who are using*  
2458 *prescribed opioids for chronic pain, including those receiving high doses of opioids.*
- 2459 • *While Recommendation 5 specifically refers to patients using long-term, high-dose opioid*  
2460 *therapy for subacute or chronic pain, many of the principles in these implementation*  
2461 *considerations and supporting rationale, including communication with patients, pain*  
2462 *management and behavioral support, and slower taper rates, are also relevant when*  
2463 *discontinuing opioids in patients receiving shorter durations and/or lower-dosages (see also*  
2464 *Recommendations 6 and 7).*

2465 *Supporting Rationale*

2466 Patients receiving long-term, high dose opioid therapy for chronic pain are at increased risk for  
2467 adverse events including overdose mortality (Bohnert et al., 2011; Dunn et al., 2010; Gomes et al., 2011;  
2468 K. S. Gordon et al., 2020; Kaplovitch et al., 2015). However, discontinuation of long-term, high dose  
2469 opioid therapy has been associated with adverse events including mental health crisis, overdose events,  
2470 and overdose mortality (Agnoli et al., 2021; K. S. Gordon et al., 2020; James et al., 2019; Mark & Parish,  
2471 2019). One study found that while sustained opioid therapy discontinuation (defined by the authors as  
2472 opioid discontinuation for at least 3 months) was associated with an approximate 50% reduction in risk  
2473 of overdose, dose variability was a risk factor for opioid overdose (Glanz, Binswanger, Shetterly,  
2474 Narwaney, & Xu, 2019). Another study found that both starting and stopping opioids were associated  
2475 with overdose or suicide risk; risk associated with stopping increased the longer patients had received  
2476 opioids before stopping. Death rates for overdose or suicide increased immediately after starting or  
2477 stopping treatment with opioids, with the incidence decreasing over about three to twelve months (E.  
2478 M. Oliva et al., 2020). In particular, discontinuation of opioids over short time periods has been  
2479 associated with greater risks. FDA has advised that risks of rapid tapering or sudden discontinuation of  
2480 opioids in physically dependent patients include acute withdrawal symptoms, exacerbation of pain,  
2481 serious psychological distress, and thoughts of suicide (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019c). One

2482 observational study found that among adults prescribed stable higher opioid dosages (mean  $\geq 50$   
2483 MME/day) long-term, increasing maximum monthly dose reduction velocity by 10% was associated with  
2484 an adjusted incidence rate ratio of 1.09 for overdose (95% CI, 1.07-1.11) and of 1.18 for mental health  
2485 crisis (95% CI, 1.14-1.21) (Agnoli et al., 2021). Another study of patients on long-term, high-dose ( $\geq 120$   
2486 MME/day) opioid therapy found that each additional week of tapering time before opioid  
2487 discontinuation was associated with a 7% relative reduction in the risk of opioid-related emergency  
2488 department visits or hospitalizations (Mark & Parish, 2019). The clinical evidence reviews did not find  
2489 studies comparing different rates of opioid tapering, but a taper support intervention (psychiatric  
2490 consultation, opioid dosage tapering, and 18 weekly meetings with a physician assistant to explore  
2491 motivation for tapering and learn pain self-management skills) was associated with better functional  
2492 outcomes (specifically improvement in pain interference) compared to usual care, with effects persisting  
2493 at 34-week follow-up (Chou et al., April 2020). A systematic review (Frank et al., 2017) found that among  
2494 studies rated as “good” or “fair” quality, when opioids were tapered following discussion with patients  
2495 who agreed to taper, opioid dose reduction was associated with improved pain, function, and quality of  
2496 life. These results suggest that involving patients in decisions regarding continuation or discontinuation  
2497 of opioid analgesics, as well as practices including behavioral support, integration of nonpharmacologic  
2498 pain management, and slower tapers, may improve outcomes.

2499       Experts appreciated the complexity of managing patients already receiving higher dosages of  
2500 opioids long-term. While some experts felt there should be more consideration of obtaining informed  
2501 consent prior to tapering opioids, others believed that informed discussion is more appropriate than  
2502 informed consent when considering tapering opioids given clinicians’ overriding responsibility to avoid  
2503 providing treatment that harms patients. Some experts were concerned that over-emphasizing risks of  
2504 tapering could increase harm from continued high-dosage opioid use.

2505



2506 **Determining whether, when, and how to taper opioids**

2507       The benefits and the risks of opioid therapy change over time and should be re-evaluated  
2508 periodically (see Recommendations 6 and 7). Opioid therapy should be limited to circumstances where  
2509 benefits of therapy outweigh risks. Because tapering opioids can be harmful in some circumstances,  
2510 benefits of continuing opioids in patients who have already received them long term might include  
2511 avoiding risks of tapering and discontinuing opioids. In situations where benefits and risks of continuing  
2512 opioids are considered to be close, shared decision-making with patients is particularly important.  
2513 ***Unless there is a life-threatening issue, such as imminent overdose, the benefits of rapidly tapering or***  
2514 ***abruptly discontinuing opioids are unlikely to outweigh the significant risks of these practices*** (Mark &  
2515 Parish, 2019; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019a). However, following slow,  
2516 voluntary reduction of long-term opioid dosages, many patients report improvements in function,  
2517 quality of life, anxiety, and mood without worsening pain or with decreased pain levels (Frank et al.,  
2518 2017). Clinicians and patients should consider whether opioids continue to meet treatment goals,  
2519 whether opioids are exposing the patient to an increased risk for serious adverse events or opioid use  
2520 disorder, and whether benefits continue to outweigh risks of opioids. Clinicians should not insist on  
2521 opioid tapering or discontinuation when opioid use may be warranted (i.e., when benefits of opioids  
2522 outweigh risks) (Kroenke et al., 2019; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019a). Clinicians  
2523 should access appropriate expertise if considering tapering opioids during pregnancy because of  
2524 possible risk to the pregnant patient and to the fetus if the patient goes into withdrawal. For pregnant  
2525 people with opioid use disorder, medications for opioid use disorder are preferred over withdrawal  
2526 management (i.e., discontinuation of opioids through either short- or medium-term tapering) (American  
2527 Society of Addiction Medicine, 2015; Ecker et al., 2019; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services  
2528 Administration, 2018b).

2529           Some patients using more than one respiratory depressant (e.g., benzodiazepines and opioids)  
2530 might require tapering one or more medications to reduce risk for respiratory depression. Tapering  
2531 decisions and plans should be coordinated with prescribers of all respiratory depressant medications  
2532 (see Recommendation 11). If benzodiazepines are tapered, they should be tapered gradually due to risks  
2533 of benzodiazepine withdrawal (anxiety, hallucinations, seizures, delirium tremens, and, in rare cases,  
2534 death (Haque, Watson, & Bryant, 1990; Lann & Molina, 2009)). Patients who are not actually taking  
2535 opioids (such as patients who are diverting all opioids they obtain) do not require tapers.

2536           Consistent with the HHS Guide for Clinicians on the Appropriate Dosage Reduction or  
2537 Discontinuation of Long-Term Opioid Analgesics (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services,  
2538 2019a), clinicians should consider tapering to a reduced opioid dosage, or tapering and discontinuing  
2539 opioid therapy, and discuss with these approaches with patients prior to initiating changes when

- 2540           • The patient requests dosage reduction or discontinuation
- 2541           • Pain improves and might indicate resolution of an underlying cause
- 2542           • When opioid therapy has not meaningfully reduced pain or improved function
- 2543           • The patient has been treated with opioids for a prolonged period (e.g., years), and current  
2544           benefit-risk balance is unclear (e.g., decreased positive effects due to tolerance, symptoms such  
2545           as reduced focus or memory that might be due to opioids)
- 2546           • The patient is receiving higher opioid doses without evidence of benefit from the higher dose
- 2547           • The patient experiences side effects that diminish quality of life or impair function
- 2548           • There is current evidence of opioid misuse
- 2549           • The patient experiences an overdose or other serious event (e.g., an event leading to  
2550           hospitalization or injury) or has warning signs for an impending event such as confusion,  
2551           sedation, or slurred speech

2552       • The patient is receiving medications (e.g., benzodiazepines) or has medical conditions (e.g., lung  
2553       disease, sleep apnea, liver disease, kidney disease, fall risk, advanced age) that increase risk for  
2554       adverse outcomes

2555

2556       Clinicians should review benefits and risks of continued high-dose opioid therapy with patients.

2557       Established patients already taking high dosages of opioids, as well as patients transferring from other  
2558       clinicians, might consider the possibility of opioid dosage reduction to be substantially anxiety-  
2559       provoking, and tapering opioids can be especially challenging after years on high dosages because of  
2560       physical and psychological dependence. However, patients should be offered the opportunity to re-  
2561       evaluate their continued use of opioids at high dosages. Clinicians should empathically review benefits  
2562       and risks of continued high-dosage opioid therapy and should offer to work collaboratively with the  
2563       patient to taper opioids to safer dosages.

2564       Whenever possible, clinicians should collaborate with patients in making decisions about  
2565       whether and how to taper opioids and share decision-making with patients. Whether the goal of the  
2566       taper is stopping opioids or reducing opioids to a point where benefits outweigh risks depends on the  
2567       individual patient's circumstances and individualized assessment of benefits and risks, informed by open  
2568       discussion between the patient and clinician. Tapering is more likely to be successful when patients  
2569       collaborate in the taper (Dowell & Haegerich, 2017). Clinicians should review risks and benefits of the  
2570       current therapy with the patient and decide if tapering is appropriate based on individual circumstances.  
2571       Clinicians can discuss with patients their perceptions of risks, benefits, and adverse effects of continued  
2572       opioid therapy, include patient concerns in taper planning, and include patients in decisions such as  
2573       which medication will be decreased first and how quickly tapering will occur. If the current opioid  
2574       regimen does not put the patient at imminent risk, tapering does not need to occur immediately, and  
2575       clinicians can take time to obtain patient buy-in (Dowell & Haegerich, 2017). For patients who agree to

2576 taper opioids to lower dosages, clinicians should collaborate with the patient on a tapering plan,  
2577 including patients in decisions, such as which medication will be decreased first (e.g., in patients  
2578 prescribed more than one opioid) and how quickly tapering will occur.

#### 2579 **Advice to patients prior to tapering**

2580 Patients should be advised that overall, following voluntary reduction of long-term opioid  
2581 dosages, most patients report stable or improved function, anxiety, and mood without worsening pain  
2582 or even with decreased pain levels (Berna, Kulich, & Rathmell, 2015; Darnall et al., 2018; Frank et al.,  
2583 2017; Goesling et al., 2019; Kroenke et al., 2019; Sullivan et al., 2017). Other patients report insomnia,  
2584 anxiety, depression, and increased pain, particularly in the short term (Berna et al., 2015; Goesling et al.,  
2585 2019; Kroenke et al., 2019; Manchapa, Arias, & Ballantyne, 2018; Sturgeon, Sullivan, Parker-Shames,  
2586 Tauben, & Coelho, 2020). Increased pain may be related to hyperalgesia or opioid withdrawal and can  
2587 be prolonged in some patients (Manchapa et al., 2018). It can be helpful to counsel patients that  
2588 worsening of pain is a frequent symptom of opioid withdrawal that tends to diminish over time (U.S.  
2589 Department of Health and Human Services, 2019a). Clinicians should advise patients that there is an  
2590 increased risk for overdose on abrupt return to a previously prescribed higher dose, caution that it takes  
2591 as little as a week to lose tolerance, and warn that there is a risk of overdose if they return to their  
2592 original dose (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense, 2017). Clinicians should  
2593 provide opioid overdose education and offer naloxone.

#### 2594 **Pain management during tapering**

2595 Clinicians should commit to working with patients to improve function and decrease pain,  
2596 whether or not opioids are tapered. Nonopioid treatments should be integrated into patients' pain  
2597 management plans based on an individualized assessment of benefits and risks considering the patient's  
2598 diagnosis, circumstances, and unique needs (see Recommendation 2). Integrating behavioral and  
2599 nonopioid pain therapies before and during a taper can help manage pain (Frank et al., 2017) and

strengthen the therapeutic relationship. For patients agreeing to taper to lower opioid dosages as well as for those remaining on higher opioid dosages, clinicians should establish goals with the patient for continued opioid therapy (see Recommendations 2 and 7) and maximize pain treatment with nonpharmacologic and nonopioid pharmacologic treatments as appropriate (see Recommendation 2).

#### **Behavioral health support during tapering**

Integrating behavioral and nonopioid pain therapies and treatment for comorbid mental health conditions before and during a taper can help manage pain (Frank et al., 2017), strengthen the therapeutic relationship, and improve the likelihood of positive tapering outcomes (Sullivan et al., 2017). Mental health co-morbidities including depression and anxiety are common in patients with painful conditions, especially in patients receiving long-term opioid therapy (Sullivan, 2018). Depressive symptoms predict taper dropout (Berna et al., 2015; Darnall et al., 2018). Primary care clinicians should collaborate with mental health specialists and with other specialty clinicians as needed to optimize nonopioid pain management (see Recommendation 2), as well as psychosocial support for anxiety related to the taper. Clinicians should consider arranging for consultation with a behavioral health specialist before initiating a taper in patients with serious mental illness, who are at high suicide risk, or with suicidal ideation (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019a). Clinicians should remain alert to signs of anxiety, depression, and opioid misuse or opioid use disorder (see Recommendations 8 and 12) that might be revealed by an opioid taper and provide treatment or arrange for management of these co-morbidities. Successful tapering studies have used at least weekly follow-up (Frank et al., 2017), and clinicians should follow up frequently (at least monthly) with patients engaging in opioid tapering. Clinicians can acknowledge patient fears about tapering (Veterans Health Administration PBM Academic Detailing Service, 2016), ask how they can support the patient (Veterans Health Administration PBM Academic Detailing Service, 2016), and make sure patients receive appropriate and accessible psychosocial support (Sullivan et al., 2017; U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and

Department of Defense, 2017). Many patients fear stigma, withdrawal symptoms, pain, and/or abandonment (Henry et al., 2019), and it can be helpful to tell patients what to expect (e.g., the rate will be kept slow to minimize withdrawal symptoms; pain may worsen at first but usually improves over time) and that the clinician will support them through the process.

#### **Tapering rate**

Evidence to support specific tapering rates is limited. The rate of tapering should be individualized based on the clinical situation of the patient. When opioids are reduced or discontinued, a taper slow enough to minimize symptoms and signs of opioid withdrawal (e.g., anxiety, insomnia, abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, diaphoresis, mydriasis, tremor, tachycardia, or piloerection) should be used. Tapers can be completed over several months to years depending on the opioid dosage and should be individualized based on patient goals and concerns. Longer durations of previous opioid therapy might require longer tapers. Evidence on optimal taper rate is emerging. ***Tapers of approximately 10% per month or slower are likely to be better tolerated than more rapid tapers, particularly when patients have been taking opioids for longer durations (e.g., for a year or longer).*** A decrease of 10% of the original dose per week or slower (until approximately 30% of the original dose is reached, followed by a weekly decrease of approximately 10% of the remaining dose) is unlikely to trigger withdrawal (Berna et al., 2015) and can be successful for some patients, particularly after opioid use for weeks to months rather than years. Significant opioid withdrawal symptoms can signal the need to further slow the taper rate. At times, tapers might have to be paused and restarted again when the patient is ready and might have to be slowed once patients reach low dosages to allow gradual accommodation to lower opioid dosages and development of new skills for management of pain and emotional distress. Tapers should not be reversed without careful assessment of benefits and risks of increasing opioid dosage or without maximizing nonopioid treatments for pain and addressing behavioral distress (Rich et al., 2020). Once the smallest available dose is reached, the interval between

2648 doses can be extended. If the clinician has determined with the patient that the goal is discontinuing  
2649 opioids, opioids may be stopped when taken less frequently than once a day.

2650 More rapid tapers might be needed for patient safety under certain circumstances (e.g., for  
2651 patients who have experienced overdose on their current dosage). However, ***unless there are***  
2652 ***indications of a life-threatening issue, such as warning signs of impending overdose, opioid therapy***  
2653 ***should not be discontinued abruptly, and clinicians should not abruptly reduce opioid dosages from***  
2654 ***higher dosages***. When opioids have been prescribed continuously for longer than a few days, sudden  
2655 discontinuation may precipitate significant opioid withdrawal (Mark & Parish, 2019). Rapid tapering or  
2656 sudden discontinuation of opioids in physically dependent patients can also increase risks of  
2657 psychological distress and opioid-related emergency department visits and hospitalizations (Mark &  
2658 Parish, 2019; U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019c). Ultrarapid detoxification under anesthesia is  
2659 associated with substantial risks, including death, and should not be used (Berlin et al., 2013).

#### 2660 **Management of opioid withdrawal during tapering**

2661 The first approach to withdrawal symptoms and signs should generally be consideration of  
2662 slowing or pausing the taper rate. If needed, short-term oral medications might also help manage  
2663 withdrawal symptoms (Veterans Health Administration PBM Academic Detailing Service, 2016). These  
2664 include alpha-2 agonists for the management of autonomic signs and symptoms (e.g., sweating,  
2665 tachycardia). Alpha-2 agonists clonidine and lofexidine are more effective than placebo in reducing  
2666 severity of withdrawal (Gowing, Farrell, Ali, & White, 2016) from heroin or methadone in the context of  
2667 abrupt (not gradual) discontinuation. There is not similar research in patients tapering from long-term  
2668 opioid treatment for pain (Berna et al., 2015), but the alpha-2 agonist tizanidine has been used to help  
2669 taper patients from long-term, high-dose opioids for chronic pain (Sturgeon et al., 2020). Other  
2670 medications addressing specific symptoms (NSAIDs, acetaminophen, or topical menthol/methyl  
2671 salicylate for muscle aches; trazodone for sleep disturbance; prochlorperazine, promethazine, or

ondansetron for nausea; dicyclomine for abdominal cramping; and loperamide or bismuth subsalicylate for diarrhea) have also been used (Veterans Health Administration PBM Academic Detailing Service, 2016).

#### **Tapering when patients have opioid use disorder**

Some patients with unanticipated challenges to tapering, such as inability to make progress in tapering despite opioid-related harm, might have undiagnosed opioid use disorder. Therefore, patients experiencing such challenges should be assessed for opioid use disorder using *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fifth Edition)* criteria and if criteria for opioid use disorder are met, offered medication treatment (see Recommendation 12) and naloxone for opioid overdose reversal (see Recommendation 8).

#### **Other challenges to tapering**

Emerging evidence suggests that patients for whom risks of continued high-dose opioid use outweigh benefits but who are unable to taper and who do not meet criteria for opioid use disorder might benefit from transition to buprenorphine (Chou, Ballantyne, & Lembke, 2019; Fishman & Kim, 2018; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019a). Buprenorphine is an opioid partial agonist that can treat pain as well as opioid use disorder (Pade, Cardon, Hoffman, & Geppert, 2012), and has other properties that may be helpful (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense, 2017), including less respiratory depression (Dahan et al., 2006) and overdose risk than other opioids (Chou et al., 2019). While overdose is less likely with buprenorphine than with full agonist opioids, overdose is still possible, particularly if buprenorphine is taken concurrently with other respiratory depressants, such as full agonist opioids, benzodiazepines, or alcohol (Paone et al., 2015). A specialty clinic offering opioid tapering services for patients receiving high-dosage opioids (defined in this study as  $\geq 90$  MME/day) for chronic pain found that 44.6% of patients referred for opioid taper were



2695 able to successfully taper to <90 MME/day, and an additional 18.8% who were unable to taper were  
2696 able to successfully transition to sublingual buprenorphine (Sturgeon et al., 2020). Different  
2697 buprenorphine products, available at different doses, are approved for the treatment of pain (e.g.,  
2698 Belbuca, Butrans) and for the treatment of opioid use disorder (e.g., Suboxone). While prescription of  
2699 buprenorphine for treatment of opioid use disorder requires the clinician to have a waiver from the  
2700 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) (see Recommendation 12),  
2701 prescription of buprenorphine for treatment of chronic pain does not require a waiver(Chou et al.,  
2702 2019).

2703 To avoid precipitating withdrawal, transitioning any patient taking full agonist opioids to  
2704 buprenorphine requires careful timing of the initial buprenorphine dose (U.S. Department of Health and  
2705 Human Services, 2019a) (see Recommendation 12 for application to patients with opioid use disorder).  
2706 Patients should be in mild to moderate withdrawal from full agonist opioids before the first  
2707 buprenorphine dose (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019a). To do this, it has been  
2708 advised to wait at least 8 to 12 hours after the last dose of short-acting full agonist opioids and waiting  
2709 longer following the last dose of long-acting full agonist opioids (e.g., at least 12-24 hours after the last  
2710 dose of an ER/LA full-agonist opioid, longer for methadone) before the first dose of buprenorphine  
2711 (Manhapra et al., 2018). As an alternative for patients not yet in opioid withdrawal, some authors have  
2712 described low dose initiation of buprenorphine to allow for initiation of buprenorphine in patients  
2713 currently receiving full agonist opioids for acute or chronic pain (Cohen et al., 2021). SAMHSA's  
2714 Providers Clinical Support System (<https://pcssnow.org/>) offers training and technical assistance as well  
2715 as mentors to assist clinicians who are unfamiliar with initiation of buprenorphine and have additional  
2716 questions related to the diagnosis and treatment of opioid use disorder in particular. Because the  
2717 duration of action for analgesia is shorter than the duration of action for suppression of opioid  
2718 withdrawal and stabilization of opioid use disorder (Alford, Compton, & Samet, 2006), dosing

2719 buprenorphine for pain is typically multiple times daily (e.g., 8mg sublingual tablet three times a day)  
2720 rather than once a day dosing as done for the treatment of OUD (Manhapra et al., 2018; U.S.  
2721 Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense, 2017).

## 2722 **Continuing high-dosage opioids**

2723 Clinicians should closely monitor patients who are unable to taper and who continue on high-  
2724 dose or otherwise high-risk opioid regimens (e.g., opioids prescribed concurrently with benzodiazepines)  
2725 and should work with patients to mitigate overdose risk (e.g., by providing overdose education and  
2726 naloxone—see Recommendation 8). Clinicians can use periodic and strategic motivational questions and  
2727 statements to encourage movement toward appropriate therapeutic changes (Dowell & Haegerich,  
2728 2017). Increasing opioid dosage in patients already receiving high dosages is likely to be associated with  
2729 diminishing returns for pain relief and increased risks for adverse effects and should be avoided.

2730 Management of chronic pain with opioids can be challenging, as can management of opioid  
2731 discontinuation (Dowell, Haegerich, et al., 2019). However, ***clinicians have a responsibility to provide or***  
2732 ***arrange for coordinated management of patients' pain and opioid-related challenges. Clinicians***  
2733 ***should not abandon patients. Payers and health systems should not use this clinical practice guideline***  
2734 ***to set rigid standards related to dose or duration of opioid therapy, should ensure that policies based***  
2735 ***on cautionary dosage thresholds do not result in rapid tapers or abrupt discontinuation of opioids.***

2736 Care should be taken to ensure that policies do not penalize clinicians for accepting new patients who  
2737 are receiving opioids for chronic pain. Patients prescribed opioids but unable to access ongoing care  
2738 (Lagisetty et al., 2019) may be at risk for abrupt opioid discontinuation and may miss opportunities to  
2739 receive life-saving interventions, including monitoring for and management of mental health and  
2740 substance use co-morbidities.

## 2741 **Opioid duration and follow-up**

6. When opioids are needed for acute pain, clinicians should prescribe no greater quantity than needed for the expected duration of pain severe enough to require opioids (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 4).

Implementation considerations:

- Nontraumatic, nonsurgical acute pain can often be managed without opioids (see Recommendation 1).
- Opioids are sometimes needed for treatment of acute pain (see Recommendation 1). When the diagnosis and severity of acute pain warrant use of opioids, clinicians should prescribe no greater quantity than needed for the expected duration of pain severe enough to require opioids. For many common causes of nontraumatic, nonsurgical pain, when opioids are needed, a few days or less are often sufficient, and shorter courses can minimize the need to taper opioids to prevent withdrawal symptoms at the end of a course of opioids. However, durations should be individualized based on the clinical circumstances of the specific patient.
- Clinicians should generally avoid prescribing additional opioids to patients “just in case” pain continues longer than expected.
- For postoperative pain related to major surgery, procedure-specific opioid prescribing recommendations are available with ranges for amounts of opioids needed (based on actual use and refills and on consensus).
- To minimize unintended impact on patients with an unexpectedly prolonged duration of severe acute pain, clinicians, practices, and health systems should have mechanisms in place to provide timely re-evaluation for the subset of patients who experience severe acute pain that continues longer than the expected duration to confirm or revise the initial diagnosis and to adjust management accordingly. In particular, clinicians, practices, and health systems should ensure all patients can access and afford additional evaluation and treatment, as needed, to minimize disparities across patients based on access to and affordability of care and refills.
- Longer durations of opioid therapy are more likely to be needed when the mechanism of injury is expected to result in prolonged severe pain (e.g., severe traumatic injuries).
- Patients should be evaluated at least every 2 weeks if they continue to receive opioids for acute pain.
- If opioids are continued for a month or longer, clinicians should refer to recommendations on subacute and chronic pain for follow-up (Recommendation 7) and tapering (Recommendation 5).
- If patients already receiving long-term opioids require additional opioids for superimposed severe acute pain (e.g., major surgery), opioids should be continued only for the duration of pain severe enough to require additional opioids, returning to the patient’s baseline opioid dosage as soon as possible, including a taper to baseline dosage if additional opioids were used around the clock for more than a few days.
- If opioids are prescribed continuously (around the clock) for more than a few days for acute pain, clinicians should prescribe a taper to minimize withdrawal symptoms on discontinuation of opioids.

- 2781       • *Taper durations might need to be adjusted depending on the duration of the initial opioid*  
2782       *prescription (see supporting rationale for this recommendation for additional details).*
- 2783       • *Tapering plans should be discussed with the patient prior to hospital discharge and with*  
2784       *clinicians coordinating the patient's care as an outpatient. For tapering considerations when*  
2785       *patients have taken opioids continuously for longer than one month, see Recommendation 5.*

2786

2787   *Supporting Rationale*

2788           Data suggest that for many patients presenting with common types of acute pain in primary  
2789   care or emergency department settings, pain improves within days. Analysis of nationwide U.S.  
2790   commercial insurance claims in 2014 found median durations of initial opioid analgesic prescriptions for  
2791   acute pain indications in primary care settings were 4–7 days (Mundkur et al., 2019), suggesting that in  
2792   most cases, clinicians considered an initial opioid prescription of 4 to 7 days' duration sufficient. Some  
2793   patients (17.8%, ranging from 11.7% to 30.0% depending on the acute pain condition) obtained at least  
2794   one refill within 30 days after their initial opioid prescription, suggesting that while for most patients,  
2795   these durations might have been sufficient or more than necessary, there is likely to be variation across  
2796   diagnoses and among patients in time to recovery. In an older study of the course of acute low back  
2797   pain (not associated with malignancies, infections, spondyloarthropathies, fractures, or neurological  
2798   signs) in a primary care setting, there was a large decrease in pain until the fourth day after treatment  
2799   with paracetamol, with smaller decreases thereafter (Coste, Delecoeuillerie, de Lara, LeParc, & Paolaggi,  
2800   1994). A more recent single-center survey of patients prescribed opioids for acute pain on emergency  
2801   department discharge (McCarthy et al., 2021) found that patients taking opioids continued them for a  
2802   median of 4 days (interquartile range [IQR] 2-7 days), including on the day of discharge, with variation  
2803   across patients and diagnoses. Median numbers of days that patients continued taking prescribed  
2804   opioids were 6 (IQR 4-8) for back pain and for fractures, 2 (IQR 1-5) for renal colic, 5.5 (IQR 4-7) for  
2805   musculoskeletal injury, and 3 (IQR 2-6) for other diagnoses. Most patients (92.5%) reported having  
2806   leftover pills, with 52.2% of pills unused overall. A Canadian study following patients for 14 days after

2807 discharge from the emergency department with opioid prescriptions for acute pain (Daoust et al., 2018)  
2808 similarly found most (68%) total prescribed opioids were unused, and that the quantity of morphine  
2809 5mg tablets to prescribe in order to adequately supply 80% of the patients with the amount of opioids  
2810 they actually used was 20 tablets for musculoskeletal pain, 30 for fracture, 15 for renal colic or  
2811 abdominal pain, and 20 for other pain conditions.

2812 Multiple studies since 2017 have found that many patients do not use all prescribed opioids  
2813 after surgery and that prescribing a lower quantity of opioids postoperatively is associated with less  
2814 opioid use without increases in pain score or in requests for refills of pain medication, and without  
2815 significant reductions in satisfaction with pain management (Hill et al., 2017; Hill, Stucke, McMahon, et  
2816 al., 2018; Howard et al., 2018). One study found that, following 5 common surgical procedures, median  
2817 opioid consumption was three 5mg oxycodone pills or less, and that following consensus  
2818 recommendations intended to reduce unnecessary postoperative opioid prescribing published in 2018  
2819 and 2019 would still result in 47% to 56% of pills prescribed remaining unused (K. A. Robinson et al.,  
2820 2020). There is also evidence of variation in opioid needs across patients undergoing the same  
2821 procedures based on individual factors including pain at discharge and prior opioid use (Mallama et al.,  
2822 2021). One study found that while a majority of patients used no or few (less than a total of 50 MME  
2823 during their entire postoperative course) opioids, some patients required opioids for up to 15 days after  
2824 surgery (Thiels et al., 2018).

2825 The clinical evidence reviews found observational evidence that opioid use for acute pain is  
2826 associated with long-term opioid use, and that a greater amount of early opioid exposure is associated  
2827 with greater likelihood of long-term use, noting recent evidence for a dose and duration-response  
2828 relationship (Brat et al., 2018; Brummett et al., 2017; Mundkur et al., 2019; National Conference of State  
2829 Legislatures, June 30, 2019.; Reznikoff, 2018; Shah et al., 2017). Opioids prescribed for surgery and other  
2830 acute pain conditions that go unused (Bartels et al., 2016; Bicket, Long, Pronovost, Alexander, & Wu,

2017; Mallama et al., 2021; Neuman, Bateman, & Wunsch, 2019) are a potential source for misuse and diversion. In addition, sudden discontinuation of opioids used continuously for longer than a few days may result in significant opioid withdrawal (Mark & Parish, 2019). Therefore, limiting duration of opioids prescribed can minimize the need for a taper to prevent distressing or unpleasant withdrawal symptoms.

Many common causes of nonsurgical, nontraumatic acute pain can often be managed without opioids (see Recommendation 1). When the diagnosis and severity of acute pain warrant the use of opioids, clinicians should prescribe no greater quantity than needed for the expected duration of pain severe enough to require opioids. A few days or less are often sufficient when opioids are needed for many common causes of nonsurgical acute pain and limiting the duration of opioid therapy can minimize the need to taper to prevent withdrawal symptoms at the end of the course of opioids as well as limiting unused opioids. Certain circumstances (e.g., severe traumatic injuries) might require use of opioids for durations greater than 7 days. Durations should be individualized based on the clinical circumstances of the specific patient.

When patients are discharged from the hospital following surgery, the course and dosage of any opioid medications given during hospitalization and prior to discharge can help predict ongoing pain management needs (Hill, Stucke, Billmeier, et al., 2018; Joo et al., 2020; Tamboli et al., 2020). For postoperative pain, procedure-specific opioid prescribing recommendations are available with ranges for amounts of opioids needed (based on actual use and refills and on consensus) (Michigan Opioid Prescribing Engagement Network, 2020; Overton et al., 2018) (Thiels et al., 2018).

Clinicians should generally not prescribe additional opioids to patients “just in case” pain continues longer than expected. However, in the event that pain continues longer than expected, it might be challenging for some patients to successfully navigate the healthcare system (e.g., clinician and pharmacy contact, transportation, need for assistance) to obtain additional medication as needed,

2855 leading to potential disparities in treatment. Clinicians, practices, and health systems should have  
2856 mechanisms in place to provide timely re-evaluation for the subset of patients who experience severe  
2857 acute pain that continues longer than the expected duration to confirm or revise the initial diagnosis  
2858 and to adjust pain management accordingly. In particular, clinicians, practices, and health systems  
2859 should ensure all patients can access and afford additional evaluation and treatment as needed to  
2860 minimize disparities across patients based on access to and affordability of care and refills.

2861 Patients should be evaluated at least every 2 weeks if they continue to receive opioids for acute  
2862 pain, and if opioids are continued for a month or longer, clinicians should refer to recommendations on  
2863 subacute and chronic pain for follow-up (Recommendation 7) and tapering (Recommendation 5). If  
2864 patients already receiving long-term opioids require additional opioids for superimposed severe acute  
2865 pain (e.g., major surgery), opioids should be continued only for the duration of pain severe enough to  
2866 require additional opioids, returning to the patient's baseline opioid dosage as soon as possible,  
2867 including a taper to baseline dosage if additional opioids were used around the clock for more than a  
2868 few days.

2869 If opioids are prescribed continuously (around the clock) for more than a few days for acute  
2870 pain, clinicians should prescribe a taper to minimize withdrawal symptoms on discontinuation of  
2871 opioids. Taper durations might need to be adjusted depending on the duration of the initial opioid  
2872 prescription. For example, if opioids are used continuously for more than 3 days but for less than one  
2873 week, clinicians can consider reducing the daily dosage to 50% for 2 days to ameliorate withdrawal  
2874 when discontinuing opioids. When patients have taken opioids continuously for at least one week but  
2875 less than one month, clinicians might consider a slower taper (e.g., reducing the daily dosage by  
2876 approximately 20% every 2 days), a range consistent with tapering rates successfully used in studies of  
2877 postoperative opioid prescribing (Joo et al., 2020; Tamboli et al., 2020). When patients are discharged  
2878 from the hospital following surgery, opioid dosages needed during hospitalization and prior to discharge

2879 can help predict tapering needs to prevent withdrawal (Hill, Stucke, Billmeier, et al., 2018; Joo et al.,  
2880 2020; Tamboli et al., 2020). Tapering plans should be discussed with the patient prior to discharge and  
2881 with clinicians coordinating the patient's care as an outpatient. For tapering considerations when  
2882 patients have taken opioids continuously for longer than one month, see Recommendation 5.

2883  
2884 **7. Clinicians should evaluate benefits and risks with patients within 1 to 4 weeks of starting opioid**  
2885 **therapy for subacute or chronic pain or of dose escalation. Clinicians should evaluate benefits and**  
2886 **risks of continued therapy with patients every 3 months or more frequently (recommendation**  
2887 **category: A, evidence type: 4).**

2888 Implementation considerations:

- 2889 • *In addition to evaluating benefits and risks of opioids before starting opioid therapy (see*  
2890 *Recommendation 2), clinicians should evaluate patients to assess benefits and risks of opioids*  
2891 *within 1 to 4 weeks of starting long-term opioid therapy or of dose escalation.*
- 2892 • *Clinicians should consider follow-up intervals within the lower end of this range when ER/LA*  
2893 *opioids are started or increased, given increased risk for overdose within the first 2 weeks of*  
2894 *treatment, or when total daily opioid dosage is  $\geq 50$  MME/day. (Note: Overdose risk is doubled*  
2895 *across multiple studies for dosages of 50 to  $<100$  MME/day relative to  $<20$  MME/day - see*  
2896 *Recommendation 4).*
- 2897 • *Shorter follow-up intervals (within 3 days) should be strongly considered when starting or*  
2898 *increasing the dosage of methadone, given the variable half-life of this drug (see*  
2899 *Recommendation 3) and the potential for drug accumulation during initiation and during*  
2900 *upward titration of dosage.*
- 2901 • *An initial follow-up interval closer to 4 weeks can be considered when starting immediate-release*  
2902 *opioids at a dosage  $<50$  MME/day.*
- 2903 • *Clinicians should regularly reassess all patients receiving long-term opioid therapy, including*  
2904 *patients who are new to the clinician but on long-term opioid therapy, at least every 3 months.*
- 2905 • *Clinicians seeing new patients already receiving opioids should establish treatment goals for*  
2906 *continued opioid therapy (see Recommendation 2).*
- 2907 • *Clinicians should re-evaluate patients who are at higher risk for opioid use disorder or overdose*  
2908 *(e.g., patients with depression or other mental health conditions, a history of substance use*  
2909 *disorder, a history of overdose, taking  $\geq 50$  MME/day, or taking other central nervous system*  
2910 *depressants with opioids) more frequently than every 3 months.*



- 2911 • *To minimize unintended impact on patients with challenges in accessing or affording follow-up*  
 2912 *visits, practices, and health systems should work to ensure all patients can access and afford*  
 2913 *follow-up evaluation.*
- 2914 • *In practice contexts where virtual visits are part of standard care (e.g., in remote areas where*  
 2915 *distance or other context makes follow-up visits challenging), follow-up assessments that allow*  
 2916 *the clinician to communicate with and observe the patient through telehealth modalities may be*  
 2917 *conducted.*
- 2918 • *At follow-up, clinicians should review patient perspectives and goals, determine whether opioids*  
 2919 *continue to meet treatment goals, including sustained improvement in pain and function;*  
 2920 *whether the patient has experienced common or serious adverse events or early warning signs of*  
 2921 *serious adverse events or has signs of opioid use disorder.*
- 2922 • *Clinicians should ensure that treatment for depression, anxiety, or other psychological co-*  
 2923 *morbidities is optimized.*
- 2924 • *Clinicians should ask patients about their preferences for continuing opioids, given their effects*  
 2925 *on pain and function relative to any adverse effects experienced. If risks outweigh benefits of*  
 2926 *continued opioid therapy (e.g., if patients do not experience meaningful, sustained*  
 2927 *improvements in pain and function compared with prior to initiation of opioid therapy; if*  
 2928 *patients are taking higher-risk regimens [e.g., dosages  $\geq 50$  MME/day or opioids combined with*  
 2929 *benzodiazepines] without evidence of benefit; if patients believe benefits no longer outweigh*  
 2930 *risks; if patients request dosage reduction or discontinuation; or if patients experience overdose*  
 2931 *or other serious adverse events), clinicians should work with patients to reduce opioid dosage or*  
 2932 *to discontinue opioids when possible, using principles from Recommendation 5.*
- 2933 • *Clinicians should maximize pain treatment with nonpharmacologic and nonopioid pharmacologic*  
 2934 *treatments as appropriate (see Recommendation 2).*

#### 2935 *Supporting Rationale*

2936 Although the clinical evidence reviews did not find studies evaluating the effectiveness of more  
 2937 frequent monitoring intervals (Chou et al., April 2020), they did identify an observational study (Edlund  
 2938 et al., 2014) finding risk for opioid use disorder was associated with continuing opioid therapy for 3  
 2939 months or longer. In addition, the reviews identified a study finding that risk for overdose associated  
 2940 with ER/LA opioids might be particularly high during the first 2 weeks of treatment (Miller et al., 2015).  
 2941 Another study found the first 3 months after opioid initiation to be a higher risk period for opioid  
 2942 overdose (E. M. Oliva et al., 2020). Patients who do not have pain relief with opioids at 1 month are  
 2943 unlikely to experience pain relief with opioids at 6 months (Kalso, Simpson, Slappendel, Dejonckheere, &

2944 Richarz, 2007). Although evidence is insufficient to determine at what point within the first 3 months of  
2945 opioid therapy the risks for opioid use disorder increase, reassessment of pain and function within 1  
2946 month of initiating opioids provides an opportunity to modify the treatment plan to achieve pain  
2947 treatment goals, minimize risks of long-term opioid use by tapering and discontinuing opioids among  
2948 patients not receiving a clear benefit from these medications, and additional evaluation within the first  
2949 three months might provide opportunities to identify and mitigate risks for opioid use disorder and  
2950 overdose.

2951 Experts noted that although there is little evidence for specific follow-up time frames, the  
2952 recommendation was reasonable and reflects common practice and therefore supported both the  
2953 recommendation and the category A designation. Experts further noted that social determinants of  
2954 health affecting ability to return frequently for care (e.g., role as unpaid caregiver, or work at a job with  
2955 minimal paid time off) or payer issues (e.g., co-pays) could have consequences when recommending  
2956 frequent visits and should be considered.

2957 Clinicians should evaluate patients to assess benefits and risks of opioids within 1 to 4 weeks of  
2958 starting long-term opioid therapy or of dose escalation. Clinicians should consider follow-up intervals  
2959 within the lower end of this range when ER/LA opioids are started or increased, given increased risk for  
2960 overdose within the first 2 weeks of treatment (Miller et al., 2015), or when total daily opioid dosage is  
2961  $\geq 50$  MME/day, given overdose risk is doubled across multiple studies for dosages of 50 to  $<100$   
2962 MME/day relative to  $<20$  MME/day (see Recommendation 4). Shorter follow-up intervals (within 3 days)  
2963 should be strongly considered when starting or increasing the dosage of methadone, given the variable  
2964 half-life of this drug (see Recommendation 3) and the potential for drug accumulation during initiation  
2965 and during upward titration of dosage. An initial follow-up interval closer to 4 weeks can be considered  
2966 when starting immediate-release opioids at a dosage  $<50$  MME/day.

|

2967 In analyses of placebo-controlled trials, the clinical evidence reviews found that effects of  
2968 opioids on mean improvement in pain and in function were greater at 1 to 3 months than at 3 to 6  
2969 months (Chou et al., April 2020). A cohort study found an association between longer duration of  
2970 therapy and increased risk of new-onset depression (Chou et al., April 2020). Because of potential  
2971 changes in the balance of benefits and risks of opioid therapy over time, clinicians should regularly  
2972 reassess all patients receiving long-term opioid therapy, including patients who are new to the clinician  
2973 but on long-term opioid therapy, at least every 3 months. Clinicians seeing new patients already  
2974 receiving opioids should establish treatment goals for continued opioid therapy (see Recommendation  
2975 2). Clinicians should re-evaluate patients who are at greater risk for opioid use disorder or overdose  
2976 (e.g., patients with depression or other mental health conditions, a history of substance use disorder, a  
2977 history of overdose, taking  $\geq 50$  MME/day, or taking other central nervous system depressants with  
2978 opioids) more frequently than every 3 months. To minimize unintended impact on patients with  
2979 challenges in accessing or affording follow-up visits, practices, and health systems should work to ensure  
2980 all patients can access and afford follow-up evaluation. In addition, policymakers should minimize  
2981 barriers to care (e.g., through promotion of paid time off). In practice contexts where virtual visits are  
2982 part of standard care (e.g., in remote areas where distance or other context makes follow-up visits  
2983 challenging), follow-up assessments that allow the clinician to communicate with and observe the  
2984 patient through telehealth modalities may be conducted.

2985 At follow-up, clinicians should review patient perspectives on progress and challenges in moving  
2986 toward treatment goals, determine whether opioids continue to meet treatment goals, including  
2987 sustained improvement in pain and function; whether the patient has experienced common or serious  
2988 adverse events or early warning signs of serious adverse events or has signs of opioid misuse or opioid  
2989 use disorder (e.g., difficulty controlling use, cravings, work, social or family problems related to opioid  
2990 use); whether benefits of opioids continue to outweigh risks; and whether there is a need for opioid

|

2991 dosage reduction or discontinuation. Clinicians should assess benefits in function, pain control, and  
2992 quality of life by asking patients about progress toward person-centered functional goals that have  
2993 meaning for them (see Recommendation 2) and/or by using tools such as the three-item “Pain average,  
2994 interference with Enjoyment of life, and interference with General activity” (PEG) Assessment Scale  
2995 (Krebs et al., 2009); clinically meaningful improvement has been defined as a 30% improvement in  
2996 scores for both pain and function (Ostelo et al., 2008). Clinicians should also ask patients about common  
2997 adverse effects such as constipation and drowsiness (see Recommendation 2), as well as asking about  
2998 and assessing for effects that might be early warning signs for more serious problems such as overdose  
2999 (e.g., sedation or slurred speech) or opioid use disorder (e.g., craving, wanting to take opioids in greater  
3000 quantities or more frequently than prescribed, difficulty controlling use, work, social, or family problems  
3001 related to opioid use). Because depression, anxiety, and other psychological co-morbidities often coexist  
3002 with and can interfere with resolution of pain, clinicians should use validated instruments to assess for  
3003 these conditions (see Recommendation 8) and ensure that treatment for these conditions is optimized.  
3004 Clinicians should ask patients about their preferences for continuing opioids, given their effects on pain  
3005 and function relative to any adverse effects experienced.

3006 If risks outweigh benefits of continued opioid therapy (e.g., if patients do not experience  
3007 meaningful, sustained improvements in pain and function compared with prior to initiation of opioid  
3008 therapy; if patients are taking higher-risk regimens [e.g., dosages  $\geq 50$  MME/day or opioids combined  
3009 with benzodiazepines] without evidence of benefit; if patients believe benefits no longer outweigh risks;  
3010 if patients request dosage reduction or discontinuation; or if patients experience overdose or other  
3011 serious adverse events), clinicians should work with patients to reduce opioid dosage or to discontinue  
3012 opioids when possible, using principles from Recommendation 5. Clinicians should maximize pain  
3013 treatment with nonpharmacologic and nonopioid pharmacologic treatments as appropriate (see  
3014 Recommendation 2).

3015

3016 **Assessing risk and addressing harms of opioid use**

3017 **8. Before starting and periodically during continuation of opioid therapy, clinicians should evaluate**  
3018 **risk for opioid-related harms and discuss with patients. Clinicians should work with patients to**  
3019 **incorporate into the management plan strategies to mitigate risk, including offering naloxone**  
3020 **when factors that increase risk for opioid overdose are present (recommendation category: A,**  
3021 **evidence type: 4).**

3022 Implementation considerations:

- 3023 • Clinicians should offer naloxone when prescribing opioids to patients at increased risk for  
3024 overdose, including patients with a history of overdose, patients with a history of substance use  
3025 disorder, patients with sleep-disordered breathing, patients taking higher dosages of opioids  
3026 (e.g., ≥50 MME/day), patients taking benzodiazepines with opioids (see Recommendation 11),  
3027 and patients at risk for returning to a high dose to which they have lost tolerance (e.g., patients  
3028 undergoing tapering or recently released from prison).
- 3029 • Practices should provide education on overdose prevention and naloxone use to patients and  
3030 offer to provide education to members of their households.
- 3031 • Naloxone co-prescribing can be facilitated by clinics or practices with resources to provide  
3032 naloxone training and by collaborative practice models with pharmacists or through standing  
3033 orders for naloxone at pharmacies.
- 3034 • Resources for prescribing naloxone in primary care and emergency department settings can be  
3035 found through Prescribe to Prevent at <http://prescribetoprevent.org>; additional resources are at  
3036 <https://samhsa.gov>.
- 3037 • In part because of concerns about cost of naloxone and access for some patients, this  
3038 recommendation specifies that naloxone should be “offered” to patients. Clinicians, health  
3039 systems, and payers should work to ensure patients can access naloxone, a potentially lifesaving  
3040 treatment.
- 3041 • Clinicians should avoid prescribing opioids to patients with moderate or severe sleep-disordered  
3042 breathing when possible to minimize risks for opioid overdose.
- 3043 • When making decisions about whether to initiate opioid therapy for pain during pregnancy,  
3044 clinicians and patients together should carefully weigh benefits and risks. For pregnant people  
3045 already receiving opioids, clinicians should access appropriate expertise if considering tapering  
3046 opioids because of possible risk to the pregnant patient and to the fetus if the patient goes into  
3047 withdrawal (see Recommendation 5).
- 3048 • For pregnant people with opioid use disorder, medications for opioid use disorder  
3049 (buprenorphine or methadone) have been associated with improved maternal outcomes and  
3050 should be offered (see Recommendation 12).

- 3051 • Clinicians should use additional caution and increased monitoring (see Recommendation 7) to  
3052 minimize risks of opioids prescribed for patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency and for  
3053 patients aged ≥65 years and should implement interventions to mitigate common risks of opioid  
3054 therapy among older adults, such as exercise or bowel regimens to prevent constipation, risk  
3055 assessment for falls, and patient monitoring for cognitive impairment.
- 3056 • Clinicians should ensure that treatment for depression and other mental health conditions is  
3057 optimized, consulting with behavioral health specialists when needed.
- 3058 • Clinicians should ask patients about their drug and alcohol use.
- 3059 • Clinicians should use PDMP data (see Recommendation 9) and toxicology screening (see  
3060 Recommendation 10) as appropriate to assess for concurrent substance use that might place  
3061 patients at higher risk for opioid use disorder and overdose.
- 3062 • Clinicians should provide specific counseling on increased risks for overdose when opioids are  
3063 combined with other drugs or alcohol (see Recommendation 2) and ensure that patients are  
3064 provided or receive effective treatment for substance use disorders when needed (see  
3065 Recommendation 12).
- 3066 • Although substance use disorder can alter the expected benefits and risks of opioid therapy for  
3067 pain, patients with co-occurring pain and substance use disorder require ongoing pain  
3068 management that maximizes benefits relative to risks. See “Pain management for patients with  
3069 opioid use disorder” section of Recommendation 12 for additional considerations specific to  
3070 patients with pain and opioid use disorder.
- 3071 • If clinicians consider opioid therapy for chronic pain for patients with substance use disorder,  
3072 they should discuss increased risks for opioid use disorder and overdose with patients, carefully  
3073 consider whether benefits of opioids outweigh increased risks, and incorporate strategies to  
3074 mitigate risk into the management plan, such as offering naloxone (see Offering Naloxone to  
3075 Patients When Factors That Increase Risk for Opioid-Related Harms Are Present) and increasing  
3076 frequency of monitoring (see Recommendation 7).
- 3077 • If patients experience nonfatal opioid overdose, clinicians should evaluate for opioid use disorder  
3078 and treat or arrange treatment if needed. Clinicians should work with patients to reduce opioid  
3079 dosage and to discontinue opioids when indicated (see Recommendation 5) and should ensure  
3080 continued close monitoring and support for patients prescribed or not prescribed opioids.
- 3081 If clinicians continue opioid therapy in patients with prior opioid overdose, they should discuss  
3082 increased risks for overdose with patients, carefully consider whether benefits of opioids  
3083 outweigh substantial risks, and incorporate strategies to mitigate risk into the management  
3084 plan, such as considering offering naloxone and increasing frequency of monitoring (see  
3085 Recommendation 7).

#### 3086 Supporting Rationale

3087 The clinical evidence reviews found evidence too limited to determine effects of patient  
3088 demographics and comorbidities on risk of opioid-related harms (Chou et al., April 2020). However,  
3089 based on observational studies and expert opinion, certain risk factors are likely to increase

|

susceptibility to opioid-related harms and warrant incorporation of additional strategies into the management plan to mitigate risk. Clinicians should assess these risk factors periodically, with frequency individualized to patient comorbidities and other risk factors. For example, factors that vary more frequently over time, such as alcohol use, require more frequent assessment. In addition, clinicians should offer naloxone and re-evaluate patients more frequently (see Recommendation 7) when factors that increase risk for harm, such as sleep-disordered breathing, history of overdose, history of substance use disorder, higher dosages of opioids (e.g.,  $\geq 50$  MME/day), and concurrent use of benzodiazepines with opioids, are present. Experts noted concerns with potential downstream effects of offering naloxone for patients of limited means to afford the cost of purchasing naloxone. In part because of this concern, and also because in some settings, naloxone is directly provided by a practice or health system to patients, “offering” naloxone is recommended. Clinicians, health systems, and payers should work to ensure patients can access naloxone, a potentially lifesaving treatment.

#### **Patients with sleep-disordered breathing, including sleep apnea**

A case-control analysis among Veterans prescribed opioids found that sleep apnea and chronic pulmonary disease were associated with increased risk for life-threatening respiratory central nervous system depression or overdose (Zedler et al., 2014). Careful monitoring and cautious dose titration should be used if opioids are prescribed for patients with mild sleep-disordered breathing. Clinicians should avoid prescribing opioids to patients with moderate or severe sleep-disordered breathing, whenever possible, to minimize risks for opioid overdose.

#### **Pregnant people**

Opioids used during pregnancy might be associated with risks to both parent and fetus. Some studies have shown an association of opioid use in pregnancy with stillbirth, poor fetal growth, pre-term delivery, and birth defects (Broussard et al., 2011; Lind et al., 2017; Whiteman et al., 2014; Yazdy, Desai,

|

3115 & Brogly, 2015; Yazdy, Mitchell, Tinker, Parker, & Werler, 2013). In some cases, opioid use during  
3116 pregnancy leads to neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome (Hadi, da Silva, Natale, Boyd, & Morley-  
3117 Forster, 2006). At the same time, as noted by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists,  
3118 “a cautious approach to prescribing opioids should be balanced with the need to address pain...  
3119 Pregnancy should not be a reason to avoid treating acute pain” (“Committee Opinion No. 711: Opioid  
3120 Use and Opioid Use Disorder in Pregnancy,” 2017). Clinicians and patients together should carefully  
3121 weigh benefits and risks when making decisions about whether to initiate opioid therapy for pain during  
3122 pregnancy. In addition, before initiating opioid therapy for individuals who can become pregnant,  
3123 clinicians should discuss family planning and how long-term opioid use might affect any future  
3124 pregnancy. When opioids are needed for treatment of acute pain in pregnant people, the lowest dose to  
3125 achieve expected effects (see Recommendation 4) should be used for no longer than the expected  
3126 duration of pain severe enough to require opioids (see Recommendation 6). For pregnant people with  
3127 chronic pain, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends that “practice goals  
3128 include strategies to avoid or minimize the use of opioids for pain management, highlighting alternative  
3129 pain therapies such as nonpharmacologic (e.g., exercise, physical therapy, behavioral approaches), and  
3130 nonopioid pharmacologic treatments” (“Committee Opinion No. 711: Opioid Use and Opioid Use  
3131 Disorder in Pregnancy,” 2017). For pregnant people already receiving opioids, clinicians should access  
3132 appropriate expertise if considering tapering opioids because of possible risk to the pregnant patient  
3133 and to the fetus if the patient goes into withdrawal (see Recommendation 5).

3134 The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists notes that early universal screening,  
3135 brief intervention (e.g., engaging in a short conversation, providing feedback and advice), and referral  
3136 for treatment of pregnant people with opioid use disorder improve both maternal and infant outcomes  
3137 (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice & American  
3138 Society of Addiction Medicine, 2017). For pregnant people with opioid use disorder, medications for



opioid use disorder (buprenorphine or methadone) have been associated with improved maternal outcomes and should be offered (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice & American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2017) (see Recommendation 12).

The American Academy of Pediatrics has published recommendations for the care of infants with neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome, including that pregnant people with opioid use disorder should receive antenatal counseling to provide education on the clinical signs of withdrawal and enhance maternal understanding of postnatal treatment for neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome (e.g., nonpharmacologic treatment including breastfeeding, and pharmacotherapy) and that all infants with long-term opioid exposure should be observed for at least 72 hours (4 to 7 days if exposed to buprenorphine or sustained released opioids and 5 to 7 days if exposed to methadone) to monitor for the development of withdrawal (Patrick, Barfield, & Poindexter, 2020). Clinicians caring for pregnant people receiving opioids for pain or receiving buprenorphine or methadone for opioid use disorder should arrange for delivery at a facility prepared to monitor, evaluate for, and treat neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome. In instances when travel to such a facility would present an undue burden on the pregnant person, it is appropriate to deliver locally, monitor and evaluate the newborn for neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome, and transfer the newborn for additional treatment if needed. Previous guidelines have recommended that codeine be avoided whenever possible among mothers who are breastfeeding and, if used, should be limited to the lowest possible dose and to a 4-day supply with re-evaluation thereafter (National Opioid Use Guideline Group, 2010).

#### **Patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency**

A case-control study of risk of life-threatening respiratory central nervous system depression or overdose among veterans prescribed opioids found that renal disease and moderate or severe liver disease were associated with increased risk for life-threatening respiratory central nervous system depression or overdose (Zedler et al., 2014). Clinicians should use additional caution and increased

3163 monitoring (see Recommendation 7) to minimize risks of opioids prescribed for patients with renal or  
3164 hepatic insufficiency, given their decreased ability to process and excrete medications, susceptibility to  
3165 accumulation of opioids, and reduced therapeutic window between safe dosages and dosages  
3166 associated with respiratory depression and overdose (*Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacologic Basis*  
3167 *of Therapeutics, 9th ed, 1996*) (see Recommendations 3, 4, and 7).

#### 3168 **Patients aged ≥65 years**

3169       Persons aged ≥65 years can be at risk for inadequate pain treatment (Becker et al., 2017;  
3170 Bernabei et al., 1998; Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education,  
3171 2011; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). Older adults can also be at risk for  
3172 changes in function that might be exacerbated by pain and contribute to deterioration in overall health  
3173 and independence. Pain management for older patients can be challenging given increased risks of both  
3174 nonopioid pharmacologic therapies (see Recommendation 2) and opioid therapy in this population. A  
3175 case-control analysis among Veterans prescribed opioids found that age >55 years was associated with  
3176 increased risk for life-threatening respiratory central nervous system depression or overdose (Zedler et  
3177 al., 2014). Given reduced renal function and medication clearance even in the absence of renal disease,  
3178 patients aged ≥65 years might have increased susceptibility to accumulation of opioids and a smaller  
3179 therapeutic window between safe dosages and dosages associated with respiratory depression and  
3180 overdose (*Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics, 9th ed, 1996*). Some older  
3181 adults might have a cognitive impairment, such as dementia, which can increase risk for medication  
3182 errors and make opioid-related confusion riskier. In addition, older adults are more likely than younger  
3183 adults to experience co-morbid medical conditions and more likely to receive multiple medications,  
3184 some of which might interact with opioids. Functional assessment is especially important in patients  
3185 aged ≥65 years to better assess impact of pain on function and independence. Clinicians should use  
3186 additional caution and increased monitoring (see Recommendation 7) for patients aged ≥65 years to

3187 ensure pain is addressed and to minimize risks of opioids prescribed and should educate older adults  
3188 receiving opioids to avoid medication-related behaviors that increase risk such as saving unused  
3189 medications. Clinicians should also implement interventions to mitigate common risks of opioid therapy  
3190 among older adults, such as exercise or bowel regimens to prevent constipation, risk assessment for  
3191 falls, and patient monitoring for cognitive impairment.

#### 3192 **Patients with mental health conditions**

3193 Because psychological distress frequently interferes with improvement of pain and function in  
3194 patients with chronic pain, using validated instruments such as the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD)-  
3195 7 and the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)-9 or the PHQ-4 to support assessment for anxiety, post-  
3196 traumatic stress disorder, and/or depression (Kroenke, Spitzer, Williams, & Löwe, 2010) might help  
3197 clinicians improve overall pain treatment outcomes. Additional caution and increased monitoring (see  
3198 Recommendation 7) might lessen the increased risk for overdose among patients with depression  
3199 (Turner & Liang, 2015; Zedler et al., 2014). Previous guidelines have noted that acute psychiatric  
3200 instability (severe depression, unstable bipolar disorder, or unstable psychotic disorder) or intermediate  
3201 to high acute suicide risk precludes the safe use of self-administered long-term opioid therapy and that  
3202 treatment for chronic pain with movement, exercise and cognitive behavioral therapy for pain may have  
3203 benefit in treating depression, PTSD, and in reducing suicide risk (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs  
3204 and Department of Defense, 2017). In addition, patients with anxiety disorders and other mental health  
3205 conditions are more likely to receive benzodiazepines, which can exacerbate opioid-induced respiratory  
3206 depression and increase risk for overdose (see Recommendation 11). Clinicians should ensure that  
3207 treatment for depression and other mental health conditions as well as treatment for pain is optimized,  
3208 consulting with behavioral health specialists when needed. Treatment for depression can improve pain  
3209 symptoms as well as depression and might decrease overdose risk (Turner & Liang, 2015). For treatment  
3210 of chronic pain in patients with depression, clinicians should consider using tricyclic or SNRI

antidepressants for analgesic as well as antidepressant effects if these medications are not otherwise contraindicated (see Recommendation 2).

### **Patients with substance use disorders**

Patients with substance use disorders including alcohol use disorder are likely to experience greater risks for opioid use disorder and overdose (Bohnert et al., 2011; Dunn et al., 2010; Zedler et al., 2014) than persons without these conditions. Despite increased risk for opioid misuse and opioid use disorder when prescribed opioid analgesics (Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007; Reid et al., 2002), patients with histories of substance use disorders are more likely than other patients to receive long-term opioid treatment for chronic pain (Edlund et al., 2010). Previous guidelines have recommended screening or risk assessment tools to identify patients at higher risk for opioid misuse or opioid use disorder. However, the clinical evidence reviews found that currently available risk stratification tools (e.g., Opioid Risk Tool, Screener and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain Version 1, SOAPP-R, and Brief Risk Interview) show limited and variable accuracy for classification of patients as at low or high risk for opioid use disorder or misuse (Chou et al., April 2020). If these tools are used, they should be supplemented with other assessments, such as discussions with patients, family, and caregivers, clinical records, PDMP data (see Recommendation 9), and toxicology screening data (see Recommendation 10). Clinicians should always exercise caution when considering or prescribing opioids and should not overestimate the ability of currently available risk stratification tools to rule out risks from long-term opioid therapy.

Non-prescribed drugs (e.g., heroin, illicitly manufactured fentanyl, cocaine, methamphetamine) (Gladden, O'Donnell, Mattson, & Seth, 2019) and alcohol (Jones, Paulozzi, & Mack, 2014) are listed as contributory factors on a substantial proportion of death certificates for prescription opioid-involved overdose deaths. Clinicians should ask patients about their drug (U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, 2020) and alcohol use. Single screening questions can be used (Saitz, Cheng, Allensworth-Davies, Winter,

3235 & Smith, 2014). For example, the question “How many times in the past year have you used an illegal  
3236 drug or used a prescription medication for nonmedical reasons?” (with an answer of one or more  
3237 considered positive) was found in a primary care setting to be 100% sensitive and 73.5% specific for the  
3238 detection of a drug use disorder compared with a standardized diagnostic interview (P. C. Smith,  
3239 Schmidt, Allensworth-Davies, & Saitz, 2010). Validated screening tools such as the Drug Abuse Screening  
3240 Test (DAST) (Yudko, Lozhkina, & Fouts, 2007), the Tobacco, Alcohol, Prescription medication, and other  
3241 Substance use Tool (TAPS) (McNeely et al., 2016), and the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test  
3242 (AUDIT) (Reinert & Allen, 2007) can also be used. Clinicians should use PDMP data (see  
3243 Recommendation 9) and toxicology screening (see Recommendation 10) as appropriate to assess for  
3244 concurrent substance use that might place patients at higher risk for opioid use disorder and overdose.  
3245 Clinicians should also provide specific counseling on increased risks for overdose when opioids are  
3246 combined with other drugs or alcohol (see Recommendation 2) and ensure that patients receive  
3247 effective treatment for substance use disorders when needed (see Recommendation 12).

3248       If clinicians consider opioid therapy for chronic pain, they should discuss increased risks for  
3249 opioid use disorder and overdose with patients, carefully consider whether benefits of opioids outweigh  
3250 increased risks, and incorporate strategies to mitigate risk into the management plan, such as offering  
3251 naloxone (see Offering Naloxone to Patients When Factors That Increase Risk for Opioid-Related Harms  
3252 Are Present) and increasing frequency of monitoring (see Recommendation 7) when opioids are  
3253 prescribed. Clinicians should communicate with patients’ substance use disorder treatment providers if  
3254 opioids are prescribed. Although substance use disorder can alter the expected benefits and risks of  
3255 opioid therapy for pain, patients with co-occurring pain and substance use disorder require ongoing pain  
3256 management that maximizes benefits relative to risks. See “Pain management for patients with opioid  
3257 use disorder” section of Recommendation 12 for additional considerations specific to patients with  
3258 opioid use disorder.

3259 **Patients with prior nonfatal overdose**

3260 Prior nonfatal overdose is associated with substantially increased risk for future nonfatal or fatal  
3261 opioid overdose (M. R. Larochelle, Liebschutz, Zhang, Ross-Degnan, & Wharam, 2016). Yet, a cohort  
3262 study of commercially insured patients found that opioids were dispensed to 91% of patients after an  
3263 overdose, and a substantial percentage experienced a repeated opioid overdose, with a cumulative  
3264 incidence at 2 years of 17% among patients receiving 100 or more MME/day, 15% among those  
3265 prescribed 50 to 100 MME/day, 9% among those prescribed <50 MME/day, and 8% among those  
3266 prescribed no opioids (M. R. Larochelle et al., 2016).

3267 If patients experience nonfatal opioid overdose, clinicians should evaluate for opioid use  
3268 disorder and treat or arrange treatment if needed. Buprenorphine or methadone for opioid use disorder  
3269 following nonfatal overdose are associated with reduced all-cause and opioid-related mortality (Marc R  
3270 Larochelle et al., 2018). Clinicians should work with patients to reduce opioid dosage and to discontinue  
3271 opioids when indicated (see Recommendation 5) and should ensure continued close monitoring and  
3272 support for patients prescribed or not prescribed opioids. If clinicians continue opioid therapy in  
3273 patients with prior opioid overdose, they should discuss increased risks for overdose with patients,  
3274 carefully consider whether benefits of opioids outweigh substantial risks, and incorporate strategies to  
3275 mitigate risk into the management plan, such as considering offering naloxone (see Offering Naloxone to  
3276 Patients When Factors That Increase Risk for Opioid-Related Harms Are Present), involving patient-  
3277 identified trusted family members, and increasing frequency of monitoring (see Recommendation 7).

3278 **Offering naloxone to patients when factors that increase risk for opioid-related harms are present**

3279 Naloxone is an opioid antagonist that can reverse severe respiratory depression; its  
3280 administration by laypersons, such as friends, family, and caregivers of persons who experience opioid  
3281 overdose, can save lives (Walley et al., 2013). Naloxone precipitates acute withdrawal among patients  
3282 physically dependent on opioids. Serious adverse effects, such as pulmonary edema, cardiovascular

instability, and seizures, have been reported but are rare at doses consistent with labeled use for opioid overdose (Enteen et al., 2010). The clinical evidence reviews identified one observational study (Coffin et al., 2016) finding that provision of naloxone to patients prescribed opioids in primary care clinics was associated with decreased likelihood of emergency department visits (but no difference in risk of overdose) (Chou et al., April 2020).

***Clinicians should offer naloxone when prescribing opioids to patients at increased risk for overdose, including patients with a history of overdose, patients with a history of substance use disorder, patients taking benzodiazepines with opioids (see Recommendation 11), patients at risk for returning to a high dose to which they have lost tolerance (e.g., patients undergoing tapering or recently released from prison), and patients taking higher dosages of opioids (≥50 MME/day).***

Practices should provide education on overdose prevention and naloxone use to patients receiving naloxone prescriptions and to members of their households. Naloxone co-prescribing can be facilitated by clinics or practices with resources to provide naloxone training and by collaborative practice models with pharmacists. Resources for prescribing naloxone in primary care settings can be found through Prescribe to Prevent at <http://prescribetoprevent.org>.

**9. When prescribing initial opioid therapy for acute, subacute, or chronic pain, and periodically during opioid therapy for chronic pain, clinicians should review the patient’s history of controlled substance prescriptions using state prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) data to determine whether the patient is receiving opioid dosages or combinations that put the patient at high risk for overdose (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 4).**

**Implementation considerations:**

- Ideally, PDMP data should be reviewed before every opioid prescription for acute, subacute, or chronic pain. This is recommended in all jurisdictions where PDMP availability and access policies, as well as clinical practice settings, make this practicable (e.g., clinician and delegate access permitted).***

- 3309 • At a minimum, during long-term opioid therapy, PDMP data should be reviewed before an initial  
3310 opioid prescription and then every 3 months or more frequently. The recommendation category  
3311 B acknowledges variation in PDMP availability and circumstances. However, because PDMP  
3312 information can be most helpful when results are unexpected, and to minimize bias in  
3313 application, clinicians should apply this recommendation when feasible to all patients rather  
3314 than differentially based on assumptions about what they will learn about different patients.
- 3315 • Clinicians should use specific PDMP information about medications prescribed to their patient in  
3316 the context of other clinical information, including their patient's history, physical findings, and  
3317 other relevant testing, in order to help them communicate with and protect their patient.
- 3318 • Clinicians should review PDMP data specifically for prescription opioids and other controlled  
3319 medications patients have received from additional prescribers to determine whether a patient is  
3320 receiving high total opioid dosages or combinations (e.g., opioids combined with  
3321 benzodiazepines) that put the patient at high risk for overdose.
- 3322 • PDMP-generated risk scores have not been validated against clinical outcomes such as overdose  
3323 and should not take the place of clinical judgment. Clinicians should not dismiss patients from  
3324 their practice on the basis of PDMP information. Doing so can adversely affect patient safety,  
3325 could represent patient abandonment, and could result in missed opportunities to provide  
3326 potentially lifesaving information (e.g., about risks of prescription opioids and overdose  
3327 prevention) and interventions (e.g., safer prescriptions, nonopioid pain treatment [see  
3328 Recommendations 1 and 2], naloxone [see Recommendation 8], and effective treatment for  
3329 substance use disorder [see Recommendations 8 and 12]).
- 3330 • Clinicians should take actions to improve patient safety:
- 3331 ○ Discuss information from the PDMP with their patient and confirm that the patient is aware  
3332 of any additional prescriptions. Occasionally, PDMP information can be incorrect (e.g., if the  
3333 wrong name or birthdate has been entered, the patient uses a nickname or maiden name, or  
3334 another person has used the patient's identity to obtain prescriptions).
- 3335 ○ Discuss safety concerns, including increased risk for respiratory depression and overdose,  
3336 with patients found to be receiving prescription opioids from more than one clinician or  
3337 receiving medications that increase risk when combined with opioids (e.g., benzodiazepines;  
3338 see Recommendation 11) and offer naloxone (see Recommendation 8).
- 3339 ○ Use extreme caution when prescribing opioids and benzodiazepines concurrently,  
3340 appreciating that some patient circumstances warrant prescribing of these medications  
3341 concomitantly. Clinicians should communicate with others managing the patient to discuss  
3342 the patient's needs, prioritize patient goals, weigh risks of concurrent benzodiazepine and  
3343 opioid exposure, and coordinate care (see Recommendation 11).
- 3344 ○ Consider the total MME/day for concurrent opioid prescriptions to help assess the patient's  
3345 overdose risk (see Recommendation 4). Buprenorphine should not be counted in the total  
3346 MME/day in calculations given its opioid partial agonist properties that confer a ceiling  
3347 effect on respiratory depression. If patients are found to be receiving high total daily dosages  
3348 of opioids, discuss safety concerns with the patient, consider in collaboration with the



3349 *patient if tapering to a safer dosage is warranted (see Recommendation 5), and offer*  
3350 *naloxone (see Recommendation 8).*

3351 ○ *Discuss safety concerns with other clinicians who are prescribing controlled substances for*  
3352 *their patient. Ideally, clinicians should first discuss concerns with their patient and inform*  
3353 *him or her that they plan to coordinate care with the patient's other clinicians to improve the*  
3354 *patient's safety.*

3355 ○ *Screen for substance use and discuss concerns with their patient (see Recommendations 8*  
3356 *and 12).*

3357 *If clinicians believe their patient might be diverting (sharing or selling prescription opioids*  
3358 *and not taking them), consider toxicology testing to assist in determining whether*  
3359 *prescription opioids can be discontinued without causing withdrawal (see Recommendations*  
3360 *5 and 10). A negative toxicology test for prescribed opioids might indicate the patient is not*  
3361 *taking prescribed opioids, although clinicians should consider other possible reasons for this*  
3362 *test result, such as false negative results or misinterpretation of results (see*  
3363 *Recommendation 10).*

3364

#### 3365 *Supporting Rationale*

3366 PDMPs are databases overseen by states, territories, counties, and the District of Columbia that  
3367 collect information on controlled prescription drugs dispensed by pharmacies in most jurisdictions and,  
3368 in select jurisdictions, by dispensing clinicians as well. The clinical evidence reviews did not find studies  
3369 evaluating the effectiveness of PDMPs for risk mitigation. However, among patients receiving  
3370 concurrent treatment with opioids and benzodiazepines, overdose risk is further increased among  
3371 patients receiving these treatments from multiple prescribers rather than one prescriber, highlighting  
3372 potential room for improvement in care coordination (K. P. Chua, Brummett, Ng, & Bohnert, 2021).  
3373 PDMP data also can be helpful when patient medication history is not otherwise available (e.g., for  
3374 patients from other locales) and when patients transition care to a new clinician. A contextual evidence  
3375 review (Chou et al., April 2020) identified a survey of physicians in Maryland (D. H. Lin et al., 2017)  
3376 finding that while barriers towards PDMP review were noted, including not knowing about the program,  
3377 registration difficulties, and difficulty accessing data, most participants felt that PDMPs improved opioid  
3378 prescribing by decreasing opioid prescription amounts and increasing comfort with prescribing opioids

|

3379 (Chou et al., April 2020). Integration of PDMPs with electronic health records (EHRs) can reduce burden  
3380 on clinicians compared to having to access a separate system (Centers for Disease Control and  
3381 Prevention, 2017; U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2020). Special attention should be paid to  
3382 ensure that PDMP information is not used in a way that is harmful to patients. For example, PDMP  
3383 information has been used to dismiss patients from clinician practices (Irvine et al., 2014), which might  
3384 adversely affect patient safety and result in untreated or undertreated pain. Many state laws require  
3385 PDMP use under specific circumstances (B. Lee, Zhao, Yang, Ahn, & Perry, 2021). Experts noted concern  
3386 about PDMP risk scores or other algorithmic interpretations from software platforms that can lead to  
3387 distrust between clinicians and patients and stigmatization, particularly for patients with conditions such  
3388 as opioid use disorder. Risk scores are reportedly generated by applying trade secret-protected  
3389 algorithms to information from patient EHRs and other sources such as court records and criminal and  
3390 sexual trauma histories; these algorithms may disparately impact women, people of color, and people  
3391 who live in poverty (J. Oliva, 2021). Importantly, while one PDMP-generated risk measure has shown fair  
3392 concurrence with the WHO Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST), these  
3393 scores have not been externally validated against clinical outcomes (Cochran et al., 2021) (J. Oliva,  
3394 2021). Such risk scores should not take the place of clinical judgment. Rather, clinicians should use  
3395 specific PDMP information about medications prescribed to their patient in the context of other clinical  
3396 information, including their patient's history, physical findings, and other relevant testing, in order to  
3397 help them communicate with and protect their patient. Experts raised varying points regarding  
3398 frequency of PDMP use, with many agreeing PDMPs should be consulted prior to every opioid  
3399 prescription, several agreeing that universal application would mitigate bias in application to different  
3400 patients, and others believing it might not be warranted or feasible to check the PDMP in all cases,  
3401 particularly prior to prescribing opioids for acute pain for a small number of days. Ideally, PDMP data  
3402 should be reviewed before every opioid prescription for acute, subacute, or chronic pain. This is

recommended in all jurisdictions where PDMP availability and access policies make this practicable (e.g., clinician and delegate access permitted). At a minimum, PDMP data should be reviewed before initial opioid prescriptions for subacute or chronic pain and then every 3 months or more frequently during long-term opioid therapy. The recommendation category B acknowledges variation in PDMP availability (PDMPs now exist in most but not all U.S. jurisdictions) and circumstances (e.g., a clinician might reasonably determine that a patient with severe acute pain presenting in the emergency department during a PDMP system access failure would be adversely impacted by waiting hours for a prescription). However, because PDMP information can be most helpful when results are unexpected, and to minimize bias in application, clinicians should apply this recommendation when feasible to all patients rather than differentially based on assumptions about what they will learn about specific patients.

Clinicians should review PDMP data for prescription opioids and other controlled medications patients might have received from additional prescribers to determine whether a patient is receiving high total opioid dosages or combinations (e.g., opioids combined with benzodiazepines) that put the patient at high risk for overdose. If patients are found to have high opioid dosages or combinations of medications that might put them at risk for overdose, or multiple controlled substance prescriptions written by different clinicians, clinicians should take actions to improve patient safety (see above Implementation Considerations).

**10. When prescribing opioids for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should consider toxicology testing to assess for prescribed medications as well as other prescribed and non-prescribed controlled substances (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 4).**

Implementation considerations:

- Clinicians should not dismiss patients from care based on a toxicology test result because this could constitute patient abandonment and could have adverse consequences for patient safety, potentially including the patient obtaining opioids or other drugs from alternative sources and the clinician missing opportunities to facilitate treatment for substance use disorder.*

- 3429 • Prior to starting opioids and periodically during opioid therapy, clinicians should consider  
3430 toxicology testing to assess for prescribed opioids as well as other prescription and  
3431 nonprescription controlled substances that increase risk for overdose when combined with  
3432 opioids, including nonprescribed and illicit opioids and benzodiazepines.
- 3433 • Clinicians, practices, and health systems should aim to minimize bias testing and should not  
3434 apply this recommendation differentially based on assumptions about what they will learn about  
3435 different patients.
- 3436 • Predicting risk is challenging, and currently available tools do not allow clinicians to reliably  
3437 identify patients who are at low risk for substance use or substance use disorder. Rather,  
3438 clinicians should consider toxicology screening results as potentially useful data, in the context of  
3439 other clinical information, for all patients, and consider toxicology screening whenever its  
3440 potential problems can be mitigated.
- 3441 • Clinicians should explain to patients that toxicology testing will not be used to dismiss patients  
3442 from care and is intended to improve their safety.
- 3443 • Clinicians should explain expected results (e.g., presence of prescribed medication and absence  
3444 of drugs, including non-prescribed controlled substances, not reported by the patient) and ask  
3445 patients about use of prescribed and other drugs and whether there might be unexpected  
3446 results.
- 3447 • Toxicology screening can be performed with a relatively inexpensive presumptive immunoassay  
3448 panel that tests for opiates as a class, benzodiazepines as a class, and several non-prescribed  
3449 substances.
- 3450 • The use of confirmatory testing can add substantial costs and should be based on the need to  
3451 detect specific opioids, such as those that are being prescribed, and those that cannot be  
3452 identified on standard immunoassays or on the presence of unexpected toxicology test results.
- 3453 • Clinicians should be familiar with the drugs included in toxicology screening panels used in their  
3454 practice and should understand how to interpret results for these drugs. For example, a positive  
3455 “opiates” immunoassay detects morphine, which might reflect patient use of morphine, codeine,  
3456 or heroin, but does not detect synthetic opioids and might not detect semisynthetic opioids. In  
3457 some cases, positive results for specific opioids might reflect metabolites from opioids the patient  
3458 is taking and might not mean the patient is taking the specific opioid for which the test was  
3459 positive.
- 3460 • Restricting confirmatory testing to situations and substances for which results can reasonably be  
3461 expected to affect patient management can reduce costs of toxicology testing.
- 3462 • Clinicians may wish to discuss unexpected results with the local laboratory or toxicologist and  
3463 should discuss unexpected results with the patient.
- 3464 • Discussion with patients prior to specific confirmatory testing can sometimes yield a candid  
3465 explanation of why a particular substance is present or absent and obviate the need for  
3466 expensive confirmatory testing on that visit. For example, a patient might explain that the test is  
3467 negative for prescribed opioids because she felt opioids were no longer helping and discontinued  
3468 them. If unexpected results are not explained, a confirmatory test using a method selective  
3469 enough to differentiate specific opioids and metabolites (e.g., gas or liquid  
3470 chromatography/mass spectrometry) might be warranted.

- 3471       • *Clinicians should use unexpected results to improve patient safety (e.g., change pain*  
3472       *management strategy [see Recommendation 2], carefully weigh benefits and risks of reducing or*  
3473       *continuing opioid dosage [see Recommendation 5], re-evaluate more frequently [see*  
3474       *Recommendation 7], offer naloxone [see Recommendation 8], offer or refer for substance use*  
3475       *disorder treatment [see Recommendation 12], all as appropriate).*

3476

3477   *Supporting Rationale*

3478       The clinical evidence reviews did not find studies evaluating the effectiveness of toxicology  
3479   screening for risk mitigation during opioid prescribing for pain. However, concurrent use of opioid pain  
3480   medications with other opioid pain medications, benzodiazepines, or heroin or other non-  
3481   pharmaceutical opioids can increase patients' risk for overdose. Toxicology tests can provide  
3482   information about drug use that is not reported by the patient. In addition, toxicology tests can assist  
3483   clinicians in identifying when patients are not taking opioids prescribed for them, which might in some  
3484   cases indicate diversion or other clinically important issues such as difficulties with adverse effects. The  
3485   most commonly drug-tested bodily specimen is urine; oral fluid (saliva) testing is also available (Cone &  
3486   Huestis, 2007), but testing protocols using oral fluid are not as well-established. On October 25, 2019,  
3487   SAMHSA published guidelines for the inclusion of oral fluid specimens in federal executive branch  
3488   agencies' toxicology testing programs (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration,  
3489   2019), effective January 1, 2020. Toxicology testing results can be associated with outcomes and  
3490   practices that harm patients (e.g., stigmatization, inappropriate termination from care). False positive  
3491   and false negative presumptive results are not uncommon, a problem which can be compounded  
3492   because clinicians commonly misinterpret results (I. Chua et al., 2020; Starrels, Fox, Kunins, &  
3493   Cunningham, 2012), leading to inappropriate consequences for patients. Urine toxicology tests do not  
3494   provide accurate information about how much or what dose of opioids or other drugs a patient took.  
3495   Testing for fentanyl is not currently available in widely-used toxicology assays, potentially leading to  
3496   false assurance. Ideally, clinicians would only test for substances for which results could affect patient  
3497   management. However, it can be challenging or impossible for clinicians to tailor widely used toxicology

panels to include the specific substances most relevant to clinical decisions for their patient. Toxicology testing costs are not always covered fully by insurance and can be a burden for patients, and clinician time is needed to interpret, confirm, and communicate results.

Experts noted concerns that biases and disparities affecting which patients have toxicology tests could have disproportionately negative consequences among Black and Latinx patients. In addition, testing costs would have the greatest consequences for patients with the least ability to pay. Because of these concerns, some experts felt grading the recommendation as category A could potentially reduce bias and disparities. However, others thought that while universal application could mitigate bias in who is tested, it would not mitigate stigma associated with testing. In addition, experts noted concerns about accuracy, clinician interpretation, testing costs, and potential for a wait for test results to delay care.

Because of concerns about imperfect accuracy, problems in interpretation, potential stigma, and cost, the recommendation is rated category B. However, clinicians, practices, and health systems should aim to minimize bias in its application and should not apply this recommendation differentially based on assumptions about what they will learn about different patients. Predicting risk is challenging, and currently available tools do not allow clinicians to reliably identify patients who are at low risk for substance use disorder (Chou et al., April 2020). Rather, clinicians should consider toxicology test results as potentially useful data, in the context of other clinical information, for all patients, and consider toxicology testing whenever its potential problems can be mitigated. For example, clinicians can become familiar with the drugs included in toxicology testing panels used in their practice and understand how to interpret results, and practices and health systems can ensure a laboratorian or toxicologist is available to discuss unexpected results, that costs to patients are not burdensome, and that practice policies regarding testing and frequency can minimize bias. For example, routine use of testing with standardized policies at the practice or clinic level might help destigmatize their use. Because truly

3521 random testing might not be feasible in clinical practice, some clinics obtain a specimen at every visit,  
3522 but only send it for testing on a random schedule.

3523 Prior to starting opioids and periodically during opioid therapy, clinicians should consider  
3524 toxicology testing to assess for prescribed opioids as well as other prescription and non-prescribed  
3525 substances that increase risk for overdose when combined with opioids, including non-prescribed and  
3526 illicit opioids and benzodiazepines. Before ordering toxicology testing, clinicians should have a plan for  
3527 responding to unexpected results. Clinicians should explain to patients that toxicology testing will not be  
3528 used punitively (e.g., will not be used to dismiss patients from care) and is intended to improve their  
3529 safety. Clinicians should also explain expected results (e.g., presence of prescribed medication and  
3530 absence of substances, including non-prescribed substances, not reported by the patient). Clinicians  
3531 should ask patients about use of prescribed medications and other substances and ask whether there  
3532 might be unexpected results. This will provide an opportunity for patients to provide information about  
3533 changes in their use of prescribed opioids or other drugs.

3534 In most situations, initial toxicology testing can be performed with a relatively inexpensive  
3535 immunoassay panel that tests for opiates and benzodiazepines as classes, and several non-prescribed  
3536 substances. Patients prescribed oxycodone or non-morphine-based opioids (e.g., buprenorphine,  
3537 methadone) require specific testing for those agents. The use of confirmatory testing can add  
3538 substantial costs and should be based on the need to detect the specific opioid that is prescribed and  
3539 those that cannot be identified on standard immunoassays or on the presence of unexpected toxicology  
3540 test results. Clinicians and health systems should work to minimize inequitable cost burdens for patients  
3541 and limit specific testing to situations when it is necessary. Clinicians should be familiar with the  
3542 compounds included in toxicology testing panels used in their practice and should understand how to  
3543 interpret results. For example, a positive opiate immunoassay test result detects morphine, which might  
3544 reflect patient use of morphine, codeine, or heroin, but this immunoassay does not detect synthetic

opiooids (e.g., fentanyl or methadone) and might not detect semisynthetic opiooids (e.g., oxycodone or buprenorphine). Many laboratories use an oxycodone immunoassay that detects oxycodone and oxymorphone, but these may need to be ordered or identified separately in a toxicology testing panel. In some cases, positive results for specific opiooids might reflect metabolites from opiooids the patient is taking and might not mean the patient is taking the specific opiooid for which the test was positive. For example, hydromorphone is a metabolite of hydrocodone, and oxymorphone is a metabolite of oxycodone. Detailed considerations for interpretation of urine toxicology test results, including which tests to order and expected results, drug detection time in urine, and drug metabolism have been published previously (Washington State Agency Medical Directors' Group, 2015). A review including interpretation of oral fluid sample toxicology test results is also available (Cone & Huestis, 2007). Restricting confirmatory testing to situations and substances for which results can reasonably be expected to affect patient management can reduce costs of toxicology testing, given the substantial costs associated with confirmatory testing methods.

Clinicians may wish to discuss unexpected results with the local laboratory or toxicologist and should discuss unexpected results with the patient. Discussion with patients prior to specific confirmatory testing can sometimes yield a candid explanation of why a particular substance is present or absent and obviate the need for expensive confirmatory testing on that visit. For example, a patient might explain that the test is negative for prescribed opiooids because she felt opiooids were no longer helping and discontinued them. If unexpected results are not explained, a confirmatory test using a method selective enough to differentiate specific opiooids and metabolites (e.g., gas or liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry) might be warranted to clarify the situation.

Clinicians should use unexpected results to improve patient safety (e.g., change pain management strategy [see Recommendation 2], carefully weigh benefits and risks of reducing or continuing opiooid dosage [see Recommendation 5], re-evaluate more frequently [see Recommendation



7], offer naloxone [see Recommendation 8], offer or refer for substance use disorder treatment [see Recommendation 12], all as appropriate). If tests for prescribed opioids are repeatedly negative, including confirmatory tests, and the clinician has verified that the patient is not taking the prescribed opioid, clinicians can discontinue the prescription without a taper and discuss options for safe disposal of unused opioids (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020a).

***Clinicians should not dismiss patients from care based on a toxicology test result because this could constitute patient abandonment and could have adverse consequences for patient safety,*** potentially including the patient obtaining opioids from alternative sources and the clinician missing opportunities to facilitate treatment for substance use disorder.

**11. Clinicians should use extreme caution when prescribing opioid pain medication and benzodiazepines concurrently and consider whether benefits outweigh risks of concurrent prescribing of opioids and other central nervous system depressants (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 3).**

**Implementation considerations:**

- *Although there are circumstances when it might be appropriate to prescribe opioids to a patient who is also prescribed benzodiazepines (e.g., severe acute pain in a patient taking long-term, stable low-dose benzodiazepine therapy), clinicians should use extreme caution when prescribing opioids and benzodiazepines concurrently. In addition, clinicians should consider whether benefits outweigh risks of concurrent use of opioids with other central nervous system depressants (e.g., muscle relaxants, non-benzodiazepine sedative hypnotics, potentially sedating anticonvulsant medications such as gabapentin and pregabalin).*
- *Clinicians should check the PDMP for concurrent controlled medications prescribed by other clinicians (see Recommendation 9) and should consider involving pharmacists as part of the management team when opioids are co-prescribed with other central nervous system depressants.*
- *In patients receiving opioids and benzodiazepines long-term, clinicians should carefully weigh the benefits and risks of continuing therapy with opioids and benzodiazepines and discuss with patients and other members of the patient's care team.*

- *Risks of concurrent opioid and benzodiazepine use are likely to be greater with unpredictable use of either medication, with use of high-dose opioids and high-dose benzodiazepines in combination, or with use with other substances including alcohol (as compared to long-term stable use of low-dose opioids and low-dose benzodiazepines without other substances).*
- *In specific situations, benzodiazepines can be beneficial, and stopping benzodiazepines can be destabilizing.*
- *Buprenorphine or methadone for opioid use disorder should not be withheld from patients taking benzodiazepines or other medications that depress the central nervous system.*
- *If risks are determined to outweigh benefits of continuing opioid and benzodiazepine therapy at current dosages and a decision is made to taper, it might be safer and more practical to taper opioids first. There can be greater risks of benzodiazepine withdrawal relative to opioid withdrawal, and tapering opioids can be associated with anxiety (see Recommendation 5).*
- *Clinicians should taper benzodiazepines gradually prior to discontinuation because abrupt withdrawal can be associated with rebound anxiety, hallucinations, seizures, delirium tremens, and, in rare cases, death. The rate of tapering should be individualized.*
- *If benzodiazepines prescribed for anxiety are tapered or discontinued, or if patients receiving opioids require treatment for anxiety, evidence-based psychotherapies (e.g., CBT) and/or specific antidepressants or other nonbenzodiazepine medications approved for anxiety should be offered.*
- *Clinicians should communicate with clinicians managing the patient to discuss the patient's needs, prioritize patient goals, weigh risks of concurrent benzodiazepine and opioid exposure, and coordinate care.*

#### *Supporting Rationale*

Benzodiazepines and opioids both cause central nervous system depression, and benzodiazepines can potentiate opioid-induced decreases in respiratory drive. Epidemiologic studies find concurrent benzodiazepine use in large proportions of opioid-related overdose deaths (Dasgupta et al., 2016; Gomes et al., 2011; Jones & McAninch, 2015). The clinical evidence reviews identified 3 cohort studies finding an association between concurrent use of benzodiazepines and opioids versus opioids alone and increased risk of overdose (Chou et al., April 2020). A case-cohort study found concurrent benzodiazepine prescription with opioid prescription to be associated with a near-quadrupling of risk for overdose death compared with opioid prescription alone (Park, Saitz, Ganoczy, Ilgen, & Bohnert, 2015).

3630 The clinical evidence reviews did not find studies evaluating the effectiveness of avoiding co-prescribing  
3631 of benzodiazepines and opioids on risk of overdose (Chou et al., April 2020). The clinical evidence  
3632 reviews additionally identified 3 observational studies finding an association between concurrent use of  
3633 gabapentinoids and opioids versus opioids alone and increased risk of overdose, with higher risks at  
3634 increased gabapentinoid doses (Chou et al., April 2020).

3635 Experts noted that rather than necessarily being a direct cause of overdose, benzodiazepines  
3636 might serve as a marker for risk of overdose due to underlying conditions, that—in specific situations—  
3637 benzodiazepines can be beneficial, and that stopping benzodiazepines can be destabilizing. In addition,  
3638 experts noted that long-term, stable use might be safer than erratic, unpredictable use. Due to these  
3639 considerations, several experts felt recommending extreme caution with concurrent prescription of  
3640 opioids and benzodiazepines was more appropriate than a recommendation to avoid prescribing opioid  
3641 pain medication and benzodiazepines concurrently and that category B would be more appropriate than  
3642 category A for this recommendation.

3643 Although there are circumstances when it might be appropriate to prescribe opioids to a patient  
3644 receiving benzodiazepines (e.g., severe acute pain in a patient taking long-term, stable low-dose  
3645 benzodiazepine therapy), clinicians should use extreme caution when prescribing opioids and  
3646 benzodiazepines concurrently. In addition, given that other central nervous system depressants (e.g.,  
3647 muscle relaxants, non-benzodiazepine sedative hypnotics, potentially sedating anticonvulsant  
3648 medications such as gabapentin and pregabalin) (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2019b) can  
3649 potentiate respiratory depression associated with opioids, clinicians should consider whether benefits  
3650 outweigh risks of concurrent use of these medications. Clinicians should check the PDMP for concurrent  
3651 controlled medications prescribed by other clinicians (see Recommendation 9) and should consider  
3652 involving pharmacists as part of the management team when opioids are co-prescribed with other  
3653 central nervous system depressants.

|

3654 In patients receiving opioids and benzodiazepines long-term, clinicians should carefully weigh  
3655 the benefits and risks of continuing therapy with opioids and benzodiazepines and discuss with patients  
3656 and other members of the patient's care team. In specific situations, benzodiazepines can be beneficial,  
3657 and stopping benzodiazepines can be destabilizing. Importantly, as emphasized in an FDA advisory (U.S.  
3658 Food and Drug Administration, 2017), buprenorphine or methadone for opioid use disorder should not  
3659 be withheld from patients taking benzodiazepines or other medications that depress the central nervous  
3660 system. While the combined use of these medications increases risks, the harm caused by untreated  
3661 opioid use disorder can outweigh these risks.

3662 If risks are determined to outweigh benefits of continuing opioids for pain and benzodiazepine  
3663 therapy at current dosages and a decision is made to taper one or more medications, it might be safer  
3664 and more practical to taper opioids first (see Recommendation 5). There can be greater risks of  
3665 benzodiazepine withdrawal relative to opioid withdrawal, and tapering opioids can be associated with  
3666 anxiety. Clinicians should taper benzodiazepines gradually prior to discontinuation because abrupt  
3667 withdrawal can be associated with rebound anxiety, hallucinations, seizures, delirium tremens, and, in  
3668 rare cases, death (Haque et al., 1990; Lann & Molina, 2009). Tapering rates should be individualized.  
3669 Examples of benzodiazepine tapers and tips for managing benzodiazepine withdrawal are available (U.S.  
3670 Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense, 2015; Veterans Health Administration PBM  
3671 Academic Detailing Service). CBT increases tapering success rates and might be particularly helpful for  
3672 patients struggling with a benzodiazepine taper (Paquin, Zimmerman, & Rudolph, 2014). If  
3673 benzodiazepines prescribed for anxiety are tapered or discontinued, or if patients receiving opioids  
3674 require treatment for anxiety, evidence-based psychotherapies (e.g., CBT) and/or specific anti-  
3675 depressants or other nonbenzodiazepine medications approved for anxiety should be offered. Clinicians  
3676 should communicate with mental health professionals managing the patient to discuss the patient's

3677 needs, prioritize patient goals, weigh risks of concurrent benzodiazepine and opioid exposure, and  
3678 coordinate care.

3679

3680 **12. Clinicians should offer or arrange treatment with medication for patients with opioid use disorder**  
3681 **(recommendation category: A, evidence type: 1).**

3682 Implementation considerations:

- 3683 • *Although stigma can reduce the willingness of individuals with opioid use disorder to seek*  
3684 *treatment, opioid use disorder is a chronic, treatable disease from which people can recover and*  
3685 *continue to lead healthy lives.*
- 3686 • *If clinicians suspect opioid use disorder, they should discuss their concern with their patient and*  
3687 *provide an opportunity for the patient to disclose related concerns or problems.*
- 3688 • *Clinicians should assess for the presence of opioid use disorder using DSM-5 criteria.*
- 3689 • *For patients meeting criteria for opioid use disorder, particularly if moderate or severe, clinicians*  
3690 *should offer or arrange for patients to receive treatment with medication for opioid use disorder.*
- 3691 • *Clinicians should not dismiss patients from their practice because of opioid use disorder because*  
3692 *this can adversely affect patient safety and could represent patient abandonment.*
- 3693 • *Medication treatment of opioid use disorder has been associated with reduced overdose and*  
3694 *overall mortality. Identification of opioid use disorder represents an opportunity for a clinician to*  
3695 *initiate potentially life-saving interventions, and it is important for the clinician to collaborate*  
3696 *with the patient regarding their safety to increase the likelihood of successful treatment.*
- 3697 • *For pregnant people with opioid use disorder, medication therapy with buprenorphine or*  
3698 *methadone has been associated with improved maternal outcomes and should be offered.*
- 3699 • *Clinicians unable to provide treatment themselves should arrange for patients with opioid use*  
3700 *disorder to receive care from a substance use disorder treatment specialist, such as an office-*  
3701 *based buprenorphine or naltrexone treatment provider, or from an opioid treatment program*  
3702 *certified by SAMHSA to provide methadone or buprenorphine for patients with opioid use*  
3703 *disorder.*
- 3704 • *All clinicians, and particularly clinicians prescribing opioids in communities without sufficient*  
3705 *treatment capacity for opioid use disorder, should obtain a waiver to prescribe buprenorphine.*
- 3706 • *Clinicians prescribing opioids should identify treatment resources for opioid use disorder in the*  
3707 *community and should work together to ensure sufficient treatment capacity for opioid use*  
3708 *disorder at the practice level.*

- 3709       • *Although identification of an opioid use disorder can alter the expected benefits and risks of*  
3710 *opioid therapy for pain, patients with co-occurring pain and opioid use disorder require ongoing*  
3711 *pain management that maximizes benefits relative to risks.*

3712   *Supporting Rationale*

3713           Opioid use disorder (previously classified as opioid abuse or opioid dependence in the  
3714 *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4<sup>th</sup> edition [DSM-IV] [American Psychiatric  
3715 Association, 2000]) is defined in the DSM-5 as a “problematic pattern of opioid use leading to clinically  
3716 significant impairment or distress.” (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Treatment with opioids for  
3717 pain is associated with increased risk for opioid use disorder, particularly if opioids are prescribed for  
3718 more than 90 days (Edlund et al., 2014). A systematic review found the rate of opioid “addiction” among  
3719 chronic pain patients averaged between 8% and 12% in studies published between 2000 and 2013  
3720 (Vowles et al., 2015). More recently, studies have found prevalence estimates of 23.9% and 26.5% for  
3721 any prescription opioid use disorder and 5.2% and 9.0% for moderate to severe opioid use disorder  
3722 (using DSM-5 diagnostic criteria) among adults receiving long-term opioid therapy for pain, with slightly  
3723 lower prevalence (21.5% for any and 4.2% for moderate to severe opioid use disorder) in clinics with  
3724 more consistent use of risk reduction practices (Boscarino et al., 2020) (Von Korff et al., 2017).

3725           Opioid use disorder is manifested by at least 2 out of 11 defined criteria occurring within a year  
3726 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013):

- 3727           (1) Taking opioids in larger amounts or over a longer period of time than intended  
3728           (2) Having a persistent desire or unsuccessful attempts to reduce or control opioid use  
3729           (3) Spending excess time obtaining, using or recovering from opioids  
3730           (4) Craving for opioids  
3731           (5) Continuing opioid use causing inability to fulfill work, home, or school responsibilities  
3732           (6) Continuing opioid use despite having persistent social or interpersonal problems  
3733           (7) Lack of involvement in social, occupational or recreational activities

- 3734 (8) Using opioids in physically hazardous situations
- 3735 (9) Continuing opioid use in spite of awareness of persistent physical or psychological problems
- 3736 (10) Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
- 3737 a. A need for markedly increased amounts of opioids to achieve intoxication or desired
- 3738 effect, or
- 3739 b. Markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of an opioid.
- 3740 (11) Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:
- 3741 a. The characteristic opioid withdrawal syndrome, or
- 3742 b. Opioids (or a closely related) substance is taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal
- 3743 symptoms.

3744 Note: Criteria 10 and 11 are not considered to be met for those taking opioids solely under

3745 appropriate medical supervision (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

3746

3747 Severity is specified as mild (2-3 criteria), moderate (4-5 criteria) or severe ( $\geq 6$  criteria)

3748 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

3749 FDA-approved medications indicated for the treatment of opioid use disorder and/or the

3750 prevention of relapse include buprenorphine, methadone, and naltrexone. The clinical evidence reviews

3751 found evidence on the effectiveness of interventions (e.g., medications, behavioral treatments) for

3752 opioid use disorder related to prescription opioids to be limited (Chou et al., April 2020). However,

3753 moderate quality evidence shows buprenorphine (a partial agonist opioid) and methadone (a full

3754 agonist opioid) to be effective in preventing relapse among patients with opioid use disorder involving

3755 heroin (Fullerton et al., 2014; Mattick, Breen, Kimber, & Davoli, 2009, 2014), though the presence of

3756 pain among patients in these studies is generally not described. In addition, a small number of studies

3757 have evaluated buprenorphine for patients with prescription opioid dependence (based on DSM-IV

|

3758 (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) criteria) and found it effective in preventing relapse (Fiellin et  
3759 al., 2014; Weiss et al., 2011). One study found that among people with opioid use disorder, prior  
3760 prescription opioid use predicts stabilization on buprenorphine (Varisco, Shen, & Thornton, 2020).  
3761 Another trial that performed buprenorphine induction and then randomized patients to buprenorphine  
3762 taper versus maintenance was terminated early without reporting of planned outcomes because all  
3763 patients randomized to the taper arm switched to maintenance or experienced a relapse; five of six  
3764 patients in the maintenance arm completed the trial (Blondell et al., 2010). In another trial identified by  
3765 the clinical evidence reviews, there was no difference between buprenorphine/naloxone and  
3766 methadone in likelihood of retention in the study, pain, function, or self-reported side effects (Neumann  
3767 et al., 2013). Buprenorphine and methadone treatment of opioid use disorder have been associated  
3768 with reduced overdose mortality (Krawczyk et al., 2020) and reduced overall mortality (Pearce et al.,  
3769 2020). Naltrexone (an opioid antagonist) can also be used for opioid use disorder, particularly for highly  
3770 motivated persons (Krupitsky et al., 2011; Minozzi et al., 2011). Naltrexone blocks the effects of opioids  
3771 if they are used. Naltrexone has not been evaluated in people with concomitant pain and opioid use  
3772 disorder, and opioid medications for pain cannot be used in patients receiving naltrexone. Naltrexone  
3773 requires adherence to daily oral therapy or monthly, long-acting injections. The effectiveness of oral  
3774 naltrexone can be limited by poor medication adherence (Minozzi et al., 2011); oral naltrexone should  
3775 not be used except under very limited circumstances (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020),  
3776 e.g., for patients who would be able to comply with observed dosing to enhance adherence (American  
3777 Psychiatric Association, 2013; American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020). Naltrexone must also be  
3778 started following full withdrawal from opioids, which is a challenge for some patients, but for patients  
3779 who have already completed or are able to complete withdrawal, naltrexone has been found to have  
3780 comparable effectiveness as buprenorphine in prevention of relapse (J. D. Lee et al., 2018).



3781           Some studies suggest that using behavioral therapies in combination with medications for  
3782 opioid use disorder can reduce opioid misuse and increase retention during treatment (Amato, Minozzi,  
3783 Davoli, & Vecchi, 2011; Connock et al., 2007). At the same time, a study of treatment for prescription  
3784 opioid dependence (based on DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) criteria) found opioid  
3785 agonist treatment with buprenorphine and standard medical management (including basic counseling  
3786 recommending abstinence and self-help group participation) as effective as buprenorphine combined  
3787 with more intensive opioid dependence counseling (ODC: addiction, recovery, and relapse prevention  
3788 education with self-help and lifestyle change recommendations, interactive exercises, and take-home  
3789 assignments delivered by trained substance use treatment or mental health professionals in 45-60  
3790 minute sessions based on drug counseling manuals with demonstrated efficacy); neither standard  
3791 medical management nor ODC alone, without buprenorphine, was effective in preventing relapse  
3792 (Weiss et al., 2011). Current recommendations for treatment of opioid use disorder include that  
3793 patients' psychosocial needs be assessed, and patients offered or referred to psychosocial treatment in  
3794 collaboration with qualified behavioral healthcare providers based on individual patient needs, but that  
3795 a patient's decision to decline psychosocial treatment or the absence of available psychosocial  
3796 treatment should not preclude or delay medications for opioid use disorder (American Society of  
3797 Addiction Medicine, 2020). Additional recommendations have been published on goals, components of,  
3798 and types of effective psychosocial treatment to use in conjunction with pharmacological treatment of  
3799 opioid use disorder (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020).

3800           Experts agreed with the strength of the language in the recommendation statement, specifically  
3801 with the word "should" and with recommendation category A, and some noted they thought the  
3802 evidence type should be 1. Several experts thought opioid agonist/opioid partial agonist and opioid  
3803 antagonist treatment should not be framed as equal options for opioid use disorder, noting that opioid

agonist and opioid partial agonist treatment have stronger evidence for better outcomes, does not require abstinence, have less challenges with inductions, and are much more widely utilized.

If clinicians suspect opioid use disorder based on patient concerns or behaviors or on findings in prescription drug monitoring program data (see Recommendation 9) or from toxicology testing (see Recommendation 10), they should discuss their concern with their patient and provide an opportunity for the patient to disclose related concerns or problems. Clinicians should assess for the presence of opioid use disorder using DSM-5 criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Opioid use disorder can co-exist with other substance use disorders, and patients who are actively using substances during opioid use disorder treatment might require greater support, potentially including involvement of an addiction specialist (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020). Clinicians should ask about use of alcohol and other substances (see Recommendation 8). Alternatively, clinicians can arrange for a substance use disorder treatment specialist to assess for the presence of opioid and other substance use disorders.

For patients meeting criteria for opioid use disorder, particularly if moderate or severe, clinicians should offer or arrange for patients to receive treatment with medication for opioid use disorder. Patients with opioid use disorder may benefit from counseling and referrals to mutual help groups such as Narcotics Anonymous (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021c). Clinicians should also offer naloxone and training on proper use for overdose reversal to patients with opioid use disorder and to their household members/significant others (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020) (see Recommendation 8). Clinicians should not dismiss patients from their practice because of opioid use disorder because this can adversely affect patient safety and could represent patient abandonment. Identification of opioid use disorder represents an opportunity for a clinician to initiate potentially life-saving interventions, and it is important for the clinician to collaborate with the patient regarding their safety to increase the likelihood of successful treatment. Detoxification

3828 on its own, without medications for opioid use disorder, is not recommended for opioid use  
3829 disorder due to increased risks of relapse, overdose, and overdose death (American Society of Addiction  
3830 Medicine, 2020).

3831 For pregnant people with opioid use disorder, medication therapy with buprenorphine or  
3832 methadone has been associated with improved maternal outcomes and should be offered (see  
3833 Recommendation 8 (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018a)).  
3834 Transmucosal buprenorphine (without naloxone) has been recommended during pregnancy to avoid  
3835 potential prenatal exposure to naloxone, especially if injected, and evidence on the safety of naloxone in  
3836 pregnant people remains limited (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020; The American College  
3837 of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice & American Society of Addiction  
3838 Medicine, 2017). However, combination buprenorphine/naloxone products are frequently used, and  
3839 experts have noted that combination products are likely to be safe and effective for pregnant individuals  
3840 when taken as prescribed (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020; The American College of  
3841 Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice & American Society of Addiction  
3842 Medicine, 2017). The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists also recommends that if a  
3843 woman is stable on naltrexone prior to pregnancy, the decision regarding whether to continue  
3844 naltrexone treatment during pregnancy should involve a careful discussion between the provider and  
3845 the patient, weighing the limited safety data on naltrexone with the potential risk of relapse with  
3846 discontinuation of treatment (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on  
3847 Obstetric Practice & American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2017). The American Academy of  
3848 Pediatrics recommends that for infants of mothers receiving buprenorphine or methadone for opioid  
3849 use disorder who have not had relapse for  $\geq 90$  days, breastfeeding should be supported if there are no  
3850 other contraindications (e.g., HIV infection) while for infants of women with active substance use or with  
3851 relapses within the last 30 days, breastfeeding should be discouraged (Patrick et al., 2020).

3852 To expand access to buprenorphine, in April 2021, the *Practice Guidelines for the Administration*  
3853 *of Buprenorphine for Treating Opioid Use Disorder* (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services,  
3854 2021) exempted eligible physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, clinical nurse specialists,  
3855 certified registered nurse anesthetists, and certified nurse midwives from previous Controlled  
3856 Substances Act certification requirements related to training, counseling and other ancillary services  
3857 (i.e., psychosocial services). To prescribe buprenorphine for opioid use disorder for up to 30 patients in  
3858 an office-based setting, clinicians can now forgo or choose to undertake training but must still receive a  
3859 waiver from SAMHSA. Information about qualifications and the process to obtain a waiver are available  
3860 from SAMHSA (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021b).

3861 Additional recommendations have been published previously on induction, use, and monitoring  
3862 of buprenorphine treatment for opioid use disorder (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020;  
3863 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021c). Buprenorphine for treatment of  
3864 opioid use disorder is usually combined with naloxone in a sublingual or buccal film or tablet (e.g.,  
3865 Suboxone), to reduce the potential for misuse of buprenorphine when injected. Naloxone is not  
3866 absorbed orally, but if buprenorphine/naloxone is manipulated and injected, naloxone can trigger opioid  
3867 withdrawal (Indivior, 2017). Long-acting injectable formulations of [buprenorphine](#) became available in  
3868 2018 (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020b). As a partial agonist, buprenorphine should generally  
3869 not be initiated until there are objective signs of withdrawal, in order to avoid precipitating withdrawal.  
3870 As an alternative for patients not yet in opioid withdrawal, some authors have described a low-dose  
3871 induction approach (sometimes referred to as “microdosing”) (Randhawa, Brar, & Nolan, 2020; Robbins,  
3872 Englander, & Gregg, 2021) to avoid precipitated withdrawal when initiating buprenorphine, although  
3873 there is limited evidence to date regarding this approach. For standard (not low-dose) buprenorphine  
3874 induction, once objective signs of withdrawal are observed, buprenorphine should be initiated, usually  
3875 at a dose of 2 to 4 mg (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020) and titrated upwards under

3876 supervision at approximately 2-hour intervals as needed to control withdrawal symptoms in 2 or 4 mg  
3877 increments, up to 8 mg buprenorphine total over the first 24 hours (Indivior, 2017). On the second day,  
3878 the patient can be given a single dose consisting of the total of the doses received the first day. If there  
3879 are residual withdrawal symptoms, the dose may be increased in 4 mg increments, up to a maximum of  
3880 16 mg total in the 2<sup>nd</sup> 24 hours (Indivior, 2017). Protocols for initiating buprenorphine by patients at  
3881 home following an initial encounter with a healthcare provider to establish the diagnosis of OUD and  
3882 discuss medication therapy options are in use by more experienced clinicians (Joshua D. Lee, Vocci, &  
3883 Fiellin, 2014). Most patients are maintained on 8 mg to 16 mg per day (Soeffing, Martin, Fingerhood,  
3884 Jasinski, & Rastegar, 2009), with a range of 4 to 24 mg per day (Indivior, 2017); (American Society of  
3885 Addiction Medicine, 2020) there is some evidence that suggests that 16 mg per day or more might be  
3886 more effective than lower dosages (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020).

3887 Importantly, opioid dosage thresholds for caution in the treatment of pain are not applicable to  
3888 opioid agonist treatment of opioid use disorder (Houry, 2018) as recommended dosages of methadone  
3889 and buprenorphine for opioid use disorder (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020) differ from  
3890 those for pain management. There is no recommended duration limit for treatment of opioid use  
3891 disorder with buprenorphine or methadone, and discontinuation is associated with risks for relapse and  
3892 opioid overdose (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020). If discontinued, buprenorphine should  
3893 be tapered very gradually (over several months) (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020).

3894 Compared to buprenorphine, which can be prescribed by waived clinicians in any setting or  
3895 dispensed from a SAMHSA-certified opioid treatment program (OTP), ongoing methadone treatment for  
3896 opioid use disorder can only be provided through an OTP. As short-term exceptions, any clinician can  
3897 administer (but not prescribe) up to one day's supply of methadone or buprenorphine to treat acute  
3898 opioid withdrawal per day for up to 3 days, while working to refer the patient to opioid use disorder  
3899 treatment, and patients already receiving opioid use disorder treatment may continue to directly

3900 receive methadone or buprenorphine treatment in an emergency department or in a hospital during  
3901 inpatient hospitalization (U.S. Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration).

3902 Naltrexone does not require a waiver and can be prescribed in any setting. Additional  
3903 recommendations have been published previously on naltrexone treatment for opioid use disorder  
3904 (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020). A minimum of 7 to 10 days free of opioids is  
3905 recommended prior to the first naltrexone dose to avoid precipitation of severe opioid withdrawal  
3906 (Alkermes, 2020). Extended-release injectable naltrexone is generally administered every 4 weeks by  
3907 deep intramuscular (IM) injection in the gluteal muscle at 380 mg per injection (American Society of  
3908 Addiction Medicine, 2020), alternating buttocks for each subsequent injection (Alkermes, 2020). Some  
3909 patients, including those who metabolize naltrexone more rapidly, might benefit from dosing as  
3910 frequently as every 3 weeks (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020). There is no recommended  
3911 duration limit for treatment of opioid use disorder with naltrexone. If discontinued, naltrexone can be  
3912 stopped abruptly without withdrawal symptoms (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020).  
3913 Clinicians should warn patients who discontinue naltrexone of the risk of potentially fatal opioid  
3914 overdose if opioid use is resumed (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020), due to the loss of  
3915 tolerance to previous opioid dosage.

3916 Clinicians are strongly encouraged to provide medication treatment for their patients with  
3917 opioid use disorder. Clinicians unable to provide treatment themselves should arrange for patients with  
3918 opioid use disorder to receive care from a substance use disorder treatment specialist, such as an office-  
3919 based buprenorphine or naltrexone treatment clinician, or from an opioid treatment program certified  
3920 by SAMHSA to provide methadone or buprenorphine for patients with opioid use disorder. Resources to  
3921 help with arranging for treatment include SAMHSA's buprenorphine physician locator  
3922 ([https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/practitioner-program-data/treatment-](https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/practitioner-program-data/treatment-practitioner-locator)  
3923 [practitioner-locator](https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/practitioner-program-data/treatment-practitioner-locator)) and SAMHSA's Opioid Treatment Program Directory

(<https://dpt2.samhsa.gov/treatment/directory.aspx>). Clinicians should assist patients in finding qualified treatment specialists and should arrange for patients to follow up with these specialists, as well as arranging for ongoing coordination of care. Treatment need in a community is often not met by capacity to provide buprenorphine or methadone therapy (Jones, Campopiano, Baldwin, & McCance-Katz, 2015). Clinicians prescribing opioids in communities without sufficient treatment capacity for opioid use disorder should obtain a waiver to prescribe buprenorphine. SAMHSA's Providers Clinical Support System (<https://pcssnow.org/>) offers training and technical assistance as well as mentors to assist clinicians in assessment for and the treatment of substance use disorders and specifically of opioid use disorder, and on the interface of pain and opioid misuse. Clinicians prescribing opioids should identify treatment resources for substance use disorders including opioid use disorders in the community and should work together to ensure sufficient treatment capacity at the practice level.

#### **Management of opioid misuse that does not meet criteria for opioid use disorder**

For patients with opioid misuse that does not meet criteria for opioid use disorder (e.g., taking opioids in larger amounts than intended without meeting other criteria for opioid use disorder), clinicians should reassess the patient's pain, ensure that therapies for pain management have been optimized (see Recommendation 2), discuss with patients, and carefully weigh benefits and risks of continuing opioids at the current dosage (see Recommendation 5). For patients who choose to but are unable to taper, clinicians may reassess for opioid use disorder and offer buprenorphine treatment or refer for buprenorphine or methadone treatment if criteria for opioid use disorder are met. Even without a diagnosis of opioid use disorder, transitioning to buprenorphine for pain can also be considered given reduced overdose risk with buprenorphine compared with risk associated with full agonist opioids (see Recommendation 5).

3948 **Pain management for patients with opioid use disorder**

3949           Although identification of an opioid use disorder can alter the expected benefits and risks of  
3950 opioid therapy for pain, patients with co-occurring pain and substance use disorder require ongoing pain  
3951 management that maximizes benefits relative to risks. Clinicians should use nonpharmacologic and  
3952 nonopioid pharmacologic pain treatments as appropriate (American Society of Addiction Medicine,  
3953 2020) (see Recommendations 1 and 2) to provide optimal pain management. For patients with pain who  
3954 have an active opioid use disorder but are not in treatment, clinicians should consider buprenorphine or  
3955 methadone treatment for opioid use disorder, which can also help with concurrent management of pain  
3956 (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020). For patients who are treated with buprenorphine for  
3957 opioid use disorder and experience acute pain, clinicians can consider temporarily increasing the  
3958 buprenorphine dosing frequency (e.g., to twice a day (American Society of Addiction Medicine, 2020)) to  
3959 help manage pain, given the duration of effects of buprenorphine is shorter for pain than for  
3960 suppression of withdrawal (Alford et al., 2006). For severe acute pain (e.g., trauma and/or unplanned  
3961 major surgery), clinicians can consider additional as-needed doses of buprenorphine for patients  
3962 receiving buprenorphine for opioid use disorder and short-term use of higher-potency nonopioid  
3963 analgesics (e.g., NSAIDs) for patients receiving naltrexone for opioid use disorder; patients receiving  
3964 methadone for opioid use disorder who require additional opioids as treatment for pain management  
3965 should be carefully monitored, and when feasible should optimally be treated by a clinician experienced  
3966 in the treatment of pain in consultation with their opioid treatment program. (American Society of  
3967 Addiction Medicine, 2020). The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use  
3968 Disorder (2020 Focused Update) provides additional recommendations (see Part 9) (American Society of  
3969 Addiction Medicine, 2020) for the management of patients receiving medications for opioid use disorder  
3970 who have planned surgeries for which nonopioid therapies are not anticipated to provide sufficient pain  
3971 relief.



3972

## Conclusions and future directions

3973 CDC indicated the intent to evaluate and reassess the 2016 CDC guideline as new evidence  
3974 became available and to determine when the closure of research gaps would prompt an update. To  
3975 achieve these aims, CDC funded the AHRQ to conduct systematic reviews of the scientific evidence in  
3976 the following five areas: noninvasive nonpharmacological treatments for chronic pain; nonopioid  
3977 pharmacologic treatments for chronic pain; opioid treatments for chronic pain; treatments for acute  
3978 pain; and acute treatments for episodic migraine (Chou et al., April 2020; Chou et al., December 2020;  
3979 Halker Singh et al., December 2020; McDonagh et al., April 2020; Skelly et al., April 2020). Based upon  
3980 these reviews, an update to the CDC 2016 Guideline was warranted.

3981 The evidence reviews that informed this clinical practice guideline affirmed the appropriateness  
3982 of the recommendations included in the 2016 CDC guideline for using opioids to treat chronic pain. The  
3983 reviews also allowed CDC to expand the focus to include acute and subacute pain more explicitly. This  
3984 clinical practice guideline also includes a new topline recommendation for patients with chronic pain  
3985 who are already on higher opioid dosages. Specifically, the clinical practice guideline outlines how  
3986 clinicians and patients should work together in assessing the benefits and risks of continued opioid use  
3987 and if or when to taper opioids to a lower dosage or discontinue opioids all together in accordance with  
3988 the HHS Tapering Guide (Dowell, Compton, & Giroir, 2019; U.S. Department of Health and Human  
3989 Services, 2019a).

3990 There are 4 key domains covered by the updated clinical practice guideline for prescribing of  
3991 opioid pain medication for patients 18 and older for pain outside of sickle cell disease-related pain  
3992 management, cancer pain treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care. These include whether to  
3993 initiate opioids for pain treatment; opioid selection and dosage; opioid duration and follow-up; and  
3994 assessing the risks and addressing harms of opioid use. In addition, five guiding principles were

|

3995 identified to inform implementation across recommendations that focus on the appropriate treatment  
3996 of pain, flexibility to meet the care needs and clinical circumstances of each patient through a  
3997 multimodal and multidisciplinary approach to pain management, avoiding misapplying the clinical  
3998 practice guideline beyond its intended use, and vigilantly attending to health inequities and ensuring  
3999 access to an appropriate, affordable, diversified, coordinated, and effective nonpharmacologic and  
4000 pharmacologic pain treatment for all persons.

4001 A central tenet of this clinical practice guideline is that acute, subacute, and chronic pain needs  
4002 to be appropriately and effectively treated independent of whether opioids are part of a treatment  
4003 regimen. This is done by selecting one or more nonpharmacologic or pharmacologic treatment  
4004 modalities that maximize patient safety and optimize outcomes in pain, function, and quality of life. A  
4005 multimodal and multidisciplinary approach to pain management attending to the biological,  
4006 psychological, and social characteristics of each person is critical (U.S. Department of Health and Human  
4007 Services, 2019b). The care provided needs to be individualized and person-centered (U.S. Department of  
4008 Health and Human Services, 2019b). Clinicians and patients should work together to identify treatment  
4009 goals and tailor an approach that considers both the benefits and risks of available options (U.S.  
4010 Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b). Progress should be monitored over time and  
4011 treatment protocols adjusted accordingly. Health systems and payers should work to ensure multimodal  
4012 treatment options are available, accessible, and reimbursed for patients. Public and private payers  
4013 should support a broader array of nonpharmacologic interventions such as exercise, multidisciplinary  
4014 rehabilitation, mind-body interventions, cognitive behavioral therapy, and some complementary and  
4015 integrative medicine therapies like acupuncture and spinal manipulation, given their increasingly known  
4016 effectiveness (Skelly et al., April 2020). Reimbursement is often cited as a principle barrier to why these  
4017 nonpharmacologic treatments are not more widely used (Skelly et al., April 2020).

|

4018           An integral part of providing access to and delivery of high-quality healthcare, including pain  
4019 treatment, is understanding how the social determinants of health influence the healthcare provided  
4020 and the differential outcomes observed (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2020). Social,  
4021 economic, educational, and neighborhood-level factors may create and exacerbate health inequities  
4022 experienced across the life course (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2020). These social  
4023 determinants of health are borne out of historical and contemporary injustices that advantage some and  
4024 disadvantage others in society leading to the systemic marginalization or oppression of some groups  
4025 such as people from some racial and ethnic groups, people living in rural areas, persons experiencing  
4026 homelessness, people with disabilities, people with substance use disorders, justice-involved  
4027 populations, and non-US born persons among others (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,  
4028 2020a).

4029           Outcomes are also influenced by the healthcare context (Agency for Healthcare Research and  
4030 Quality, 2020). Differential access to and coverage for high-quality, culturally and linguistically  
4031 appropriate, health-literate care may influence attitudes towards healthcare and use of available  
4032 services (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2020). Prejudice, bias, discrimination, and  
4033 stereotyping by individual clinicians, practices, health systems, and payers serve to reinforce these  
4034 health disparities (Institute of Medicine, 2003). Clinicians, practices, health systems, and payers should  
4035 attend to health inequities to ensure access to appropriate, diversified, effective nonpharmacologic and  
4036 pharmacologic pain management options that are person-centered, affordable, accessible, and well-  
4037 coordinated as well as protect patient safety and guard against unnecessary risks. This begins with  
4038 raising awareness and acknowledging the presence of these inequities, strengthening patient-clinician  
4039 communication, leveraging community health workers, implementing multidisciplinary care teams,  
4040 tracking and monitoring performance measures, and integrating quality improvement initiatives that  
4041 support and invest in guideline concordant care for all persons (Institute of Medicine, 2003).

4042 Special attention should be given to avoid misapplying this updated clinical practice guideline  
4043 beyond its intended use or implementing policies purportedly derived from it that result in unintended  
4044 consequences for patients (Dowell, Haegerich, et al., 2019). This includes being inflexible on opioid dose  
4045 and duration, discontinuing or dismissing patients from a practice, rapidly and non-collaboratively  
4046 tapering patients who may be stable on a higher dose, and applying recommendations to populations  
4047 that are not a focus of the clinical practice guideline such as patients with cancer, sickle cell disease, or  
4048 during end-of-life care (Dowell, Haegerich, et al., 2019).

4049 The uptake and widespread utilization of the 2016 CDC guideline hinged on its successful  
4050 dissemination. CDC invested in activities to support its translation and integration into clinical practice.  
4051 Most notably, CDC produced a checklist and mobile app for clinicians to more readily follow guideline  
4052 recommendations; fact sheets, posters, and public service announcements (PSAs) making key  
4053 components of the guideline more accessible and understandable to clinicians and patients; and a 14-  
4054 module interactive, web-based training featuring self-paced learning, case-based content, knowledge  
4055 checks, and integrated resources for clinicians (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021b). CDC  
4056 also developed and implemented a quality improvement (QI) and care coordination initiative to improve  
4057 and encourage careful and selective use of long-term opioid therapy in the context of managing chronic  
4058 pain (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018b). This included 16 clinical quality improvement  
4059 measures (Shoemaker-Hunt et al., 2021) as well as practice-level strategies to help health systems  
4060 organize and improve the management and coordination of opioid therapy using an interdisciplinary  
4061 team approach, establishing practice policies and standards, and leveraging EHR data to develop  
4062 registries and track QI measures (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018b). CDC invested in  
4063 health IT and other clinical decision support tools by collaborating with the Office of the National  
4064 Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) to create and integrate guideline-concordant care  
4065 into clinical workflow (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021b). In addition, CDC compiled

complementary clinical recommendations from professional organizations for clinicians to reference for several common conditions associated with acute pain – including acute migraines, ankle sprains, dental pain, acute low back pain, and post-surgical pain (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020b). All information in the web-based resource is based on external research (Mikosz et al., 2020) and existing published guidelines from professional organizations. The compilation can further assist clinicians and patients, working together, in making safer and more effective pain management decisions.

This updated clinical practice guideline provides overarching voluntary recommendations on the use of opioids to treat pain. To assist in the uptake and understanding of this clinical practice guideline, CDC will update existing resources to align with the new clinical practice guideline and develop new tools and resources for clinicians, health systems, patients, and others on the use of opioid and non-opioid pain treatments — including resources supporting health equity. Finally, CDC will work with public and private payers with the aim of improving coverage for nonpharmacologic treatments, increasing access to non-opioid pain medication, supporting patient counseling and coordination of care, increasing access to evidence-based treatments of opioid use disorder, and enhancing availability of multidisciplinary and multimodal care. Robust coverage and access (e.g., limited utilization management and cost sharing for evidence-based treatments) and decision support (e.g., adjustment of EHR prescribing defaults) can be used to nudge clinicians and patients toward evidence-based treatments as default treatments for pain (Ancker et al., 2021; Montoy, Coralic, Herring, Clattenburg, & Raven, 2020).

This clinical practice guideline updates and expands upon the recommendations in the 2016 CDC Guideline and is based on the best available evidence as interpreted and informed by expert opinion and attending to the values and preferences of patients, caregivers, and clinicians. While clinical scientific evidence continues to advance and supports the recommendations in the clinical practice guideline, the

4090 strength of the evidence is sometimes weak and research gaps remain (Chou et al., April 2020; Chou et  
4091 al., December 2020; Halker Singh et al., December 2020; McDonagh et al., April 2020; National  
4092 Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Health  
4093 Sciences Policy, & Committee on Pain Management and Regulatory Strategies to Address Prescription  
4094 Opioid Abuse, 2017; Skelly et al., April 2020; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2019b).  
4095

**The areas in need of additional research include but are not limited to**

- Efficacy of screening tools to assess risk for opioid misuse and developing an opioid use disorder.
- Effective management of patients on high dose opioids; the application of multidisciplinary and multimodal models of pain treatment, and service delivery modalities including telehealth.
- Long-term comparative effectiveness of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies.
- Effects of therapies on non-pain outcomes.
- Treatment outcomes for specific pain conditions and how benefits and risks of therapies vary among sub-populations.
- Adapting evidence-based opioid prescribing and pain management strategies to meet the needs of special populations including people from some racial and ethnic groups, older adults, and rural communities.
- Improved diagnostics in measuring pain.

- Enhanced clinician and patient education about pain and the use of opioids; the assessment of practice-level strategies in health systems to improve management and care coordination for patients on opioid therapy.
- Transition from acute to chronic pain and how to apply effective diagnostic, preventive, and therapeutic approaches.
- Effect of stigma as a barrier for treating pain and getting treatment for an opioid use disorder.

In closing, the principle aim of this clinical practice guideline is to ensure people have access to safe, accessible, and effective pain management that improves their function and quality of life while illuminating and reducing risks associated with prescription opioids, and ultimately reducing the consequences of prescription opioid misuse and overdose. Lessons learned from the development of the 2016 CDC guideline informed the process used to generate this update. CDC will evaluate the clinical practice guideline to identify the impact of the recommendations on clinician and patient outcomes as well as the intended and unintended consequences. Communication between clinicians and patients about the risks and benefits of opioids should be central to treatment decisions for patients in pain. This clinical practice guideline can help inform those decisions and assist clinicians in meeting the unique needs of each person. CDC will revisit this clinical practice guideline when remaining evidence gaps have sufficiently been addressed and another update is warranted.

|

4112

4113

4114

Draft



4115

## Acknowledgments

4116

4117

The Board of Scientific Counselors of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control

4118

(BSC/NCIPC); the BSC/NCIPC Opioid Workgroup; peer reviewers; members of the public who provided

4119

comments during BSC/NCIPC meetings; members of the public who provided comments on *Federal*

4120

*Register* opportunities; patients, caregivers, and clinicians who participated in phone or video individual

4121

conversations; participants of the co-design workshops; Marissa R. Kessler, Guidehouse; Cara M.

4122

Klansek, MPH, Guidehouse; Laura Riley, MPH, Guidehouse; Benjamin P. Winter, the Lab at the US Office

4123

of Personnel Management; Sarah E. Hughes, the Lab at the US Office of Personnel Management;

4124

Jennifer Gardner, the Lab at the US Office of Personnel Management; Katherine Fisher, the Lab at the US

4125

Office of Personnel Management; federal partners; Rochelle P. Walensky, MD, MPH, CDC Office of the

4126

Director; Anne Schuchat, MD, CDC Office of the Director (Retired); Debra Houry, MD, MPH, CDC Office

4127

of the Director; Celeste Philip, MD, MPH, CDC Office of the Director; Amy B. Peeples, MPA, National

4128

Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Arlene Greenspan, DrPH, MPH, PT, National Center for Injury

4129

Prevention and Control; Gwendolyn H. Cattledge, PhD, MSEH, National Center for Injury Prevention and

4130

Control (Retired); Elizabeth J. Solhtalab, MPA, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Kelly

4131

Holton, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; S. Kinzie Lee, MPH, National Center for Injury

4132

Prevention and Control; Erica Reott, MPH, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; C. Leah

4133

Chan, MPH, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Rachel Ward, MSW, MPH, National

4134

Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Rachel Clark Smith, MSW, MPH, National Center for Injury

4135

Prevention and Control; Valerie Godoshian, MPH, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control;

4136

Hallie Cardé, MPH, CHES, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Tonia Lindley, National

4137

Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Victor Cabada, MPH, National Center for Injury Prevention and

4138

Control; BSC/NCIPC support staff; Jan L. Losby, PhD, MSW, Division of Overdose Prevention, National

|

4139 Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Melanie R. Ross, MPH, MCHES, CDR, USPHS, Division of  
4140 Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Christine R. Curtis, MD, MPH,  
4141 CAPT, USPHS, Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control;  
4142 Christina A. Mikosz, MD, MPH, FACP, Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury  
4143 Prevention and Control; Amy Holmes-Chavez, MPH, Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center  
4144 for Injury Prevention and Control; Michelle Putnam, MPH, Division of Overdose Prevention, National  
4145 Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Parul Parikh, JD, MPH, Division of Overdose Prevention,  
4146 National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; JinYoung Kim, MPH, Division of Overdose Prevention,  
4147 National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; LeShaundra Cordier, MPH, CHES, Division of Overdose  
4148 Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Helen Kingery, MPH, Division of Overdose  
4149 Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Loretta Jackson Brown, PhD, RN, Division  
4150 of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Takeydra Jones, MPH,  
4151 Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Kate Galatas, MPH,  
4152 Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Kristin M. Holland,  
4153 PhD, MPH, Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Erin M.  
4154 Parker, PhD, CDR, USPHS, Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and  
4155 Control; Terry W. Davis, EdD, Division of Overdose Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and  
4156 Control.

4157

4158

## References

**Note: Formatting is currently based on automatic EndNote settings and will be adjusted (e.g., changing to numbered in-text citations).**

- Aboagye, E., Karlsson, M. L., Hagberg, J., & Jensen, I. (2015). Cost-effectiveness of early interventions for non-specific low back pain: a randomized controlled study investigating medical yoga, exercise therapy and self-care advice. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 47(2), 167-173. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2340/16501977-1910>
- Adelman, J. U., & Belsey, J. (2003). Meta-analysis of oral triptan therapy for migraine: number needed to treat and relative cost to achieve relief within 2 hours. *Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy*, 9(1), 45-52. Retrieved from <https://www.jmcp.org/doi/10.18553/jmcp.2003.9.1.45>
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2020, February 2020). About SDOH in Healthcare. Retrieved from <https://www.ahrq.gov/sdoh/about.html>
- Agnoli, A., Xing, G., Tancredi, D. J., Magnan, E., Jerant, A., & Fenton, J. J. (2021). Association of Dose Tapering With Overdose or Mental Health Crisis Among Patients Prescribed Long-term Opioids. *Jama*, 326(5), 411-419. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.11013
- Ahmed, F. (2013). *Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices handbook for developing evidence-based recommendations. Version 1.2. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC.* <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/recs/GRADE/about-grade.html#resources>. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/aciprecs/GRADE/about-grade.html#resrouces>
- Ahmed, F., Temte, J. L., Campos-Outcalt, D., & Schünemann, H. J. (2011). Methods for developing evidence-based recommendations by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *Vaccine*, 29(49), 9171-9176. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.08.005
- Alford, D. P., Compton, P., & Samet, J. H. (2006). Acute pain management for patients receiving maintenance methadone or buprenorphine therapy. *Ann Intern Med*, 144(2), 127-134. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-144-2-200601170-00010
- Alkermes. (2020). Vivitrol. Full prescribing information. REV: July 2020. Retrieved from <https://www.vivitrol.com/content/pdfs/prescribing-information.pdf>
- Allen, K. D., Woolson, S., Hoenig, H. M., Bongiorno, D., Byrd, J., Caves, K., . . . Coffman, C. J. (2020). Stepped Exercise Program for Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis : A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Ann Intern Med*. doi:10.7326/m20-4447
- Amato, L., Minozzi, S., Davoli, M., & Vecchi, S. (2011). Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments versus pharmacological treatments for opioid detoxification. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*(9), Cd005031. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005031.pub4
- American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. (2017). *Chronic Pain Guideline*. Retrieved from <http://www.das.ca.gov/dwc/MTUS/ACOEM-Guidelines/Chronic-Pain-Guideline.pdf>
- American Dental Association. (2020). Oral Analgesics for Acute Dental Pain. Retrieved from <https://www.ada.org/resources/research/science-and-research-institute/oral-health-topics/oral-analgesics-for-acute-dental-pain>
- American Geriatrics Society Panel on the Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons. (2009). Pharmacological Management of Persistent Pain in Older Persons. 57(8), 1331-1346. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02376.x>
- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4th ed, text rev.* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

4204 American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed.*  
4205 Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

4206 American Society of Addiction Medicine. (2015). *The ASAM National Practice Guideline For the Use of*  
4207 *Medications in the Treatment of Addiction Involving Opioid Use*. Retrieved from  
4208 [https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/practice-support/guidelines-and-consensus-](https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/practice-support/guidelines-and-consensus-docs/asam-national-practice-guideline-supplement.pdf)  
4209 [docs/asam-national-practice-guideline-supplement.pdf](https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/practice-support/guidelines-and-consensus-docs/asam-national-practice-guideline-supplement.pdf)

4210 American Society of Addiction Medicine. (2020). The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the  
4211 Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: 2020 Focused Update. *Journal of addiction medicine, 14*(2S  
4212 Suppl 1), 1-91. doi:10.1097/adm.0000000000000633

4213 Ancker, J. S., Gossey, J. T., Nosal, S., Xu, C., Banerjee, S., Wang, Y., . . . Bao, Y. (2021). Effect of an  
4214 Electronic Health Record “Nudge” on Opioid Prescribing and Electronic Health Record  
4215 Keystrokes in Ambulatory Care. *J Gen Intern Med, 36*(2), 430-437.

4216 Andrews, J. C., Schünemann, H. J., Oxman, A. D., Pottie, K., Meerpohl, J. J., Coello, P. A., . . . Guyatt, G.  
4217 (2013). GRADE guidelines: 15. Going from evidence to recommendation-determinants of a  
4218 recommendation's direction and strength. *J Clin Epidemiol, 66*(7), 726-735.  
4219 doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.02.003

4220 Andronis, L., Kinghorn, P., Qiao, S., Whitehurst, D. G., Durrell, S., & McLeod, H. (2017). Cost-Effectiveness  
4221 of Non-Invasive and Non-Pharmacological Interventions for Low Back Pain: a Systematic  
4222 Literature Review. *Applied Health Economics & Health Policy, 15*(2), 173-201.  
4223 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40258-016-0268-8>

4224 Armstrong, E. P., Malone, D. C., McCarberg, B., Panarites, C. J., & Pham, S. V. (2011). Cost-effectiveness  
4225 analysis of a new 8% capsaicin patch compared to existing therapies for postherpetic neuralgia.  
4226 *Current Medical Research & Opinion, 27*(5), 939-950.  
4227 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2011.562885>

4228 Asseburg, C., Peura, P., Oksanen, T., Turunen, J., Purmonen, T., & Martikainen, J. (2012). Cost-  
4229 effectiveness of oral triptans for acute migraine: mixed treatment comparison. *International*  
4230 *Journal of Technology Assessment in Healthcare, 28*(4), 382-389.  
4231 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0266462312000517>

4232 Balshem, H., Helfand, M., Schünemann, H. J., Oxman, A. D., Kunz, R., Brozek, J., . . . Guyatt, G. H. (2011).  
4233 GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 64*(4), 401-  
4234 406. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.015>

4235 Banerjee, S., & McCormack, S. (2019). Medical cannabis for the treatment of chronic pain: a review of  
4236 clinical effectiveness and guidelines.

4237 Bannuru, R. R., Osani, M. C., Vaysbrot, E. E., Arden, N. K., Bennell, K., Bierma-Zeinstra, S. M. A., . . .  
4238 McAlindon, T. E. (2019). OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and  
4239 polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 27*(11), 1578-1589.  
4240 doi:10.1016/j.joca.2019.06.011

4241 Bartels, K., Mayes, L. M., Dingmann, C., Bullard, K. J., Hopfer, C. J., & Binswanger, I. A. (2016). Opioid Use  
4242 and Storage Patterns by Patients after Hospital Discharge following Surgery. *PLOS ONE, 11*(1),  
4243 e0147972. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147972

4244 Bazargan, M., Yazdanshenas, H., Gordon, D., & Orum, G. (2016). Pain in community-dwelling elderly  
4245 African Americans. *Journal of aging and health, 28*(3), 403-425.

4246 Beard, S. M., Roskell, N., Le, T. K., Zhao, Y., Coleman, A., Ang, D., & Lawson, K. (2011). Cost effectiveness  
4247 of duloxetine in the treatment of fibromyalgia in the United States. *J Med Econ, 14*(4), 463-476.  
4248 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2011.586389>

4249 Becker, W. C., Dorflinger, L., Edmond, S. N., Islam, L., Heapy, A. A., & Fraenkel, L. (2017). Barriers and  
4250 facilitators to use of non-pharmacological treatments in chronic pain. *BMC Family Practice,*  
4251 *18*(1), 41. doi:10.1186/s12875-017-0608-2

4252 Becker, W. C., Starrels, J. L., Heo, M., Li, X., Weiner, M. G., & Turner, B. J. (2011). Racial differences in  
 4253 primary care opioid risk reduction strategies. *Ann Fam Med*, 9(3), 219-225.  
 4254 doi:10.1370/afm.1242

4255 Bellamy, N., Campbell, J., Robinson, V., Gee, T., Bourne, R., & Wells, G. (2006). Intraarticular  
 4256 corticosteroid for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. *The Cochrane database of systematic*  
 4257 *reviews*(2), Cd005328. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005328.pub2

4258 Belsey, J. D. (2004). Cost effectiveness of oral triptan therapy: a trans-national comparison based on a  
 4259 meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Current Medical Research & Opinion*, 20(5), 659-  
 4260 669. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1185/030079904125003403>

4261 Benzing, A. C., Bell, C., Derazin, M., Mack, R., & MacIntosh, T. (2020). Disparities in Opioid Pain  
 4262 Management for Long Bone Fractures. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*, 7(4), 740-745.  
 4263 doi:10.1007/s40615-020-00701-1

4264 Berkman, N. D., Lohr, K. N., Ansari, M. T., Balk, E. M., Kane, R., McDonagh, M., . . . Chang, S. (2015).  
 4265 Grading the strength of a body of evidence when assessing health-care interventions: an EPC  
 4266 update. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 68(11), 1312-1324. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.11.023

4267 Berlin, D., Farmer, B., Rao, R., Rella, J., Kunins, H., Dowell, D., . . . Ridpath, A. (2013). Deaths and severe  
 4268 adverse events associated with anesthesia-assisted rapid opioid detoxification--New York City,  
 4269 2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 62(38), 777-780. Retrieved from  
 4270 [https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6238a1.htm?s\\_cid=mm6238a1\\_w](https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6238a1.htm?s_cid=mm6238a1_w)

4271 Berna, C., Kulich, R. J., & Rathmell, J. P. (2015). Tapering Long-term Opioid Therapy in Chronic Noncancer  
 4272 Pain: Evidence and Recommendations for Everyday Practice. *Mayo Clin Proc*, 90(6), 828-842.  
 4273 doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.04.003

4274 Bernabei, R., Gambassi, G., Lapane, K., Landi, F., Gatsonis, C., Dunlop, R., . . . Mor, V. (1998).  
 4275 Management of pain in elderly patients with cancer. SAGE Study Group. Systematic Assessment  
 4276 of Geriatric Drug Use via Epidemiology. *Jama*, 279(23), 1877-1882.  
 4277 doi:10.1001/jama.279.23.1877

4278 Bicket, M. C., Long, J. J., Pronovost, P. J., Alexander, G. C., & Wu, C. L. (2017). Prescription Opioid  
 4279 Analgesics Commonly Unused After Surgery: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Surg*, 152(11), 1066-  
 4280 1071. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0831

4281 Birnbaum, H. G., White, A. G., Schiller, M., Waldman, T., Cleveland, J. M., & Roland, C. L. (2011). Societal  
 4282 costs of prescription opioid abuse, dependence, and misuse in the United States. *Pain Med*,  
 4283 12(4), 657-667. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01075.x

4284 Blondell, R. D., Ashrafioun, L., Dambra, C. M., Foschio, E. M., Zielinski, A. L., & Salcedo, D. M. (2010). A  
 4285 Clinical Trial Comparing Tapering Doses of Buprenorphine with Steady Doses for Chronic Pain  
 4286 and Co-existent Opioid Addiction. *Journal of addiction medicine*, 4(3), 140-146.  
 4287 doi:10.1097/ADM.0b013e3181ba895d

4288 Bohnert, A. S. B., Guy, G. P., Jr., & Losby, J. L. (2018). Opioid Prescribing in the United States Before and  
 4289 After the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's 2016 Opioid Guideline. *Ann Intern Med*,  
 4290 169(6), 367-375. doi:10.7326/m18-1243

4291 Bohnert, A. S. B., Logan, J. E., Ganoczy, D., & Dowell, D. (2016). A Detailed Exploration Into the  
 4292 Association of Prescribed Opioid Dosage and Overdose Deaths Among Patients With Chronic  
 4293 Pain. *Med Care*, 54(5), 435-441. doi:10.1097/mlr.0000000000000505

4294 Bohnert, A. S. B., Valenstein, M., Bair, M. J., Ganoczy, D., McCarthy, J. F., Ilgen, M. A., & Blow, F. C.  
 4295 (2011). Association Between Opioid Prescribing Patterns and Opioid Overdose-Related Deaths.  
 4296 *Jama*, 305(13), 1315-1321. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.370 %J JAMA

4297 Boscarino, J. A., Withey, C. A., Dugan, R. J., Hu, Y., Auciello, J., & Alfieri, T. (2020). Opioid Medication Use  
 4298 Among Chronic Non-Cancer Pain Patients Assessed with a Modified Drug Effects Questionnaire  
 4299 and the Association with Opioid Use Disorder. *Journal of Pain Research*, 13, 2697.

4300 Brandow, A. M., Carroll, C. P., Creary, S., Edwards-Elliott, R., Glassberg, J., Hurley, R. W., . . . Lang, E.  
 4301 (2020). American Society of Hematology 2020 guidelines for sickle cell disease: management of  
 4302 acute and chronic pain. *Blood Advances*, 4(12), 2656-2701.  
 4303 doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2020001851 %J Blood Advances  
 4304 Brat, G. A., Agniel, D., Beam, A., Yorkgitis, B., Bicket, M., Homer, M., . . . Kohane, I. (2018). Postsurgical  
 4305 prescriptions for opioid naive patients and association with overdose and misuse: retrospective  
 4306 cohort study. *Bmj*, 360, j5790. doi:10.1136/bmj.j5790  
 4307 Broussard, C. S., Rasmussen, S. A., Reefhuis, J., Friedman, J. M., Jann, M. W., Riehle-Colarusso, T., &  
 4308 Honein, M. A. (2011). Maternal treatment with opioid analgesics and risk for birth defects. *Am J*  
 4309 *Obstet Gynecol*, 204(4), 314.e311-311. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2010.12.039  
 4310 Brummett, C. M., Waljee, J. F., Goesling, J., Moser, S., Lin, P., Englesbe, M. J., . . . Nallamothu, B. K.  
 4311 (2017). New Persistent Opioid Use After Minor and Major Surgical Procedures in US Adults.  
 4312 *JAMA Surg*, 152(6), e170504. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0504  
 4313 BSC/NCIPC Opioid Workgroup Members. (2021). *Observations of the Opioid Workgroup of the Board of*  
 4314 *Scientific Counselors of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control on the Updated*  
 4315 *CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids*. Retrieved from  
 4316 <https://www.cdc.gov/injury/pdfs/bsc/OWG-Report-of-Recs-1-12-06.30.21-FINAL-508.pdf>  
 4317 Buchbinder, R., Green, S., & Youd, J. M. (2003). Corticosteroid injections for shoulder pain. *The Cochrane*  
 4318 *database of systematic reviews*, 2003(1), Cd004016. doi:10.1002/14651858.Cd004016  
 4319 Burke, J. P., Sanchez, R. J., Joshi, A. V., Cappelleri, J. C., Kulakodlu, M., & Halpern, R. (2012). Healthcare  
 4320 costs in patients with fibromyalgia on pregabalin vs. duloxetine. *Pain Practice*, 12(1), 14-22.  
 4321 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1533-2500.2011.00470.x>  
 4322 Busch, A. J., Barber, K. A., Overend, T. J., Peloso, P. M., & Schachter, C. L. (2007). Exercise for treating  
 4323 fibromyalgia syndrome. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*(4), Cd003786.  
 4324 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003786.pub2  
 4325 Buse, D. C., Reed, M. L., Fanning, K. M., Kurth, T., & Lipton, R. B. (2017). Cardiovascular Events,  
 4326 Conditions, and Procedures Among People With Episodic Migraine in the US Population: Results  
 4327 from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) Study. *Headache*, 57(1), 31-44.  
 4328 doi:10.1111/head.12962  
 4329 Butler, S. F., Fernandez, K., Benoit, C., Budman, S. H., & Jamison, R. N. (2008). Validation of the revised  
 4330 Screener and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain (SOAPP-R). *Journal of Pain*, 9(4), 360-  
 4331 372. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2007.11.014  
 4332 C Evans, M., Bazargan, M., Cobb, S., & Assari, S. (2019). Pain intensity among community-dwelling  
 4333 African American older adults in an economically disadvantaged area of Los Angeles: social,  
 4334 behavioral, and health determinants. *International journal of environmental research and public*  
 4335 *health*, 16(20), 3894.  
 4336 Cady, R. K., Sheftell, F., Lipton, R. B., Kwong, W. J., & O'Quinn, S. (2001). Economic implications of early  
 4337 treatment of migraine with sumatriptan tablets. *Clinical Therapeutics*, 23(2), 284-291.  
 4338 doi:10.1016/s0149-2918(01)80011-4  
 4339 Center for Health Information and Analysis. (2015). Mandated benefit review of H.B. 3972: An act  
 4340 relative to the practice of acupuncture. Retrieved from  
 4341 <https://www.chiamass.gov/assets/Uploads/MBR-H3972-Acupuncture.pdf>  
 4342 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2008). *Federal Advisory Committee Management*  
 4343 *Handbook*. Management Analysis and Services Office Federal Advisory Committee Management  
 4344 Team  
 4345 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017). *Integrating & Expanding Prescription Drug*  
 4346 *Monitoring Program Data: Lessons from Nine States*. . Retrieved from  
 4347 [https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdf/pehriie\\_report-a.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdf/pehriie_report-a.pdf)



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018a). Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP): Evidence-Based Recommendations-GRADE. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/recs/GRADE/about-grade.html#resources>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018b). Quality Improvement and Care Coordination: Implementing the CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdf/prescribing/CDC-DUIP-QualityImprovementAndCareCoordination-508.pdf>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020a, Aug. 21, 2020). CDC COVID-19 Response Health Equity Strategy: Accelerating Progress Towards Reducing COVID-19 Disparities and Achieving Health Equity. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/health-equity/cdc-strategy.html>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020b). Clinical Guidance for Selected Common Acute Pain Conditions: Current Treatment Recommendations from Professional Organizations. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/acute-pain/>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020c). Opioid Workgroup of the Board of Scientific Counselors of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control CDC Terms of Reference. Retrieved from [https://www.cdc.gov/injury/pdfs/bsc/OWG\\_Terms-of-Ref\\_FINAL-7-6-2020-r.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/injury/pdfs/bsc/OWG_Terms-of-Ref_FINAL-7-6-2020-r.pdf)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020d). Opioid Workgroup of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control Board of Scientific Counselors Roster. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/injury/pdfs/bsc/OWG-Roster-External-10-13-2020-FINAL-a.pdf>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021a). Board of Scientific Counselors: 2019 Opioid Workgroup. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/injury/bsc/opioid-workgroup-2019.html>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021b). Guideline Resources. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/prescribing/resources.html>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021c). U.S. State Opioid Dispensing Rates, 2020. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/rxrate-maps/state2020.html>

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. (2019). CMCS Informational Bulletin: Medicaid strategies for non-opioid pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic chronic pain management. Retrieved from <https://www.medicare.gov/federal-policy-guidance/downloads/cib022219.pdf>

Cepeda, M. S., & Farrar, J. T. (2006). Economic evaluation of oral treatments for neuropathic pain. *Journal of Pain*, 7(2), 119-128. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2005.09.004

Chang, A. K., Bijur, P. E., Esses, D., Barnaby, D. P., & Baer, J. (2017). Effect of a Single Dose of Oral Opioid and Nonopioid Analgesics on Acute Extremity Pain in the Emergency Department: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*, 318(17), 1661-1667. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.16190

Chaparro, L. E., Furlan, A. D., Deshpande, A., Mailis-Gagnon, A., Atlas, S., & Turk, D. C. (2014). Opioids Compared With Placebo or Other Treatments for Chronic Low Back Pain: An Update of the Cochrane Review. 39(7), 556-563. doi:10.1097/brs.0000000000000249

Chen, Q., Larochelle, M. R., Weaver, D. T., Lietz, A. P., Mueller, P. P., Mercaldo, S., . . . Chhatwal, J. (2019). Prevention of Prescription Opioid Misuse and Projected Overdose Deaths in the United States. *JAMA Netw Open*, 2(2), e187621. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.7621

Cherkin, D. C., Deyo, R. A., Wheeler, K., & Ciol, M. A. (1995). Physician views about treating low back pain. The results of a national survey. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 20(1), 1-9; discussion 9-10. doi:10.1097/00007632-199501000-00001

Chou R, Selph S, Wagner J, Ahmed AY, Jungbauer R, Mauer K, & Shetty KD. (2022). *Systematic Review on Opioid Treatments for Chronic Pain: Surveillance Report 1. (Prepared by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 75Q80120D00006.)* (AHRQ Publication No. 22-EHC006. Rockville, MD). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.23970/AHRQEPCEPCSURVEILLANCEOPIOIDCHRONIC>.

4396 Chou, R., Ballantyne, J., & Lembke, A. (2019). Rethinking Opioid Dose Tapering, Prescription Opioid  
4397 Dependence, and Indications for Buprenorphine. *Ann Intern Med*, 171(6), 427-429.  
4398 doi:10.7326/m19-1488

4399 Chou, R., Cruciani, R. A., Fiellin, D. A., Compton, P., Farrar, J. T., Haigney, M. C., . . . Zeltzer, L. (2014).  
4400 Methadone Safety: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American Pain Society and College on  
4401 Problems of Drug Dependence, in Collaboration With the Heart Rhythm Society. *The Journal of*  
4402 *Pain*, 15(4), 321-337. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.01.494>

4403 Chou, R., Deyo, R., Devine, B., Hansen, R., Sullivan, S., Jarvik, J., . . . Turner, J. (September 2014). *The*  
4404 *Effectiveness and Risks of Long-Term Opioid Treatment of Chronic Pain. Evidence*  
4405 *Report/Technology Assessment No. 218. (Prepared by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based*  
4406 *Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2012-00014-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 14-E005-EF.*  
4407 Retrieved from Rockville, MD:

4408 Chou, R., Deyo, R., Friedly, J., Skelly, A., Weimer, M., Fu, R., . . . Grusing, S. (2017). Systemic  
4409 Pharmacologic Therapies for Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review for an American College of  
4410 Physicians Clinical Practice Guideline. *Ann Intern Med*, 166(7), 480-492. doi:10.7326/m16-2458

4411 Chou, R., Fu, R., Dana, T., Pappas, M., Hart, E., & Mauer, K. (2021). *Interventional Treatments for Acute*  
4412 *and Chronic Pain: Systematic Review. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 247. (Prepared by*  
4413 *the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 75Q80120D00006.)*  
4414 *AHRQ Publication No. 21-EHC030.* Retrieved from Rockville, MD:

4415 Chou, R., Gordon, D. B., de Leon-Casasola, O. A., Rosenberg, J. M., Bickler, S., Brennan, T., . . . Wu, C. L.  
4416 (2016). Management of Postoperative Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American  
4417 Pain Society, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American  
4418 Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and  
4419 Administrative Council. *The Journal of Pain*, 17(2), 131-157.  
4420 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2015.12.008>

4421 Chou, R., Hartung, D., Turner, J., Blazina, I., Chan, B., Levander, X., . . . Pappas, M. (April 2020). *Opioid*  
4422 *Treatments for Chronic Pain. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 229. (Prepared by the Pacific*  
4423 *Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00009-I.) AHRQ*  
4424 *Publication No. 20-EHC011.* Retrieved from Rockville, MD:

4425 Chou, R., Qaseem, A., Snow, V., Casey, D., Cross, J. T., Jr., Shekelle, P., & Owens, D. K. (2007). Diagnosis  
4426 and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of  
4427 Physicians and the American Pain Society. *Ann Intern Med*, 147(7), 478-491. doi:10.7326/0003-  
4428 4819-147-7-200710020-00006

4429 Chou, R., Turner, J. A., Devine, E. B., Hansen, R. N., Sullivan, S. D., Blazina, I., . . . Deyo, R. A. (2015). The  
4430 effectiveness and risks of long-term opioid therapy for chronic pain: a systematic review for a  
4431 National Institutes of Health Pathways to Prevention Workshop. *Ann Intern Med*, 162(4), 276-  
4432 286. doi:10.7326/m14-2559

4433 Chou, R., Wagner, J., Ahmed, A., Blazina, I., Brodt, E., Buckley, D., . . . Skelly, A. (December 2020).  
4434 *Treatments for Acute Pain: A Systematic Review. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 240.*  
4435 *(Prepared by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-*  
4436 *2015-00009-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 20(21)-EHC006.* Retrieved from Rockville, MD:

4437 Chua, I., Petrides, A. K., Schiff, G. D., Ransohoff, J. R., Kantartjis, M., Streid, J., . . . Melanson, S. E. F.  
4438 (2020). Provider Misinterpretation, Documentation, and Follow-Up of Definitive Urine Drug  
4439 Testing Results. *J Gen Intern Med*, 35(1), 283-290. doi:10.1007/s11606-019-05514-5

4440 Chua, K. P., Brummett, C. M., Ng, S., & Bohnert, A. S. B. (2021). Association Between Receipt of  
4441 Overlapping Opioid and Benzodiazepine Prescriptions From Multiple Prescribers and Overdose  
4442 Risk. *JAMA Netw Open*, 4(8), e2120353. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.20353



- Cleeland, C., & Ryan, K. (1994). Pain assessment: Global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. *Annals, Academy of Medicine, Singapore*, 23(2), 129-138.
- Cochran, G., Brown, J., Yu, Z., Frede, S., Bryan, M. A., Ferguson, A., . . . Winhusen, T. (2021). Validation and threshold identification of a prescription drug monitoring program clinical opioid risk metric with the WHO alcohol, smoking, and substance involvement screening test. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 228, 109067. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.109067
- Coffin, P. O., Behar, E., Rowe, C., Santos, G. M., Coffa, D., Bald, M., & Vittinghoff, E. (2016). Nonrandomized Intervention Study of Naloxone Coprescription for Primary Care Patients Receiving Long-Term Opioid Therapy for Pain. *Ann Intern Med*, 165(4), 245-252. doi:10.7326/m15-2771
- Coffin, P. O., Rowe, C., Oman, N., Sinchek, K., Santos, G.-M., Faul, M., . . . Vittinghoff, E. (2020). Illicit opioid use following changes in opioids prescribed for chronic non-cancer pain. *PLOS ONE*, 15(5), e0232538. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232538
- Cohen, S. M., Weimer, M. B., Levander, X. A., Peckham, A. M., Tetrault, J. M., & Morford, K. L. (2021). Low Dose Initiation of Buprenorphine: A Narrative Review and Practical Approach. *Journal of addiction medicine*.
- Cole, R. S., Fry, C. H., & Shuttleworth, K. E. (1988). The action of the prostaglandins on isolated human ureteric smooth muscle. *Br J Urol*, 61(1), 19-26. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.1988.tb09155.x
- Committee on Approaching Death: Addressing Key End of Life Issues, & Institute of Medicine. (2015). *Dying in America: Improving Quality and Honoring Individual Preferences Near the End of Life*. In. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US).
- Committee Opinion No. 711: Opioid Use and Opioid Use Disorder in Pregnancy. (2017). *Obstet Gynecol*, 130(2), e81-e94. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000002235
- Cone, E. J., & Huestis, M. A. (2007). Interpretation of oral fluid tests for drugs of abuse. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 1098, 51-103. doi:10.1196/annals.1384.037
- Connock, M., Juarez-Garcia, A., Jowett, S., Frew, E., Liu, Z., Taylor, R. J., . . . Taylor, R. S. (2007). Methadone and buprenorphine for the management of opioid dependence: a systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess*, 11(9), 1-171, iii-iv. doi:10.3310/hta11090
- Cordell, W. H., Larson, T. A., Lingeman, J. E., Nelson, D. R., Woods, J. R., Burns, L. B., & Klee, L. W. (1994). Indomethacin suppositories versus intravenously titrated morphine for the treatment of ureteral colic. *Ann Emerg Med*, 23(2), 262-269. doi:10.1016/s0196-0644(94)70038-9
- Cordell, W. H., Wright, S. W., Wolfson, A. B., Timerding, B. L., Maneatis, T. J., Lewis, R. H., . . . Nelson, D. R. (1996). Comparison of intravenous ketorolac, meperidine, and both (balanced analgesia) for renal colic. *Ann Emerg Med*, 28(2), 151-158. doi:10.1016/s0196-0644(96)70055-0
- Coste, J., Delecoeuillerie, G., de Lara, A. C., LeParc, J. M., & Paolaggi, J. B. (1994). Clinical course and prognostic factors in acute low back pain: an inception cohort study in primary care practice. *308(6928)*, 577-580. doi:10.1136/bmj.308.6928.577 %J BMJ
- Cottrell, E., Foster, N. E., Porcheret, M., Rathod, T., & Roddy, E. (2017). GPs' attitudes, beliefs and behaviours regarding exercise for chronic knee pain: a questionnaire survey. *BMJ Open*, 7(6), e014999. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014999>
- Cottrell, E., Roddy, E., & Foster, N. E. (2010). The attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of GPs regarding exercise for chronic knee pain: a systematic review. *BMC Family Practice*, 11, 4. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-11-4>
- Coupe, V. M., Veenhof, C., van Tulder, M. W., Dekker, J., Bijlsma, J. W., & Van den Ende, C. H. (2007). The cost effectiveness of behavioural graded activity in patients with osteoarthritis of hip and/or knee. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 66(2), 215-221. doi:10.1136/ard.2006.054478
- Cowell, I., O'Sullivan, P., O'Sullivan, K., Poyton, R., McGregor, A., & Murtagh, G. (2018). Perceptions of physiotherapists towards the management of non-specific chronic low back pain from a

biopsychosocial perspective: A qualitative study. *Musculoskeletal Science & Practice*, 38, 113-119. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2018.10.006>

Cox, C., Rae, M., & Sawyer, B. (2018). A look at how the opioid crisis has affected people with employer coverage. *Peterson-Kaiser Health System Tracker*. New York: Peterson Center on Healthcare.

Coyle, D. T., Pratt, C. Y., Ocran-Appiah, J., Secora, A., Kornegay, C., & Staffa, J. (2018). Opioid analgesic dose and the risk of misuse, overdose, and death: A narrative review. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*, 27(5), 464-472.

Dagenais, S., Caro, J., & Haldeman, S. (2008). A systematic review of low back pain cost of illness studies in the United States and internationally. *Spine Journal: Official Journal of the North American Spine Society*, 8(1), 8-20. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2007.10.005>

Dahan, A., Yassen, A., Romberg, R., Sarton, E., Teppema, L., Olofsen, E., & Danhof, M. (2006). Buprenorphine induces ceiling in respiratory depression but not in analgesia. *Br J Anaesth*, 96(5), 627-632. doi:10.1093/bja/ael051

Dahlhamer, J., Connor, E., Bose, J., Lucas, J., & Zelaya, C. (2021). *Prescription opioid use among adults with chronic pain: United States, 2019*. Retrieved from Hyattsville, MD:

Daoust, R., Paquet, J., Cournoyer, A., Piette, É., Morris, J., Gosselin, S., . . . Chauny, J.-M. (2018). Quantity of opioids consumed following an emergency department visit for acute pain: a Canadian prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open*, 8(9), e022649.

Darba, J., Kaskens, L., Perez, C., Alvarez, E., Navarro-Artieda, R., & Sicras-Mainar, A. (2014). Pharmacoeconomic outcomes for pregabalin: a systematic review in neuropathic pain, generalized anxiety disorder, and epilepsy from a Spanish perspective. *Advances in Therapy*, 31(1), 1-29. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12325-013-0088-2>

Darnall, B. D., Ziadni, M. S., Stieg, R. L., Mackey, I. G., Kao, M. C., & Flood, P. (2018). Patient-Centered Prescription Opioid Tapering in Community Outpatients With Chronic Pain. *JAMA Intern Med*, 178(5), 707-708. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.8709

Dasgupta, N., Funk, M. J., Proescholdbell, S., Hirsch, A., Ribisl, K. M., & Marshall, S. (2016). Cohort Study of the Impact of High-Dose Opioid Analgesics on Overdose Mortality. *Pain Med*, 17(1), 85-98. doi:10.1111/pme.12907

Dasgupta, N., Wang, Y., Bae, J., Kinlaw, A. C., Chidgey, B. A., Cooper, T., & Delcher, C. (2021). Inches, Centimeters, and Yards: Overlooked Definition Choices Inhibit Interpretation of Morphine Equivalence. *Clin J Pain*, 37(8), 565-574. doi:10.1097/ajp.0000000000000948

de Salas-Cansado, M., Perez, C., Saldana, M. T., Navarro, A., & Rejas, J. (2012). A cost-effectiveness analysis of the effect of pregabalin versus usual care in the treatment of refractory neuropathic pain in routine medical practice in Spain. *Pain Medicine*, 13(5), 699-710. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2012.01375.x>

Demidenko, M. I., Dobscha, S. K., Morasco, B. J., Meath, T. H. A., Ilgen, M. A., & Lovejoy, T. I. (2017). Suicidal ideation and suicidal self-directed violence following clinician-initiated prescription opioid discontinuation among long-term opioid users. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*, 47, 29-35. doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2017.04.011

Denlinger, C., Sanft, T., & Armenian, S. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Survivorship, Version 2.2019. Retrieved from To view the most recent version, visit NCCN.org.

Deyo, R. A., Hallvik, S. E., Hildebran, C., Marino, M., Dexter, E., Irvine, J. M., . . . Millet, L. M. (2017). Association Between Initial Opioid Prescribing Patterns and Subsequent Long-Term Use Among Opioid-Naïve Patients: A Statewide Retrospective Cohort Study. *J Gen Intern Med*, 32(1), 21-27. doi:10.1007/s11606-016-3810-3

Deyo, R. A., Hallvik, S. E., Hildebran, C., Marino, M., O'Kane, N., Carson, J., . . . Wakeland, W. (2018). Use of prescription opioids before and after an operation for chronic pain (lumbar fusion surgery). *Pain*, 159(6), 1147-1154. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001202

4539 Dima, A., Lewith, G. T., Little, P., Moss-Morris, R., Foster, N. E., & Bishop, F. L. (2013). Identifying  
4540 patients' beliefs about treatments for chronic low back pain in primary care: a focus group  
4541 study. *British Journal of General Practice*, 63(612), e490-498.  
4542 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp13X669211>  
4543 Doherty, C., Bleakley, C., Delahunt, E., & Holden, S. (2017). Treatment and prevention of acute and  
4544 recurrent ankle sprain: an overview of systematic reviews with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*,  
4545 51(2), 113-125. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096178  
4546 Dowell, D., Compton, W. M., & Giroir, B. P. (2019). Patient-Centered Reduction or Discontinuation of  
4547 Long-term Opioid Analgesics: The HHS Guide for Clinicians. *Jama*, 1-3.  
4548 doi:10.1001/jama.2019.16409  
4549 Dowell, D., Haegerich, T., & Chou, R. (2016). CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain —  
4550 United States, 2016. *MMWR Recomm Rep*, 65(No. RR-1), 1-49.  
4551 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.rr6501e1>  
4552 Dowell, D., Haegerich, T., & Chou, R. (2019). No Shortcuts to Safer Opioid Prescribing. *N Engl J Med*,  
4553 380(24), 2285-2287. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1904190  
4554 Dowell, D., & Haegerich, T. M. (2017). Changing the Conversation About Opioid Tapering. *Ann Intern*  
4555 *Med*, 167(3), 208-209. doi:10.7326/m17-1402  
4556 Driessen, M. T., Lin, C. W., & van Tulder, M. W. (2012). Cost-effectiveness of conservative treatments for  
4557 neck pain: a systematic review on economic evaluations. *European Spine Journal*, 21(8), 1441-  
4558 1450. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00586-012-2272-5>  
4559 Driver, C., Kean, B., Opreescu, F., & Lovell, G. P. (2017). Knowledge, behaviors, attitudes and beliefs of  
4560 physiotherapists towards the use of psychological interventions in physiotherapy practice: a  
4561 systematic review. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 39(22), 2237-2249.  
4562 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2016.1223176>  
4563 Dunn, K. M., Saunders, K. W., Rutter, C. M., Banta-Green, C. J., Merrill, J. O., Sullivan, M. D., . . . Von  
4564 Korff, M. (2010). Opioid prescriptions for chronic pain and overdose: a cohort study. *Ann Intern*  
4565 *Med*, 152(2), 85-92. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-152-2-201001190-00006  
4566 Ebbert, J. O., Philpot, L. M., Clements, C. M., Lovely, J. K., Nicholson, W. T., Jenkins, S. M., . . . Gazelka, H.  
4567 M. (2018). Attitudes, Beliefs, Practices, and Concerns Among Clinicians Prescribing Opioids in a  
4568 Large Academic Institution. *Pain Med*, 19(9), 1790-1798. doi:10.1093/pm/pnx140  
4569 Ecker, J., Abuhamad, A., Hill, W., Bailit, J., Bateman, B. T., Berghella, V., . . . Yonkers, K. A. (2019).  
4570 Substance use disorders in pregnancy: clinical, ethical, and research imperatives of the opioid  
4571 epidemic: a report of a joint workshop of the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, American  
4572 College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and American Society of Addiction Medicine. *Am J*  
4573 *Obstet Gynecol*, 221(1), B5-b28. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2019.03.022  
4574 Edlund, M. J., Martin, B. C., Devries, A., Fan, M. Y., Braden, J. B., & Sullivan, M. D. (2010). Trends in use of  
4575 opioids for chronic noncancer pain among individuals with mental health and substance use  
4576 disorders: the TROUP study. *Clin J Pain*, 26(1), 1-8. doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181b99f35  
4577 Edlund, M. J., Martin, B. C., Russo, J. E., DeVries, A., Braden, J. B., & Sullivan, M. D. (2014). The role of  
4578 opioid prescription in incident opioid abuse and dependence among individuals with chronic  
4579 noncancer pain: the role of opioid prescription. *Clin J Pain*, 30(7), 557-564.  
4580 doi:10.1097/ajp.0000000000000021  
4581 Edlund, M. J., Steffick, D., Hudson, T., Harris, K. M., & Sullivan, M. (2007). Risk factors for clinically  
4582 recognized opioid abuse and dependence among veterans using opioids for chronic non-cancer  
4583 pain. *Pain*, 129(3), 355-362. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2007.02.014  
4584 Enteen, L., Bauer, J., McLean, R., Wheeler, E., Huriaux, E., Kral, A. H., & Bamberger, J. D. (2010).  
4585 Overdose Prevention and Naloxone Prescription for Opioid Users in San Francisco. *Journal of*  
4586 *Urban Health*, 87(6), 931-941. doi:10.1007/s11524-010-9495-8

Essex, H., Parrott, S., Atkin, K., Ballard, K., Bland, M., Eldred, J., . . . MacPherson, H. (2017). An economic evaluation of Alexander Technique lessons or acupuncture sessions for patients with chronic neck pain: A randomized trial (ATLAS). *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*, 12(12), e0178918. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178918>

Fairbank, J. C., & Pynsent, P. B. (2000). The Oswestry Disability Index. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 25(22), 2940-2952; discussion 2952. doi:10.1097/00007632-200011150-00017

Federation of State Medical Boards. (2017). *Guidelines for the Chronic Use of Opioid Analgesics*. Retrieved from [https://www.fsmb.org/siteassets/advocacy/policies/opioid\\_guidelines\\_as\\_adopted\\_april-2017\\_final.pdf](https://www.fsmb.org/siteassets/advocacy/policies/opioid_guidelines_as_adopted_april-2017_final.pdf)

Fiellin, D. A., Schottenfeld, R. S., Cutter, C. J., Moore, B. A., Barry, D. T., & O'Connor, P. G. (2014). Primary care-based buprenorphine taper vs maintenance therapy for prescription opioid dependence: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*, 174(12), 1947-1954. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.5302

Fishman, M. A., & Kim, P. S. (2018). Buprenorphine for Chronic Pain: a Systemic Review. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*, 22(12), 83. doi:10.1007/s11916-018-0732-2

Florence, C. S., Zhou, C., Luo, F., & Xu, L. (2016). The Economic Burden of Prescription Opioid Overdose, Abuse, and Dependence in the United States, 2013. *Med Care*, 54(10), 901-906. doi:10.1097/mlr.0000000000000625

Francois, S. J., Lanier, V. M., Marich, A. V., Wallendorf, M., & Van Dillen, L. R. (2018). A Cross-Sectional Study Assessing Treatment Preference of People With Chronic Low Back Pain. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, 99(12), 2496-2503. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2018.04.027>

Frank, J. W., Lovejoy, T. I., Becker, W. C., Morasco, B. J., Koenig, C. J., Hoffecker, L., . . . Krebs, E. E. (2017). Patient Outcomes in Dose Reduction or Discontinuation of Long-Term Opioid Therapy: A Systematic Review. *Ann Intern Med*, 167(3), 181-191. doi:10.7326/m17-0598

Franklin, G. M., Stover, B. D., Turner, J. A., Fulton-Kehoe, D., & Wickizer, T. M. (2008). Early opioid prescription and subsequent disability among workers with back injuries: the Disability Risk Identification Study Cohort. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 33(2), 199-204. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e318160455c

Fransen, M., McConnell, S., Harmer, A. R., Van der Esch, M., Simic, M., & Bennell, K. L. (2015). Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 1, Cd004376. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004376.pub3

Fransen, M., McConnell, S., Hernandez-Molina, G., & Reichenbach, S. (2014). Exercise for osteoarthritis of the hip. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*(4), Cd007912. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007912.pub2

Friedman, B. W., Dym, A. A., Davitt, M., Holden, L., Solorzano, C., Esses, D., . . . Gallagher, E. J. (2015). Naproxen With Cyclobenzaprine, Oxycodone/Acetaminophen, or Placebo for Treating Acute Low Back Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*, 314(15), 1572-1580. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.13043

Fullen, B. M., Baxter, G. D., O'Donovan, B. G., Doody, C., Daly, L., & Hurley, D. A. (2008). Doctors' attitudes and beliefs regarding acute low back pain management: A systematic review. *Pain*, 136(3), 388-396. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2008.01.003>

Fullen, B. M., Baxter, G. D., O'Donovan, B. G., Doody, C., Daly, L. E., & Hurley, D. A. (2009). Factors impacting on doctors' management of acute low back pain: a systematic review. *European Journal of Pain*, 13(9), 908-914. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2008.11.013>



4633 Fullerton, C., Kim, M., Thomas, C., Lyman, D., Montejano, L., Dougherty, R., . . . Delphin-Rittmon, M.  
 4634 (2014). Medication-Assisted Treatment With Methadone: Assessing the Evidence. *65*(2), 146-  
 4635 157. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201300235  
 4636 Furlan, A. D., Chaparro, L. E., Irvin, E., & Mailis-Gagnon, A. (2011). A Comparison between Enriched and  
 4637 Nonenriched Enrollment Randomized Withdrawal Trials of Opioids for Chronic Noncancer Pain.  
 4638 *Pain Research and Management*, *16*, 465281. doi:10.1155/2011/465281  
 4639 Gaither, J. R., Gordon, K., Crystal, S., Edelman, E. J., Kerns, R. D., Justice, A. C., . . . Becker, W. C. (2018).  
 4640 Racial disparities in discontinuation of long-term opioid therapy following illicit drug use among  
 4641 black and white patients. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, *192*, 371-376.  
 4642 doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.05.033  
 4643 Gan, T. J., Lubarsky, D. A., Flood, E. M., Thanh, T., Mauskopf, J., Mayne, T., & Chen, C. (2004). Patient  
 4644 preference for acute pain treatment. *Br J Anaesth*, *92*(5), 681-688.  
 4645 Gaskell, H., Moore, R. A., Derry, S., & Stannard, C. (2014). Oxycodone for neuropathic pain and  
 4646 fibromyalgia in adults. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, (6), CD010692.  
 4647 doi:10.1002/14651858.cd010692.pub2. (Accession No. 24956205)  
 4648 Ghoshal, M., Shapiro, H., Todd, K., & Schatman, M. E. (2020). Chronic Noncancer Pain Management and  
 4649 Systemic Racism: Time to Move Toward Equal Care Standards. *J Pain Res*, *13*, 2825-2836.  
 4650 doi:10.2147/jpr.S287314  
 4651 Gladden, R. M., O'Donnell, J., Mattson, C. L., & Seth, P. (2019). Changes in Opioid-Involved Overdose  
 4652 Deaths by Opioid Type and Presence of Benzodiazepines, Cocaine, and Methamphetamine - 25  
 4653 States, July-December 2017 to January-June 2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, *68*(34), 737-  
 4654 744. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6834a2  
 4655 Glanz, J. M., Binswanger, I. A., Shetterly, S. M., Narwaney, K. J., & Xu, S. (2019). Association Between  
 4656 Opioid Dose Variability and Opioid Overdose Among Adults Prescribed Long-term Opioid  
 4657 Therapy. *JAMA Network Open*, *2*(4), e192613-e192613.  
 4658 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.2613  
 4659 Glassberg, J. A., Tanabe, P., Chow, A., Harper, K., Haywood, C., Jr., DeBaun, M. R., & Richardson, L. D.  
 4660 (2013). Emergency provider analgesic practices and attitudes toward patients with sickle cell  
 4661 disease. *Ann Emerg Med*, *62*(4), 293-302.e210.  
 4662 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2013.02.004>  
 4663 Goesling, J., DeJonckheere, M., Pierce, J., Williams, D. A., Brummett, C. M., Hassett, A. L., & Clauw, D. J.  
 4664 (2019). Opioid cessation and chronic pain: perspectives of former opioid users. *Pain*, *160*(5),  
 4665 1131-1145. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001493  
 4666 Goesling, J., Moser, S. E., Zaidi, B., Hassett, A. L., Hilliard, P., Hallstrom, B., . . . Brummett, C. M. (2016).  
 4667 Trends and predictors of opioid use after total knee and total hip arthroplasty. *Pain*, *157*(6),  
 4668 1259-1265. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000516  
 4669 Gold, L. S., Strassels, S. A., & Hansen, R. N. (2016). Healthcare Costs and Utilization in Patients Receiving  
 4670 Prescriptions for Long-acting Opioids for Acute Postsurgical Pain. *Clin J Pain*, *32*(9), 747-754.  
 4671 doi:10.1097/ajp.0000000000000322  
 4672 Goldenberg, D. L., Clauw, D. J., Palmer, R. E., & Clair, A. G. (2016). Opioid Use in Fibromyalgia: A  
 4673 Cautionary Tale. *Mayo Clin Proc*, *91*(5), 640-648. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.02.002  
 4674 Gomes, T., Greaves, S., van den Brink, W., Antoniou, T., Mamdani, M. M., Paterson, J. M., . . . Juurlink, D.  
 4675 N. (2018). Pregabalin and the Risk for Opioid-Related Death: A Nested Case-Control Study. *Ann*  
 4676 *Intern Med*, *169*(10), 732-734. doi:10.7326/m18-1136  
 4677 Gomes, T., Juurlink, D. N., Antoniou, T., Mamdani, M. M., Paterson, J. M., & van den Brink, W. (2017).  
 4678 Gabapentin, opioids, and the risk of opioid-related death: A population-based nested case-  
 4679 control study. *PLoS Med*, *14*(10), e1002396. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002396

4680 Gomes, T., Mamdani, M. M., Dhalla, I. A., Paterson, J. M., & Juurlink, D. N. (2011). Opioid dose and drug-  
 4681 related mortality in patients with nonmalignant pain. *Arch Intern Med*, 171(7), 686-691.  
 4682 doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2011.117  
 4683 *Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics, 9th ed.* (1996). New York: McGraw-  
 4684 Hill.  
 4685 Gordon, J., Lister, S., Prettyjohns, M., McEwan, P., Tetlow, A., & Gabriel, Z. (2012). A cost-utility study of  
 4686 the use of pregabalin in treatment-refractory neuropathic pain. *J Med Econ*, 15(2), 207-218.  
 4687 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2011.632797>  
 4688 Gordon, K. S., Manhapra, A., Crystal, S., Dziura, J., Edelman, E. J., Skanderson, M., . . . Becker, W. C.  
 4689 (2020). All-cause mortality among males living with and without HIV initiating long-term opioid  
 4690 therapy, and its association with opioid dose, opioid interruption and other factors. *Drug Alcohol*  
 4691 *Depend*, 216, 108291. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108291  
 4692 Gore, M., Tai, K. S., Chandran, A., Zlateva, G., & Leslie, D. (2012). Clinical comorbidities, treatment  
 4693 patterns, and healthcare costs among patients with fibromyalgia newly prescribed pregabalin or  
 4694 duloxetine in usual care. *J Med Econ*, 15(1), 19-31.  
 4695 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2011.629262>  
 4696 Gore, M., Tai, K. S., Sadosky, A., Leslie, D., & Stacey, B. R. (2012). Use and costs of prescription  
 4697 medications and alternative treatments in patients with osteoarthritis and chronic low back pain  
 4698 in community-based settings. *Pain Pract*, 12(7), 550-560. doi:10.1111/j.1533-2500.2012.00532.x  
 4699 Goshua, A., Craigie, S., Guyatt, G. H., Agarwal, A., Li, R., Bhullar, J. S., . . . Busse, J. W. (2018). Patient  
 4700 Values and Preferences Regarding Opioids for Chronic Noncancer Pain: A Systematic Review.  
 4701 *Pain Med*, 19(12), 2469-2480. doi:10.1093/pm/pnx274  
 4702 Gowing, L., Farrell, M., Ali, R., & White, J. M. (2016). Alpha<sub>2</sub>-adrenergic agonists for the management of  
 4703 opioid withdrawal. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 2016(5), Cd002024.  
 4704 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002024.pub5  
 4705 Goyal, M. K., Kuppermann, N., Cleary, S. D., Teach, S. J., & Chamberlain, J. M. (2015). Racial Disparities in  
 4706 Pain Management of Children With Appendicitis in Emergency Departments. *JAMA Pediatr*,  
 4707 169(11), 996-1002. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.1915  
 4708 Green, C. R., Wheeler, J. R., & LaPorte, F. (2003). Clinical decision making in pain management:  
 4709 Contributions of physician and patient characteristics to variations in practice. *Journal of Pain*,  
 4710 4(1), 29-39. doi:10.1054/jpai.2003.5  
 4711 Grissinger, M. (2011). Keeping patients safe from methadone overdoses. *P & T : a peer-reviewed journal*  
 4712 *for formulary management*, 36(8), 462-466. Retrieved from  
 4713 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21935293>  
 4714 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3171821/>  
 4715 Guy, G. P., Jr., & Zhang, K. (2018). Opioid Prescribing by Specialty and Volume in the U.S. *Am J Prev Med*,  
 4716 55(5), e153-e155. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2018.06.008  
 4717 Guyatt, G. H., Oxman, A. D., Vist, G. E., Kunz, R., Falck-Ytter, Y., Alonso-Coello, P., & Schünemann, H. J.  
 4718 (2008). GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of  
 4719 recommendations. *Bmj*, 336(7650), 924-926. doi:10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD  
 4720 Hadi, I., da Silva, O., Natale, R., Boyd, D., & Morley-Forster, P. K. (2006). Opioids in the parturient with  
 4721 chronic nonmalignant pain: a retrospective review. *J Opioid Manag*, 2(1), 31-34.  
 4722 doi:10.5055/jom.2006.0005  
 4723 Haffajee, R. L., Cherney, S., & Smart, R. (2020). Legal requirements and recommendations to prescribe  
 4724 naloxone. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 209, 107896. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.107896  
 4725 Haines, T., & Bowles, K. A. (2017). Cost-effectiveness of using a motion-sensor biofeedback treatment  
 4726 approach for the management of sub-acute or chronic low back pain: economic evaluation

4727 alongside a randomised trial. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 18(1), 18.  
 4728 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12891-016-1371-6>  
 4729 Hales, C. M., Martin, C. B., & Gu, Q. (2020). Prevalence of Prescription Pain Medication Use Among  
 4730 Adults: United States, 2015-2018. *NCHS Data Brief*(369), 1-8.  
 4731 Halker Singh, R., VanderPluym, J., Morrow, A., Urtecho, M., Nayfeh, T., Torres Roldan, V., . . . Wang, Z.  
 4732 (December 2020). *Acute Treatments for Episodic Migraine. Comparative Effectiveness Review*  
 4733 *No. 239. (Prepared by the Mayo Clinic Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-*  
 4734 *2015-00013-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 21-EHC009*. Retrieved from Rockville, MD:  
 4735 Hallway, A., Vu, J., Lee, J., Brummett, C., Englesbe, M., & Howard, R. (2019). Patient satisfaction and pain  
 4736 control using an opioid-sparing postoperative pathway. *J Am Coll Surgeons*, 229(3), 316-322.  
 4737 Han, B., Compton, W. M., Jones, C. M., & Cai, R. (2015). Nonmedical Prescription Opioid Use and Use  
 4738 Disorders Among Adults Aged 18 Through 64 Years in the United States, 2003-2013. *Jama*,  
 4739 314(14), 1468-1478. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.11859 %J JAMA  
 4740 Hansen, R. N., Oster, G., Edelsberg, J., Woody, G. E., & Sullivan, S. D. (2011). Economic costs of  
 4741 nonmedical use of prescription opioids. *Clin J Pain*, 27(3), 194-202.  
 4742 doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181ff04ca  
 4743 Haque, W., Watson, D. J., & Bryant, S. G. (1990). Death following suspected alprazolam withdrawal  
 4744 seizures: a case report. *Tex Med*, 86(1), 44-47.  
 4745 Harnett, J., Margolis, J., Cao, Z., Fowler, R., Sanchez, R. J., Mardekian, J., & Silverman, S. L. (2011). Real-  
 4746 world evaluation of health-care resource utilization and costs in employees with fibromyalgia  
 4747 treated with pregabalin or duloxetine. *Pain Practice*, 11(3), 217-229.  
 4748 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1533-2500.2010.00440.x>  
 4749 Hausmann, L. R. M., Gao, S., Lee, E. S., & Kwoh, K. C. (2013). Racial disparities in the monitoring of  
 4750 patients on chronic opioid therapy. *Pain*, 154(1), 46-52. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2012.07.034  
 4751 Hayden, J. A., van Tulder, M. W., Malmivaara, A., & Koes, B. W. (2005). Exercise therapy for treatment of  
 4752 non-specific low back pain. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*(3), Cd000335.  
 4753 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000335.pub2  
 4754 Henry, S. G., Paterniti, D. A., Feng, B., Iosif, A. M., Kravitz, R. L., Weinberg, G., . . . Verba, S. (2019).  
 4755 Patients' Experience With Opioid Tapering: A Conceptual Model With Recommendations for  
 4756 Clinicians. *Journal of Pain*, 20(2), 181-191. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2018.09.001  
 4757 Herman, P. M., Anderson, M. L., Sherman, K. J., Balderson, B. H., Turner, J. A., & Cherkin, D. C. (2017).  
 4758 Cost-effectiveness of Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction Versus Cognitive Behavioral Therapy  
 4759 or Usual Care Among Adults With Chronic Low Back Pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 42(20), 1511-  
 4760 1520. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000002344>  
 4761 Herman, P. M., Lavelle, T. A., Sorbero, M. E., Hurwitz, E. L., & Coulter, I. D. (2019). Are  
 4762 Nonpharmacologic Interventions for Chronic Low Back Pain More Cost Effective Than Usual  
 4763 Care? Proof of Concept Results From a Markov Model. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 44(20), 1456-1464.  
 4764 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000003097>  
 4765 Hernandez, I., He, M., Brooks, M. M., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Exposure-Response Association Between  
 4766 Concurrent Opioid and Benzodiazepine Use and Risk of Opioid-Related Overdose in Medicare  
 4767 Part D Beneficiaries. *JAMA Netw Open*, 1(2), e180919.  
 4768 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.0919  
 4769 Heyward, J., Jones, C. M., Compton, W. M., Lin, D. H., Losby, J. L., Murimi, I. B., . . . Alexander, G. C.  
 4770 (2018). Coverage of Nonpharmacologic Treatments for Low Back Pain Among US Public and  
 4771 Private Insurers. *JAMA Netw Open*, 1(6), e183044. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.3044  
 4772 Hill, M. V., McMahon, M. L., Stucke, R. S., & Barth, R. J., Jr. (2017). Wide Variation and Excessive Dosage  
 4773 of Opioid Prescriptions for Common General Surgical Procedures. *Ann Surg*, 265(4), 709-714.  
 4774 doi:10.1097/sla.0000000000001993

4775 Hill, M. V., Stucke, R. S., Billmeier, S. E., Kelly, J. L., & Barth, R. J., Jr. (2018). Guideline for Discharge  
 4776 Opioid Prescriptions after Inpatient General Surgical Procedures. *J Am Coll Surg*, 226(6), 996-  
 4777 1003. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2017.10.012

4778 Hill, M. V., Stucke, R. S., McMahon, M. L., Beeman, J. L., & Barth, R. J., Jr. (2018). An Educational  
 4779 Intervention Decreases Opioid Prescribing After General Surgical Operations. *Ann Surg*, 267(3),  
 4780 468-472. doi:10.1097/sla.0000000000002198

4781 Hochberg, M. C., Altman, R. D., April, K. T., Benkhalti, M., Guyatt, G., McGowan, J., . . . Tugwell, P. (2012).  
 4782 American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic  
 4783 and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *64*(4), 465-474.  
 4784 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.21596>

4785 Holden, M. A., Nicholls, E. E., Young, J., Hay, E. M., & Foster, N. E. (2009). UK-based physical therapists'  
 4786 attitudes and beliefs regarding exercise and knee osteoarthritis: findings from a mixed-methods  
 4787 study. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, 61(11), 1511-1521. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.24829>

4788 Hooten, W. M. (2016). Chronic Pain and Mental Health Disorders: Shared Neural Mechanisms,  
 4789 Epidemiology, and Treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc*, 91(7), 955-970.  
 4790 doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.04.029

4791 Hooten, W. M., Timming, R., Belgrade, M., Gaul, J., Goertz, M., Haake, B., . . . Walker, N. (2013).  
 4792 *Assessment and Management of Chronic Pain*. Retrieved from  
 4793 [https://www.mnmed.org/getattachment/about-us/committees-task-forces/Prescription-](https://www.mnmed.org/getattachment/about-us/committees-task-forces/Prescription-OpioidTask-Force/Resources-for-physicians/ChronicPain.pdf.aspx?lang=en-US)  
 4794 [OpioidTask-Force/Resources-for-physicians/ChronicPain.pdf.aspx?lang=en-US](https://www.mnmed.org/getattachment/about-us/committees-task-forces/Prescription-OpioidTask-Force/Resources-for-physicians/ChronicPain.pdf.aspx?lang=en-US)

4795 Houry, D. (2018). Letter on buprenorphine and CDCs guideline. Retrieved from  
 4796 [https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/advocacy/letters-and-comments/2018-1-4-letter-](https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/advocacy/letters-and-comments/2018-1-4-letter-on-buprenorphine-and-cdcs-guideline-(002).pdf?sfvrsn=7fa840c2_2)  
 4797 [on-buprenorphine-and-cdcs-guideline-\(002\).pdf?sfvrsn=7fa840c2\\_2](https://www.asam.org/docs/default-source/advocacy/letters-and-comments/2018-1-4-letter-on-buprenorphine-and-cdcs-guideline-(002).pdf?sfvrsn=7fa840c2_2)

4798 Howard, R., Waljee, J., Brummett, C., Englesbe, M., & Lee, J. (2018). Reduction in Opioid Prescribing  
 4799 Through Evidence-Based Prescribing Guidelines. *JAMA Surg*, 153(3), 285-287.  
 4800 doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2017.4436

4801 Howe, C. Q., & Sullivan, M. D. (2014). The missing 'P' in pain management: how the current opioid  
 4802 epidemic highlights the need for psychiatric services in chronic pain care. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*,  
 4803 36(1), 99-104. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2013.10.003>

4804 Hsiao, B., & Fraenkel, L. (2019). Patient preferences for rheumatoid arthritis treatment. *Current Opinion*  
 4805 *in Rheumatology*, 31(3), 256-263. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BOR.0000000000000591>

4806 Huelin, R., Pokora, T., Foster, T. S., & Mould, J. F. (2012). Economic outcomes for celecoxib: a systematic  
 4807 review of pharmacoeconomic studies. *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes*  
 4808 *Research*, 12(4), 505-523. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1586/erp.12.36>

4809 Hurley, M. V., Walsh, N. E., Mitchell, H. L., Pimm, T. J., Williamson, E., Jones, R. H., . . . Patel, A. (2007).  
 4810 Economic evaluation of a rehabilitation program integrating exercise, self-management, and  
 4811 active coping strategies for chronic knee pain. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, 57(7), 1220-1229.  
 4812 doi:10.1002/art.23011

4813 Indivior. (2017). Suboxone Medication Guide. Revised 02/2017. Retrieved from  
 4814 [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2017/022410s023lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2017/022410s023lbl.pdf)

4815 Inocencio, T. J., Carroll, N. V., Read, E. J., & Holdford, D. A. (2013). The economic burden of opioid-  
 4816 related poisoning in the United States. *Pain Med*, 14(10), 1534-1547. doi:10.1111/pme.12183

4817 Institute of Medicine. (2003). *Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in*  
 4818 *Healthcare*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

4819 Institute of Medicine Committee on Advancing Pain Research Care and Education. (2011). The National  
 4820 Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of Health. In *Relieving Pain in*  
 4821 *America: A Blueprint for Transforming Prevention, Care, Education, and Research*. Washington  
 4822 (DC): National Academies Press (US).



International Association for the Study of Pain. (1986). Classification of chronic pain. Descriptions of chronic pain syndromes and definitions of pain terms. Prepared by the International Association for the Study of Pain, Subcommittee on Taxonomy. *Pain Suppl*, 3, S1-226.

Irvine, J. M., Hallvik, S. E., Hildebran, C., Marino, M., Beran, T., & Deyo, R. A. (2014). Who uses a prescription drug monitoring program and how? Insights from a statewide survey of Oregon clinicians. *Journal of Pain*, 15(7), 747-755. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2014.04.003

Ivanova, J. I., Birnbaum, H. G., Kantor, E., Schiller, M., & Swindle, R. W. (2012). Duloxetine use in chronic low back pain: treatment patterns and costs. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 30(7), 595-609. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2165/11598130-000000000-00000>

Ivanova, J. I., Birnbaum, H. G., Kantor, E., Schiller, M., & Swindle, R. W. (2014). Duloxetine use in employees with low back pain: treatment patterns and direct and indirect costs. *Pain Medicine*, 15(6), 1015-1026. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pme.12362>

James, J. R., Scott, J. M., Klein, J. W., Jackson, S., McKinney, C., Novack, M., . . . Merrill, J. O. (2019). Mortality After Discontinuation of Primary Care-Based Chronic Opioid Therapy for Pain: a Retrospective Cohort Study. *J Gen Intern Med*, 34(12), 2749-2755. doi:10.1007/s11606-019-05301-2

Jamison, R. N., Sheehan, K. A., Scanlan, E., Matthews, M., & Ross, E. L. (2014). Beliefs and attitudes about opioid prescribing and chronic pain management: survey of primary care providers. *J Opioid Manag*, 10(6), 375-382. doi:10.5055/jom.2014.0234

Jayadevappa, R., Cook, R., & Chhatre, S. (2017). Minimal important difference to infer changes in health-related quality of life-a systematic review. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 89, 188-198. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.06.009

Jessep, S. A., Walsh, N. E., Ratcliffe, J., & Hurley, M. V. (2009). Long-term clinical benefits and costs of an integrated rehabilitation programme compared with outpatient physiotherapy for chronic knee pain. *Physiotherapy*, 95(2), 94-102. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2009.01.005>

Johnson, J. D., Asiodu, I. V., McKenzie, C. P., Tucker, C., Tully, K. P., Bryant, K., . . . Stuebe, A. M. (2019). Racial and Ethnic Inequities in Postpartum Pain Evaluation and Management. *Obstet Gynecol*, 134(6), 1155-1162. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000003505

Johnson, S. P., Chung, K. C., Zhong, L., Shauver, M. J., Engelsbe, M. J., Brummett, C., & Waljee, J. F. (2016). Risk of Prolonged Opioid Use Among Opioid-Naïve Patients Following Common Hand Surgery Procedures. *J Hand Surg Am*, 41(10), 947-957.e943. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2016.07.113

Jones, C. M., Campopiano, M., Baldwin, G., & McCance-Katz, E. (2015). National and State Treatment Need and Capacity for Opioid Agonist Medication-Assisted Treatment. *Am J Public Health*, 105(8), e55-63. doi:10.2105/ajph.2015.302664

Jones, C. M., & McAninch, J. K. (2015). Emergency Department Visits and Overdose Deaths From Combined Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines. *Am J Prev Med*, 49(4), 493-501. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.03.040

Jones, C. M., Paulozzi, L. J., & Mack, K. A. (2014). Alcohol involvement in opioid pain reliever and benzodiazepine drug abuse-related emergency department visits and drug-related deaths - United States, 2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 63(40), 881-885.

Joo, S. S., Hunter, O. O., Tamboli, M., Leng, J. C., Harrison, T. K., Kassab, K., . . . Mariano, E. R. (2020). Implementation of a patient-specific tapering protocol at discharge decreases total opioid dose prescribed for 6 weeks after elective primary spine surgery. *Regional anesthesia and pain medicine*, 45(6), 474-478. doi:10.1136/rapm-2020-101324

Joynt, M., Train, M. K., Robbins, B. W., Halterman, J. S., Caiola, E., & Fortuna, R. J. (2013). The impact of neighborhood socioeconomic status and race on the prescribing of opioids in emergency departments throughout the United States. *J Gen Intern Med*, 28(12), 1604-1610. doi:10.1007/s11606-013-2516-z

4871 Kalso, E., Simpson, K. H., Slappendel, R., Dejonckheere, J., & Richarz, U. (2007). Predicting long-term  
 4872 response to strong opioids in patients with low back pain: findings from a randomized,  
 4873 controlled trial of transdermal fentanyl and morphine. *BMC Medicine*, 5(1), 39.  
 4874 doi:10.1186/1741-7015-5-39  
 4875 Kaplovitch, E., Gomes, T., Camacho, X., Dhalla, I. A., Mamdani, M. M., & Juurlink, D. N. (2015). Sex  
 4876 Differences in Dose Escalation and Overdose Death during Chronic Opioid Therapy: A  
 4877 Population-Based Cohort Study. *PLOS ONE*, 10(8), e0134550. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134550  
 4878 Katsarava, Z., Schneeweiss, S., Kurth, T., Kroener, U., Fritsche, G., Eikermann, A., . . . Limmroth, V. (2004).  
 4879 Incidence and predictors for chronicity of headache in patients with episodic migraine.  
 4880 *Neurology*, 62(5), 788-790. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000113747.18760.d2  
 4881 Kelman, L., & Von Seggern, R. L. (2006). Using patient-centered endpoints to determine the cost-  
 4882 effectiveness of triptans for acute migraine therapy. *American Journal of Therapeutics*, 13(5),  
 4883 411-417. doi:10.1097/01.mjt.0000174354.05142.c1  
 4884 Kennedy-Hendricks, A., Busch, S. H., McGinty, E. E., Bachhuber, M. A., Niederdeppe, J., Gollust, S. E., . . .  
 4885 Barry, C. L. (2016). Primary care physicians' perspectives on the prescription opioid epidemic.  
 4886 *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 165, 61-70. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.05.010  
 4887 Kenworthy, J., Yi, Y., Wright, A., Brown, J., Maria Madrigal, A., & Dunlop, W. C. N. (2017). Use of opioid  
 4888 substitution therapies in the treatment of opioid use disorder: results of a UK cost-effectiveness  
 4889 modelling study. *J Med Econ*, 20(7), 740-748. doi:10.1080/13696998.2017.1325744  
 4890 Keurentjes, J. C., Van Tol, F. R., Fiocco, M., Schoones, J. W., & Nelissen, R. G. (2012). Minimal clinically  
 4891 important differences in health-related quality of life after total hip or knee replacement: A  
 4892 systematic review. *Bone Joint Res*, 1(5), 71-77. doi:10.1302/2046-3758.15.2000065  
 4893 Kirson, N. Y., Ivanova, J. I., Birnbaum, H. G., Wei, R., Kantor, E., Puenpatom, R. A., . . . Summers, K. H.  
 4894 (2010). Comparing healthcare costs of Medicaid patients with postherpetic neuralgia (PHN)  
 4895 treated with lidocaine patch 5% versus gabapentin or pregabalin. *J Med Econ*, 13(3), 482-491.  
 4896 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2010.506176>  
 4897 Kleinman, N. L., Sanchez, R. J., Lynch, W. D., Cappelleri, J. C., Beren, I. A., & Joshi, A. V. (2011). Health  
 4898 outcomes and costs among employees with fibromyalgia treated with pregabalin vs. standard of  
 4899 care. *Pain Practice*, 11(6), 540-551. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1533-2500.2011.00453.x>  
 4900 Krawczyk, N., Mojtabai, R., Stuart, E. A., Fingerhood, M., Agus, D., Lyons, B. C., . . . Saloner, B. (2020).  
 4901 Opioid agonist treatment and fatal overdose risk in a state-wide US population receiving opioid  
 4902 use disorder services. *Addiction*, 115(9), 1683-1694. doi:10.1111/add.14991  
 4903 Krebs, E., Enns, B., Evans, E., Urada, D., Anglin, M. D., Rawson, R. A., . . . Nosyk, B. (2018). Cost-  
 4904 Effectiveness of Publicly Funded Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder in California. *Ann Intern Med*,  
 4905 168(1), 10-19. doi:10.7326/m17-0611  
 4906 Krebs, E. E., Gravelly, A., Nugent, S., Jensen, A. C., DeRonne, B., Goldsmith, E. S., . . . Noorbaloochi, S.  
 4907 (2018). Effect of Opioid vs Nonopioid Medications on Pain-Related Function in Patients With  
 4908 Chronic Back Pain or Hip or Knee Osteoarthritis Pain: The SPACE Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*,  
 4909 319(9), 872-882. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.0899  
 4910 Krebs, E. E., Lorenz, K. A., Bair, M. J., Damush, T. M., Wu, J., Sutherland, J. M., . . . Kroenke, K. (2009).  
 4911 Development and initial validation of the PEG, a three-item scale assessing pain intensity and  
 4912 interference. *J Gen Intern Med*, 24(6), 733-738. doi:10.1007/s11606-009-0981-1  
 4913 Kroenke, K., Alford, D. P., Argoff, C., Canlas, B., Covington, E., Frank, J. W., . . . Sullivan, M. (2019).  
 4914 Challenges with Implementing the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Opioid Guideline:  
 4915 A Consensus Panel Report. *Pain Med*, 20(4), 724-735. doi:10.1093/pm/pny307  
 4916 Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. B., & Löwe, B. (2010). The Patient Health Questionnaire Somatic,  
 4917 Anxiety, and Depressive Symptom Scales: a systematic review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*, 32(4), 345-  
 4918 359. doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2010.03.006

4919 Krupitsky, E., Nunes, E. V., Ling, W., Illeperuma, A., Gastfriend, D. R., & Silverman, B. L. (2011). Injectable  
4920 extended-release naltrexone for opioid dependence: a double-blind, placebo-controlled,  
4921 multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet*, 377(9776), 1506-1513. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(11)60358-  
4922 9

4923 Kulkarni, S., Thambar, S., & Arora, H. (2020). Evaluating the effectiveness of nonsteroidal anti-  
4924 inflammatory drug (s) for relief of pain associated with temporomandibular joint disorders: A  
4925 systematic review. *Clinical and experimental dental research*, 6(1), 134-146.

4926 Laffer, A., Murphy, R., Winegarden, W., Pesce, A., Mikel, D. C., West, C., . . . Strickland, B. S. N. J. (2011).  
4927 An economic analysis of the costs and benefits associated with regular urine drug testing for  
4928 chronic pain patients in the United States.

4929 Lagisetty, P. A., Healy, N., Garpestad, C., Jannausch, M., Tipirneni, R., & Bohnert, A. S. B. (2019). Access  
4930 to Primary Care Clinics for Patients With Chronic Pain Receiving Opioids. *JAMA Netw Open*, 2(7),  
4931 e196928. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.6928

4932 Langer-Gould, A. M., Anderson, W. E., Armstrong, M. J., Cohen, A. B., Eccher, M. A., Iverson, D. J., . . .  
4933 Gronseth, G. S. (2013). The American Academy of Neurology's top five choosing wisely  
4934 recommendations. *Neurology*, 81(11), 1004-1011. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828aab14

4935 Lann, M. A., & Molina, D. K. (2009). A fatal case of benzodiazepine withdrawal. *Am J Forensic Med*  
4936 *Pathol*, 30(2), 177-179. doi:10.1097/PAF.0b013e3181875aa0

4937 Larochelle, M. R., Bernson, D., Land, T., Stopka, T. J., Wang, N., Xuan, Z., . . . Walley, A. Y. (2018).  
4938 Medication for opioid use disorder after nonfatal opioid overdose and association with  
4939 mortality: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*, 169(3), 137-145.

4940 Larochelle, M. R., Liebschutz, J. M., Zhang, F., Ross-Degnan, D., & Wharam, J. F. (2016). Opioid  
4941 Prescribing After Nonfatal Overdose and Association With Repeated Overdose: A Cohort Study.  
4942 *Ann Intern Med*, 164(1), 1-9. doi:10.7326/m15-0038

4943 Lee, B., Zhao, W., Yang, K.-C., Ahn, Y.-Y., & Perry, B. L. (2021). Systematic Evaluation of State Policy  
4944 Interventions Targeting the US Opioid Epidemic, 2007-2018. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(2),  
4945 e2036687-e2036687. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.36687

4946 Lee, G., & Carr, W. (2018). Updated Framework for Development of Evidence-Based Recommendations  
4947 by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 67(45),  
4948 1271-1272. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6745a4

4949 Lee, J. D., Nunes, E. V., Jr., Novo, P., Bachrach, K., Bailey, G. L., Bhatt, S., . . . Rotrosen, J. (2018).  
4950 Comparative effectiveness of extended-release naltrexone versus buprenorphine-naloxone for  
4951 opioid relapse prevention (X:BOT): a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial.  
4952 *Lancet*, 391(10118), 309-318. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(17)32812-x

4953 Lee, J. D., Vocci, F., & Fiellin, D. A. (2014). Unobserved "Home" Induction Onto Buprenorphine. *Journal*  
4954 *of addiction medicine*, 8(5), 299-308. doi:10.1097/adm.0000000000000059

4955 Lee, J. S., Hu, H. M., Edelman, A. L., Brummett, C. M., Englesbe, M. J., Waljee, J. F., . . . Dossett, L. A.  
4956 (2017). New Persistent Opioid Use Among Patients With Cancer After Curative-Intent Surgery. *J*  
4957 *Clin Oncol*, 35(36), 4042-4049. doi:10.1200/jco.2017.74.1363

4958 Lee, P., Le Saux, M., Siegel, R., Goyal, M., Chen, C., Ma, Y., & Meltzer, A. C. (2019). Racial and ethnic  
4959 disparities in the management of acute pain in US emergency departments: Meta-analysis and  
4960 systematic review. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 37(9), 1770-1777.  
4961 doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2019.06.014

4962 Lewis, R. A., Williams, N. H., Sutton, A. J., Burton, K., Din, N. U., Matar, H. E., . . . Wilkinson, C. (2015).  
4963 Comparative clinical effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and  
4964 network meta-analyses. *Spine J*, 15(6), 1461-1477. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2013.08.049

4965 Liedgens, H., Hertel, N., Gabriel, A., Nuijten, M., Dakin, H., Mitchell, S., & Nautrup, B. P. (2008). Cost-  
4966 effectiveness analysis of a lidocaine 5% medicated plaster compared with gabapentin and

4967 pregabalin for treating postherpetic neuralgia: a german perspective. *Clinical Drug Investigation*,  
 4968 28(9), 583-601. doi:10.2165/00044011-200828090-00005  
 4969 Lin, C. C., Li, Q., Williams, C. M., Maher, C. G., Day, R. O., Hancock, M. J., . . . Jan, S. (2018). The economic  
 4970 burden of guideline-recommended first line care for acute low back pain. *European Spine*  
 4971 *Journal*, 27(1), 109-116. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00586-016-4781-0>  
 4972 Lin, C. W., Haas, M., Maher, C. G., Machado, L. A., & van Tulder, M. W. (2011). Cost-effectiveness of  
 4973 general practice care for low back pain: a systematic review. *European Spine Journal*, 20(7),  
 4974 1012-1023. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00586-010-1675-4>  
 4975 Lin, D. H., Jones, C. M., Compton, W. M., Heyward, J., Losby, J. L., Murimi, I. B., . . . Alexander, G. C.  
 4976 (2018). Prescription Drug Coverage for Treatment of Low Back Pain Among US Medicaid,  
 4977 Medicare Advantage, and Commercial Insurers. *JAMA Network Open*, 1(2), e180235-e180235.  
 4978 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.0235 %J JAMA Network Open  
 4979 Lin, D. H., Lucas, E., Murimi, I. B., Jackson, K., Baier, M., Frattaroli, S., . . . Alexander, G. C. (2017).  
 4980 Physician attitudes and experiences with Maryland's prescription drug monitoring program  
 4981 (PDMP). *Addiction*, 112(2), 311-319. doi:10.1111/add.13620  
 4982 Lind, J. N., Interrante, J. D., Ailes, E. C., Gilboa, S. M., Khan, S., Frey, M. T., . . . Broussard, C. S. (2017).  
 4983 Maternal Use of Opioids During Pregnancy and Congenital Malformations: A Systematic Review.  
 4984 *Pediatrics*, 139(6). doi:10.1542/peds.2016-4131  
 4985 Lipton, R. B., Reed, M. L., Kurth, T., Fanning, K. M., & Buse, D. C. (2017). Framingham-Based  
 4986 Cardiovascular Risk Estimates Among People With Episodic Migraine in the US Population:  
 4987 Results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) Study. *Headache*,  
 4988 57(10), 1507-1521. doi:10.1111/head.13179  
 4989 List, T., & Axelsson, S. (2010). Management of TMD: evidence from systematic reviews and meta-  
 4990 analyses. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*, 37(6), 430-451.  
 4991 Lloyd, A., Boomershine, C. S., Choy, E. H., Chandran, A., & Zlateva, G. (2012). The cost-effectiveness of  
 4992 pregabalin in the treatment of fibromyalgia: US perspective. *J Med Econ*, 15(3), 481-492.  
 4993 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2012.660254>  
 4994 Loder, E., Weizenbaum, E., Frishberg, B., & Silberstein, S. (2013). Choosing wisely in headache medicine:  
 4995 the American Headache Society's list of five things physicians and patients should question.  
 4996 *Headache*, 53(10), 1651-1659. doi:10.1111/head.12233  
 4997 Lofland, J. H., Kim, S. S., Batenhorst, A. S., Johnson, N. E., Chatterton, M. L., Cady, R. K., . . . Nash, D. B.  
 4998 (2001). Cost-effectiveness and cost-benefit of sumatriptan in patients with migraine. *Mayo Clin*  
 4999 *Proc*, 76(11), 1093-1101. doi:10.4065/76.11.1093  
 5000 Lofland, J. H., & Nash, D. B. (2005). Oral serotonin receptor agonists: a review of their cost effectiveness  
 5001 in migraine. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 23(3), 259-274. doi:10.2165/00019053-200523030-00006  
 5002 Luciano, J. V., D'Amico, F., Cerda-Lafont, M., Penarrubia-Maria, M. T., Knapp, M., Cuesta-Vargas, A. I., . . .  
 5003 Garcia-Campayo, J. (2014). Cost-utility of cognitive behavioral therapy versus U.S. Food and Drug  
 5004 Administration recommended drugs and usual care in the treatment of patients with  
 5005 fibromyalgia: an economic evaluation alongside a 6-month randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis*  
 5006 *Research & Therapy*, 16(5), 451. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13075-014-0451-y>  
 5007 Lugo, R. A., Satterfield, K. L., & Kern, S. E. (2005). Pharmacokinetics of Methadone. *Journal of Pain &*  
 5008 *Palliative Care Pharmacotherapy*, 19(4), 13-24. doi:10.1080/J354v19n04\_05  
 5009 Ly, D. P. (2019). Racial and Ethnic Disparities in the Evaluation and Management of Pain in the  
 5010 Outpatient Setting, 2006-2015. *Pain Med*, 20(2), 223-232. doi:10.1093/pm/pny074  
 5011 Macfarlane, G. J., Kronisch, C., Dean, L. E., Atzeni, F., Häuser, W., Fluß, E., . . . Jones, G. T. (2017). EULAR  
 5012 revised recommendations for the management of fibromyalgia. *Annals of the Rheumatic*  
 5013 *Diseases*, 76(2), 318-328. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-209724

5014 MacPherson, H., Vickers, A., Bland, M., Torgerson, D., Corbett, M., Spackman, E., . . . Watt, I. (2017).  
5015 Acupuncture for chronic pain and depression in primary care: a programme of research.  
5016 *Programme Grants Appl Res*, 5(3).

5017 Majedi, H., Dehghani, S. S., Soleyman-Jahi, S., Tafakhori, A., Emami, S. A., Mireskandari, M., & Hosseini,  
5018 S. M. (2019). Assessment of Factors Predicting Inadequate Pain Management in Chronic Pain  
5019 Patients. *Anesth Pain Med*, 9(6), e97229. doi:10.5812/aapm.97229

5020 Mallama, C. A., Greene, C., Alexandridis, A. A., McAninch, J. K., Dal Pan, G., & Meyer, T. (2021). Patient-  
5021 reported opioid analgesic use after discharge from surgical procedures: a systematic review.  
5022 *Pain Medicine*.

5023 Manhapra, A., Arias, A. J., & Ballantyne, J. C. (2018). The conundrum of opioid tapering in long-term  
5024 opioid therapy for chronic pain: A commentary. *Substance Abuse*, 39(2), 152-161.  
5025 doi:10.1080/08897077.2017.1381663

5026 Mankowski, C., Patel, S., Trueman, D., Bentley, A., & Poole, C. (2016). Cost-Effectiveness of Capsaicin 8%  
5027 Patch Compared with Pregabalin for the Treatment of Patients with Peripheral Neuropathic Pain  
5028 in Scotland. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*, 11(3), e0150973.  
5029 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150973>

5030 Mannion, A. F., Müntener, M., Taimela, S., & Dvorak, J. (1999). 1999 Volvo Award Winner in Clinical  
5031 Studies: A Randomized Clinical Trial of Three Active Therapies for Chronic Low Back Pain. 24(23),  
5032 2435. Retrieved from  
5033 [https://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/Fulltext/1999/12010/1999\\_Volvo\\_Award\\_Winner\\_in\\_Cli](https://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/Fulltext/1999/12010/1999_Volvo_Award_Winner_in_Clinical_Studies_A.4.aspx)  
5034 [nical\\_Studies\\_A.4.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/Fulltext/1999/12010/1999_Volvo_Award_Winner_in_Clinical_Studies_A.4.aspx)

5035 Mark, T. L., & Parish, W. (2019). Opioid medication discontinuation and risk of adverse opioid-related  
5036 healthcare events. *J Subst Abuse Treat*, 103, 58-63. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2019.05.001

5037 Mattick, R. P., Breen, C., Kimber, J., & Davoli, M. (2009). Methadone maintenance therapy versus no  
5038 opioid replacement therapy for opioid dependence. *The Cochrane database of systematic*  
5039 *reviews*, 2009(3), Cd002209. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002209.pub2

5040 Mattick, R. P., Breen, C., Kimber, J., & Davoli, M. (2014). Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or  
5041 methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. *The Cochrane database of systematic*  
5042 *reviews*(2), Cd002207. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002207.pub4

5043 McCarthy, D. M., Kim, H. S., Hur, S. I., Lank, P. M., Arroyo, C., Opsasnick, L. A., . . . Courtney, D. M. (2021).  
5044 Patient-reported opioid pill consumption after an ED visit: how many pills are people using? *Pain*  
5045 *Medicine*, 22(2), 292-302.

5046 McDonagh, M., Selph, S., Buckley, D., Holmes, R., Mauer, K., Ramirez, S., . . . Chou, R. (April 2020).  
5047 *Nonopioid Pharmacologic Treatments for Chronic Pain. Comparative Effectiveness Review No.*  
5048 *228. (Prepared by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-*  
5049 *2015-00009-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 20-EHC010.* Retrieved from Rockville, MD:

5050 McNeely, J., Wu, L.-T., Subramaniam, G., Sharma, G., Cathers, L. A., Svikis, D., . . . Sharma, A. (2016).  
5051 Performance of the tobacco, alcohol, prescription medication, and other substance use (TAPS)  
5052 tool for substance use screening in primary care patients. *Ann Intern Med*, 165(10), 690-699.

5053 Michelotti, A., Iodice, G., Vollaro, S., Steenks, M. H., & Farella, M. (2012). Evaluation of the short-term  
5054 effectiveness of education versus an occlusal splint for the treatment of myofascial pain of the  
5055 jaw muscles. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, 143(1), 47-53.

5056 Michigan Opioid Prescribing Engagement Network. Pediatric Prescribing Recommendations. Retrieved  
5057 from <https://michigan-open.org/prescribing-recommendations>

5058 Michigan Opioid Prescribing Engagement Network. (2020, February 25, 2020). Prescribing  
5059 Recommendations. Retrieved from <https://michigan-open.org/prescribing-recommendations/>

5060 Mikhail, C., Korner-Bitensky, N., Rossignol, M., & Dumas, J. P. (2005). Physical therapists' use of  
5061 interventions with high evidence of effectiveness in the management of a hypothetical typical



5062 patient with acute low back pain. *Physical Therapy*, 85(11), 1151-1167. Retrieved from  
5063 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16253045/>

5064 Mikosz, C. A., Zhang, K., Haegerich, T., Xu, L., Losby, J. L., Greenspan, A., . . . Dowell, D. (2020). Indication-  
5065 Specific Opioid Prescribing for US Patients With Medicaid or Private Insurance, 2017. *JAMA*  
5066 *Netw Open*, 3(5), e204514. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4514

5067 Miller, M., Barber, C. W., Leatherman, S., Fonda, J., Hermos, J. A., Cho, K., & Gagnon, D. R. (2015).  
5068 Prescription Opioid Duration of Action and the Risk of Unintentional Overdose Among Patients  
5069 Receiving Opioid Therapy. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 175(4), 608-615.  
5070 doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.8071 %J JAMA Internal Medicine

5071 Minozzi, S., Amato, L., Vecchi, S., Davoli, M., Kirchmayer, U., & Verster, A. (2011). Oral naltrexone  
5072 maintenance treatment for opioid dependence. *The Cochrane database of systematic*  
5073 *reviews*(2), Cd001333. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001333.pub3

5074 Miyamoto, G. C., Lin, C. C., Cabral, C. M. N., van Dongen, J. M., & van Tulder, M. W. (2019). Cost-  
5075 effectiveness of exercise therapy in the treatment of non-specific neck pain and low back pain: a  
5076 systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*, 53(3), 172-181.  
5077 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-098765>

5078 Montoy, J. C. C., Coralic, Z., Herring, A. A., Clattenburg, E. J., & Raven, M. C. (2020). Association of default  
5079 electronic medical record settings with healthcare professional patterns of opioid prescribing in  
5080 emergency departments: a randomized quality improvement study. *JAMA Internal Medicine*,  
5081 180(4), 487-493.

5082 Moore, P. A., & Hersh, E. V. (2013). Combining ibuprofen and acetaminophen for acute pain  
5083 management after third-molar extractions: translating clinical research to dental practice. *J Am*  
5084 *Dent Assoc*, 144(8), 898-908. doi:10.14219/jada.archive.2013.0207

5085 Morasco, B. J., Gritzner, S., Lewis, L., Oldham, R., Turk, D. C., & Dobscha, S. K. (2011). Systematic review  
5086 of prevalence, correlates, and treatment outcomes for chronic non-cancer pain in patients with  
5087 comorbid substance use disorder. *Pain*, 152(3), 488-497. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.10.009

5088 Morden, N. E., Chyn, D., Wood, A., & Meara, E. (2021). Racial Inequality in Prescription Opioid Receipt -  
5089 Role of Individual Health Systems. *N Engl J Med*, 385(4), 342-351. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa2034159

5090 Morera-Dominguez, C., Ceberio-Balda, F., Florez-Garcia, M., Masramon, X., & Lopez-Gomez, V. (2010). A  
5091 cost-consequence analysis of pregabalin versus usual care in the symptomatic treatment of  
5092 refractory low back pain: sub-analysis of observational trial data from orthopaedic surgery and  
5093 rehabilitation clinics. *Clinical Drug Investigation*, 30(8), 517-531.  
5094 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2165/11536280-000000000-00000>

5095 Mühlbacher, A. C., Junker, U., Junhke, C., Stemmler, E., Kohlmann, T., Leverkus, F., & Nübling, M. (2015).  
5096 Chronic pain patients' treatment preferences: a discrete-choice experiment. *Eur J Health Econ*,  
5097 16(6), 613-628. doi:10.1007/s10198-014-0614-4

5098 Mujakperuo, H. R., Watson, M., Morrison, R., & Macfarlane, T. V. (2010). Pharmacological interventions  
5099 for pain in patients with temporomandibular disorders. *Cochrane Database of Systematic*  
5100 *Reviews*(10).

5101 Mullins, C. D., Subedi, P. R., Healey, P. J., & Sanchez, R. J. (2007). Economic analysis of triptan therapy for  
5102 acute migraine: a Medicaid perspective. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology*  
5103 *& Drug Therapy*, 27(8), 1092-1101. doi:10.1592/phco.27.8.1092

5104 Mundkur, M. L., Franklin, J. M., Abdia, Y., Huybrechts, K. F., Patorno, E., Gagne, J. J., . . . Bateman, B. T.  
5105 (2019). Days' Supply of Initial Opioid Analgesic Prescriptions and Additional Fills for Acute Pain  
5106 Conditions Treated in the Primary Care Setting - United States, 2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly*  
5107 *Rep*, 68(6), 140-143. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6806a3

5108 Murphy, S. M., & Polsky, D. (2016). Economic Evaluations of Opioid Use Disorder Interventions.  
5109 *Pharmacoeconomics*, 34(9), 863-887. doi:10.1007/s40273-016-0400-5

5110 Naliboff, B. D., Wu, S. M., Schieffer, B., Bolus, R., Pham, Q., Baria, A., . . . Shekelle, P. (2011). A  
 5111 randomized trial of 2 prescription strategies for opioid treatment of chronic nonmalignant pain.  
 5112 *Journal of Pain*, 12(2), 288-296. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2010.09.003  
 5113 National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. (2020). *Framing Opioid Prescribing*  
 5114 *Guidelines for Acute Pain: Developing the Evidence*. Washington, DC: The National Academies  
 5115 Press.  
 5116 National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on  
 5117 Health Sciences Policy, & Committee on Pain Management and Regulatory Strategies to Address  
 5118 Prescription Opioid Abuse. (2017). Pain Management and the Opioid Epidemic: Balancing  
 5119 Societal and Individual Benefits and Risks of Prescription Opioid Use. In J. K. Phillips, M. A. Ford,  
 5120 & R. J. Bonnie (Eds.), *Pain Management and the Opioid Epidemic: Balancing Societal and*  
 5121 *Individual Benefits and Risks of Prescription Opioid Use*. Washington (DC): National Academies  
 5122 Press (US).  
 5123 National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Adolescent and young  
 5124 adult (AYA) oncology, version 1.2019. Retrieved from <http://www.NCCN.org>  
 5125 National Conference of State Legislatures. (June 30, 2019.). Prescribing Policies: States Confront Opioid  
 5126 Overdose Epidemic. Retrieved from [http://www.ncsl.org/research/health/prescribing-policies-](http://www.ncsl.org/research/health/prescribing-policies-states-confront-opioid-overdose-epidemic.aspx)  
 5127 [states-confront-opioid-overdose-epidemic.aspx](http://www.ncsl.org/research/health/prescribing-policies-states-confront-opioid-overdose-epidemic.aspx)  
 5128 National Opioid Use Guideline Group. (2010). Canadian guideline for safe and effective use of opioids for  
 5129 chronic non-cancer pain. Retrieved from  
 5130 <http://nationalpaincentre.mcmaster.ca/opioid/documents.html>  
 5131 Nelson, S. C., & Hackman, H. W. (2013). Race matters: Perceptions of race and racism in a sickle cell  
 5132 center. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 60(3), 451-454. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.24361>  
 5133 Neuman, M. D., Bateman, B. T., & Wunsch, H. (2019). Inappropriate opioid prescription after surgery.  
 5134 *Lancet*, 393(10180), 1547-1557. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(19)30428-3  
 5135 Neumann, A. M., Blondell, R. D., Jaanimägi, U., Giambrone, A. K., Homish, G. G., Lozano, J. R., . . .  
 5136 Azadfard, M. (2013). A preliminary study comparing methadone and buprenorphine in patients  
 5137 with chronic pain and coexistent opioid addiction. *J Addict Dis*, 32(1), 68-78.  
 5138 doi:10.1080/10550887.2012.759872  
 5139 O'Connor, A. B. (2009). Neuropathic pain: quality-of-life impact, costs and cost effectiveness of therapy.  
 5140 *Pharmacoeconomics*, 27(2), 95-112. doi:[https://dx.doi.org/10.2165/00019053-200927020-](https://dx.doi.org/10.2165/00019053-200927020-00002)  
 5141 [00002](https://dx.doi.org/10.2165/00019053-200927020-00002)  
 5142 Office of Minority Health, U. S. D. o. H. a. H. S. (2021). Behavioral Health Implementation Guide for the  
 5143 National Standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services in Health and  
 5144 Healthcare. Retrieved from  
 5145 [https://www.minorityhealth.hhs.gov/Assets/PDF/cas%20standards%20doc\\_v06.28.21.pdf](https://www.minorityhealth.hhs.gov/Assets/PDF/cas%20standards%20doc_v06.28.21.pdf)  
 5146 Oliva, E. M., Bowe, T., Manhapra, A., Kertesz, S., Hah, J. M., Henderson, P., . . . Trafton, J. A. (2020).  
 5147 Associations between stopping prescriptions for opioids, length of opioid treatment, and  
 5148 overdose or suicide deaths in US veterans: observational evaluation. *Bmj*, 368, m283.  
 5149 doi:10.1136/bmj.m283  
 5150 Oliva, J. (2021). Dosing Discrimination: Regulating PDMP Risk Scores. *110 California Law Review* \_\_\_\_  
 5151 (forthcoming 2022). Retrieved from <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3768774>  
 5152 Oppong, R., Jowett, S., Nicholls, E., Whitehurst, D. G., Hill, S., Hammond, A., . . . Dziedzic, K. (2015). Joint  
 5153 protection and hand exercises for hand osteoarthritis: an economic evaluation comparing  
 5154 methods for the analysis of factorial trials. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*, 54(5), 876-883.  
 5155 Ostelo, R. W., Deyo, R. A., Stratford, P., Waddell, G., Croft, P., Von Korff, M., . . . de Vet, H. C. (2008).  
 5156 Interpreting change scores for pain and functional status in low back pain: towards international

consensus regarding minimal important change. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 33(1), 90-94.  
doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31815e3a10

Overton, H. N., Hanna, M. N., Bruhn, W. E., Hutfless, S., Bicket, M. C., & Makary, M. A. (2018). Opioid-Prescribing Guidelines for Common Surgical Procedures: An Expert Panel Consensus. *J Am Coll Surg*, 227(4), 411-418. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2018.07.659

Pade, P. A., Cardon, K. E., Hoffman, R. M., & Geppert, C. M. (2012). Prescription opioid abuse, chronic pain, and primary care: a Co-occurring Disorders Clinic in the chronic disease model. *J Subst Abuse Treat*, 43(4), 446-450. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2012.08.010

Paice, J. A., Portenoy, R., Lacchetti, C., Campbell, T., Cheville, A., Citron, M., . . . Bruera, E. (2016). Management of Chronic Pain in Survivors of Adult Cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol*, 34(27), 3325-3345. doi:10.1200/jco.2016.68.5206

Pain News Network. (2017). CDC Survey Results. Retrieved from <https://www.painnewsnetwork.org/2017-cdc-survey/>

Paone, D., Tuazon, E., Stajic, M., Sampson, B., Allen, B., Mantha, S., & Kunins, H. (2015). Buprenorphine infrequently found in fatal overdose in New York City. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 155, 298-301. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.08.007

Paquin, A. M., Zimmerman, K., & Rudolph, J. L. (2014). Risk versus risk: a review of benzodiazepine reduction in older adults. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, 13(7), 919-934. doi:10.1517/14740338.2014.925444

Park, T. W., Saitz, R., Ganoczy, D., Ilgen, M. A., & Bohnert, A. S. B. (2015). Benzodiazepine prescribing patterns and deaths from drug overdose among US veterans receiving opioid analgesics: case-cohort study. 350, h2698. doi:10.1136/bmj.h2698 %J BMJ : British Medical Journal

Parker, L., Huelin, R., Khankhel, Z., Wasiak, R., & Mould, J. (2015). A systematic review of pharmaco-economic studies for pregabalin. *Pain Practice*, 15(1), 82-94. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/papr.12193>

Patel, T., Chang, F., Mohammed, H. T., Raman-Wilms, L., Jurcic, J., Khan, A., & Sproule, B. (2016). Knowledge, perceptions, and attitudes toward chronic pain and its management: A cross-sectional survey of frontline pharmacists in Ontario, Canada. *PLOS ONE*, 11(6), e0157151.

Pathan, S. A., Mitra, B., & Cameron, P. A. (2018). A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Comparing the Efficacy of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs, Opioids, and Paracetamol in the Treatment of Acute Renal Colic. *Eur Urol*, 73(4), 583-595. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2017.11.001

Patrick, S. W., Barfield, W. D., & Poindexter, B. B. (2020). Neonatal Opioid Withdrawal Syndrome. *Pediatrics*, 146(5). doi:10.1542/peds.2020-029074

Paulozzi, L., Jones, C., Mack, K., & Rudd, R. (2011). Vital signs: overdoses of prescription opioid pain relievers---United States, 1999--2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 60(43), 1487-1492.

Paulozzi, L., Mack, K., & Jones, C. (2012). Vital signs: risk for overdose from methadone used for pain relief - United States, 1999-2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 61(26), 493-497.

Pearce, L. A., Min, J. E., Piske, M., Zhou, H., Homayra, F., Slaunwhite, A., . . . Nosyk, B. (2020). Opioid agonist treatment and risk of mortality during opioid overdose public health emergency: population based retrospective cohort study. *Bmj*, 368, m772. doi:10.1136/bmj.m772

Peckham, A. M., Fairman, K. A., & Sclar, D. A. (2018). All-Cause and Drug-Related Medical Events Associated with Overuse of Gabapentin and/or Opioid Medications: A Retrospective Cohort Analysis of a Commercially Insured US Population. *Drug Saf*, 41(2), 213-228. doi:10.1007/s40264-017-0595-1

Perfetto, E. M., Weis, K. A., Mullins, C. D., Subedi, P., & Healey, P. J., Sr. (2005). An economic evaluation of triptan products for migraine. *Value in Health*, 8(6), 647-655. doi:10.1111/j.1524-4733.2005.00056.x



5205 Petrosky, E., Harpaz, R., Fowler, K. A., Bohm, M. K., Helmick, C. G., Yuan, K., & Betz, C. J. (2018). Chronic  
5206 Pain Among Suicide Decedents, 2003 to 2014: Findings From the National Violent Death  
5207 Reporting System. *Ann Intern Med*, 169(7), 448-455. doi:10.7326/m18-0830  
5208 Pletcher, M. J., Kertesz, S. G., Kohn, M. A., & Gonzales, R. (2008). Trends in opioid prescribing by  
5209 race/ethnicity for patients seeking care in US emergency departments. *Jama*, 299(1), 70-78.  
5210 doi:10.1001/jama.2007.64  
5211 Prunuske, J. P., St Hill, C. A., Hager, K. D., Lemieux, A. M., Swanoski, M. T., Anderson, G. W., & Lutfiyya,  
5212 M. N. (2014). Opioid prescribing patterns for non-malignant chronic pain for rural versus non-  
5213 rural US adults: a population-based study using 2010 NAMCS data. *BMC Health Serv Res*, 14,  
5214 563. doi:10.1186/s12913-014-0563-8  
5215 Qaseem, A., McLean, R. M., O'Gurek, D., Batur, P., Lin, K., & Kansagara, D. L. (2020). Nonpharmacologic  
5216 and Pharmacologic Management of Acute Pain From Non-Low Back, Musculoskeletal Injuries in  
5217 Adults: A Clinical Guideline From the American College of Physicians and American Academy of  
5218 Family Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*, 173(9), 739-748. doi:10.7326/m19-3602  
5219 Qaseem, A., Wilt, T. J., McLean, R. M., & Forciea, M. A. (2017). Noninvasive Treatments for Acute,  
5220 Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of  
5221 Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*, 166(7), 514-530. doi:10.7326/m16-2367  
5222 Racine, M. (2018). Chronic pain and suicide risk: A comprehensive review. *Progress in Neuro-*  
5223 *Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 87, 269-280.  
5224 Randhawa, P. A., Brar, R., & Nolan, S. (2020). Buprenorphine-naloxone "microdosing": an alternative  
5225 induction approach for the treatment of opioid use disorder in the wake of North America's  
5226 increasingly potent illicit drug market. *Cmaj*, 192(3), E73. doi:10.1503/cmaj.74018  
5227 Ray, W. A., Chung, C. P., Murray, K. T., Hall, K., & Stein, C. M. (2016). Prescription of Long-Acting Opioids  
5228 and Mortality in Patients With Chronic Noncancer Pain. *Jama*, 315(22), 2415-2423.  
5229 doi:10.1001/jama.2016.7789  
5230 Razouki, Z., Khokhar, B. A., Philpot, L. M., & Ebbert, J. O. (2019). Attributes, Attitudes, and Practices of  
5231 Clinicians Concerned with Opioid Prescribing. *Pain Med*, 20(10), 1934-1941.  
5232 doi:10.1093/pm/pny204  
5233 Reid, M. C., Engles-Horton, L. L., Weber, M. B., Kerns, R. D., Rogers, E. L., & O'Connor, P. G. (2002). Use  
5234 of opioid medications for chronic noncancer pain syndromes in primary care. *J Gen Intern Med*,  
5235 17(3), 173-179. doi:10.1046/j.1525-1497.2002.10435.x  
5236 Reinert, D. F., & Allen, J. P. (2007). The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: An Update of Research  
5237 Findings. 31(2), 185-199. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2006.00295.x>  
5238 Reznikoff, C. (2018). How acute pain leads to chronic opioid use. *Cleve Clin J Med*, 85(11), 837-841.  
5239 doi:10.3949/ccjm.85a.18038  
5240 Rich, R., Chou, R., Mariano, E. R., Legreid Dopp, A., Sullenger, R., Burstin, H., & and the Pain  
5241 Management Guidelines and Evidence Standards Working Group of the Action Collaborative on  
5242 Countering the U.S. Opioid Epidemic. (2020). Best Practices, Research Gaps, and Future Priorities  
5243 to Support Tapering Patients on Long-Term Opioid Therapy for Chronic Non-Cancer Pain in  
5244 Outpatient Settings. *NAM Perspectives. Discussion Paper, National Academy of Medicine,*  
5245 *Washington, DC.* doi:<https://doi.org/10.31478/202008c>  
5246 Robbins, J. L., Englander, H., & Gregg, J. (2021). Buprenorphine Microdose Induction for the  
5247 Management of Prescription Opioid Dependence. *J Am Board Fam Med*, 34(Suppl), S141-s146.  
5248 doi:10.3122/jabfm.2021.S1.200236  
5249 Robinson, K. A., Thiels, C. A., Stokes, S., Duncan, S., Feranil, M., Fleishman, A., . . . Habermann, E. B.  
5250 (2020). Comparing Clinician Consensus Recommendations to Patient-reported Opioid Use  
5251 Across Multiple Hospital Systems. *Ann Surg*.

Robinson, R. L., & Jones, M. L. (2006). In search of pharmacoeconomic evaluations for fibromyalgia treatments: a review. *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy*, 7(8), 1027-1039. doi:10.1517/14656566.7.8.1027

Rogerson, M. D., Gatchel, R. J., & Bierner, S. M. (2010). A cost utility analysis of interdisciplinary early intervention versus treatment as usual for high-risk acute low back pain patients. *Pain Practice*, 10(5), 382-395. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1533-2500.2009.00344.x>

Roland, M., & Morris, R. (1983). A study of the natural history of back pain. Part 1: Development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 8, 141-144.

Rosenberg, E. I., Genao, I., Chen, I., Mechaber, A. J., Wood, J. A., Faselis, C. J., . . . Cykert, S. (2008). Complementary and alternative medicine use by primary care patients with chronic pain. *Pain Medicine*, 9(8), 1065-1072.

Rupp, T., & Delaney, K. A. (2004). Inadequate analgesia in emergency medicine. *Ann Emerg Med*, 43(4), 494-503.

Sabin, J. A., & Greenwald, A. G. (2012). The influence of implicit bias on treatment recommendations for 4 common pediatric conditions: pain, urinary tract infection, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and asthma. *Am J Public Health*, 102(5), 988-995. doi:10.2105/ajph.2011.300621

Saitz, R., Cheng, D. M., Allensworth-Davies, D., Winter, M. R., & Smith, P. C. (2014). The ability of single screening questions for unhealthy alcohol and other drug use to identify substance dependence in primary care. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*, 75(1), 153-157. doi:10.15288/jsad.2014.75.153

Saluja, B., & Bryant, Z. (2021). How Implicit Bias Contributes to Racial Disparities in Maternal Morbidity and Mortality in the United States. *Journal of Women's Health*, 30(2), 270-273. doi:10.1089/jwh.2020.8874

Santilli, V., Beghi, E., & Finucci, S. (2006). Chiropractic manipulation in the treatment of acute back pain and sciatica with disc protrusion: a randomized double-blind clinical trial of active and simulated spinal manipulations. *Spine J*, 6(2), 131-137. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2005.08.001

Schappert, S. M., & Burt, C. W. (2006). Ambulatory care visits to physician offices, hospital outpatient departments, and emergency departments: United States, 2001-02. *Vital Health Stat* 13(159), 1-66.

Schatz, A. A., Oliver, T. K., Swarm, R. A., Paice, J. A., Darbari, D. S., Dowell, D., . . . Carlson, R. W. (2020). Bridging the Gap Among Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pain Management in Cancer and Sickle Cell Disease. *18*(4), 392. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2019.7379

Scherrer, J. F., Salas, J., Copeland, L. A., Stock, E. M., Ahmedani, B. K., Sullivan, M. D., . . . Lustman, P. J. (2016). Prescription opioid duration, dose, and increased risk of depression in 3 large patient populations. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 14(1), 54-62.

Scherrer, J. F., Salas, J., Sullivan, M. D., Schneider, F. D., Bucholz, K. K., Burroughs, T., . . . Lustman, P. J. (2016). The influence of prescription opioid use duration and dose on development of treatment resistant depression. *Preventive medicine*, 91, 110-116.

Schieber, L. Z., Guy, G. P., Jr., Seth, P., & Losby, J. L. (2020). Variation in Adult Outpatient Opioid Prescription Dispensing by Age and Sex - United States, 2008-2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 69(11), 298-302. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6911a5

Schieber, L. Z., Guy, G. P., Jr., Seth, P., Young, R., Mattson, C. L., Mikosz, C. A., & Schieber, R. A. (2019). Trends and Patterns of Geographic Variation in Opioid Prescribing Practices by State, United States, 2006-2017. *JAMA Netw Open*, 2(3), e190665. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.0665

Seferlis, T., Lindholm, L., & Nemeth, G. (2000). Cost-minimisation analysis of three conservative treatment programmes in 180 patients sick-listed for acute low-back pain. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Healthcare*, 18(1), 53-57. doi:10.1080/02813430050202578

Sevick, M. A., Bradham, D. D., Muender, M., Chen, G. J., Enarson, C., Dailey, M., & Ettinger, W. H., Jr. (2000). Cost-effectiveness of aerobic and resistance exercise in seniors with knee osteoarthritis.

5300 *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 32(9), 1534-1540. doi:10.1097/00005768-200009000-  
5301 00002

5302 Sevic, M. A., Miller, G. D., Loeser, R. F., Williamson, J. D., & Messier, S. P. (2009). Cost-effectiveness of  
5303 exercise and diet in overweight and obese adults with knee osteoarthritis. *Medicine & Science in*  
5304 *Sports & Exercise*, 41(6), 1167-1174. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318197ece7>

5305 Shah, A., Hayes, C. J., & Martin, B. C. (2017). Characteristics of Initial Prescription Episodes and  
5306 Likelihood of Long-Term Opioid Use - United States, 2006-2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*,  
5307 66(10), 265-269. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6610a1

5308 Shapiro, R. E., Hochstetler, H. M., Dennehy, E. B., Khanna, R., Doty, E. G., Berg, P. H., & Starling, A. J.  
5309 (2019). Lasmitan for acute treatment of migraine in patients with cardiovascular risk factors:  
5310 post-hoc analysis of pooled results from 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase  
5311 3 trials. *Journal of Headache & Pain*, 20(1), 90. doi:10.1186/s10194-019-1044-6

5312 Shin, J. S., Ha, I. H., Lee, J., Choi, Y., Kim, M. R., Park, B. Y., . . . Lee, M. S. (2013). Effects of motion style  
5313 acupuncture treatment in acute low back pain patients with severe disability: a multicenter,  
5314 randomized, controlled, comparative effectiveness trial. *Pain*, 154(7), 1030-1037.  
5315 doi:10.1016/j.pain.2013.03.013

5316 Shoemaker-Hunt, S., Sargent, W. J., Swan, H., Mikosz, C., Cobb, K., McDonald, D., . . . Losby, J. (2021).  
5317 Developing Clinical Quality Improvement Measures Aligned With the CDC Guideline for  
5318 Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain: An Important Strategy to Support Safer Prescribing in  
5319 Primary Care. *American Journal of Medical Quality*, 36(2), 125-128.  
5320 doi:10.1177/1062860620944472

5321 Sierpina, V., Levine, R., Astin, J., & Tan, A. (2007). Use of mind-body therapies in psychiatry and family  
5322 medicine faculty and residents: attitudes, barriers, and gender differences. *Explore: The Journal*  
5323 *of Science & Healing*, 3(2), 129-135. doi:10.1016/j.explore.2006.12.001

5324 Simon, R., Snow, R., & Wakeman, S. (2020). Understanding why patients with substance use disorders  
5325 leave the hospital against medical advice: a qualitative study. *Substance Abuse*, 41(4), 519-525.

5326 Skelly, A., Chou, R., Dettori, J., Turner, J., Friedly, J., Rundell, S., . . . Ferguson, A. (April 2020). *Noninvasive*  
5327 *Nonpharmacological Treatment for Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review Update. Comparative*  
5328 *Effectiveness Review No. 227. (Prepared by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center*  
5329 *under Contract No. 290-2015-00009-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 20-EHC009*. Retrieved from  
5330 Rockville, MD:

5331 Skelly, A., Chou, R., Dettori, J. R., Turner, J. A., Friedly, J. L., Rundell, S. D., . . . Ferguson, A. J. R. (2018).  
5332 AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. In *Noninvasive Nonpharmacological Treatment for*  
5333 *Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review*. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality  
5334 (US).

5335 Smith, M. T., Edwards, R. R., Robinson, R. C., & Dworkin, R. H. (2004). Suicidal ideation, plans, and  
5336 attempts in chronic pain patients: factors associated with increased risk. *Pain*, 111(1-2), 201-  
5337 208. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2004.06.016

5338 Smith, P. C., Schmidt, S. M., Allensworth-Davies, D., & Saitz, R. (2010). A single-question screening test  
5339 for drug use in primary care. *Arch Intern Med*, 170(13), 1155-1160.  
5340 doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.140

5341 Soares, W. E., 3rd, Knowles, K. J., 2nd, & Friedmann, P. D. (2019). A Thousand Cuts: Racial and Ethnic  
5342 Disparities in Emergency Medicine. *Med Care*, 57(12), 921-923.  
5343 doi:10.1097/mlr.0000000000001250

5344 Soeffing, J. M., Martin, L. D., Fingerhood, M. I., Jasinski, D. R., & Rastegar, D. A. (2009). Buprenorphine  
5345 maintenance treatment in a primary care setting: outcomes at 1 year. *J Subst Abuse Treat*, 37(4),  
5346 426-430. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2009.05.003

SpecGx LLC. (2021). Hydrocodone Bitartrate and Acetaminophen - hydrocodone bitartrate and acetaminophen tablet. Retrieved from [https://dailymed.nlm.nih.gov/dailymed/fda/fdaDrugXsl.cfm?setid=d621b526-4d9a-48a9-9a3e-d29d6aea2f31&type=display#LINK\\_f1b36741-318c-44d7-a2bc-b7435530b1e4](https://dailymed.nlm.nih.gov/dailymed/fda/fdaDrugXsl.cfm?setid=d621b526-4d9a-48a9-9a3e-d29d6aea2f31&type=display#LINK_f1b36741-318c-44d7-a2bc-b7435530b1e4)

Stagnitti, M. N. (2001). Trends in Prescribed Outpatient Opioid Use and Expenses in the U.S. Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2002-2012. In *Statistical Brief (Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (US))*. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US).

Starrels, J. L., Fox, A. D., Kunins, H. V., & Cunningham, C. O. (2012). They Don't Know What They Don't Know: Internal Medicine Residents' Knowledge and Confidence in Urine Drug Test Interpretation for Patients with Chronic Pain. *J Gen Intern Med*, 27(11), 1521-1527. doi:10.1007/s11606-012-2165-7

State of Washington Department of Health. (2019). *Clarification of Opioid Prescribing Rules*. Retrieved from [https://content.govdelivery.com/attachments/WADOH/2019/09/25/file\\_attachments/1292295/Clarification-opioid-rules\\_9-20-2019.pdf](https://content.govdelivery.com/attachments/WADOH/2019/09/25/file_attachments/1292295/Clarification-opioid-rules_9-20-2019.pdf)

Stringer, J., Welsh, C., & Tommasello, A. (2009). Methadone-associated Q-T interval prolongation and torsades de pointes. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 66(9), 825-833. doi:10.2146/ajhp070392

Sturgeon, J. A., Sullivan, M. D., Parker-Shames, S., Tauben, D., & Coelho, P. (2020). Outcomes in Long-term Opioid Tapering and Buprenorphine Transition: A Retrospective Clinical Data Analysis. *Pain Med*, 21(12), 3635-3644. doi:10.1093/pm/pnaa029

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2018a). Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants. Retrieved from <https://store.samhsa.gov/product/Clinical-Guidance-for-Treating-Pregnant-and-Parenting-Women-With-Opioid-Use-Disorder-and-Their-Infants/SMA18-5054>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2018b). *Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants*. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 18-5054. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Retrieved from <https://store.samhsa.gov/product/Clinical-Guidance-for-Treating-Pregnant-and-Parenting-Women-With-Opioid-Use-Disorder-and-Their-Infants/SMA18-5054>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2019). *Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs – Oral/Fluid*. Federal Register Retrieved from <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/10/25/2019-22684/mandatory-guidelines-for-federal-workplace-drug-testing-programs-oralfluid?fbclid=IwARON7UQnwDvV2Gb4kHNAPkziO074n8AJHmy4KqkKuTc2iy81BoTHb806mU>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2020). Key substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (HHS Publication No. PEP20-07-01-001, NSDUH Series H-55). Retrieved from <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2021a). Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2020 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. Retrieved from <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2021b, 5/14/2021). Medication-Assisted Treatment: Become a Buprenorphine Waivered Practitioner. Retrieved from <https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/become-buprenorphine-waivered-practitioner>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2021c). *Substance Abuse and Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) on Medications for Opioid Use Disorder* Retrieved from



<https://store.samhsa.gov/product/TIP-63-Medications-for-Opioid-Use-Disorder-Full-Documents/PEP21-02-01-002>  
 Sullivan, M. D. (2018). Depression Effects on Long-term Prescription Opioid Use, Abuse, and Addiction. *Clin J Pain*, 34(9), 878-884. doi:10.1097/ajp.0000000000000603  
 Sullivan, M. D., Edlund, M. J., Zhang, L., Unützer, J., & Wells, K. B. (2006). Association between mental health disorders, problem drug use, and regular prescription opioid use. *Arch Intern Med*, 166(19), 2087-2093. doi:10.1001/archinte.166.19.2087  
 Sullivan, M. D., Turner, J. A., DiLodovico, C., D'Appollonio, A., Stephens, K., & Chan, Y. F. (2017). Prescription Opioid Taper Support for Outpatients With Chronic Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Pain*, 18(3), 308-318. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2016.11.003  
 Sun, E. C., Darnall, B. D., Baker, L. C., & Mackey, S. (2016). Incidence of and Risk Factors for Chronic Opioid Use Among Opioid-Naïve Patients in the Postoperative Period. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 176(9), 1286-1293. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.3298  
 Sun, E. C., Dixit, A., Humphreys, K., Darnall, B. D., Baker, L. C., & Mackey, S. (2017). Association between concurrent use of prescription opioids and benzodiazepines and overdose: retrospective analysis. *Bmj*, 356, j760. doi:10.1136/bmj.j760  
 Sun, P., Peng, X., Sun, S., Novick, D., Faries, D. E., Andrews, J. S., . . . Wu, A. (2014). Direct medical costs and medication compliance among fibromyalgia patients: duloxetine initiators vs. pregabalin initiators. *Pain Practice*, 14(1), 22-31. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/papr.12044>  
 Suni, J. H., Kolu, P., Tokola, K., Raitanen, J., Rinne, M., Taulaniemi, A., . . . Kankaanpää, M. (2018). Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of neuromuscular exercise and back care counseling in female healthcare workers with recurrent non-specific low back pain: a blinded four-arm randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*, 18(1), 1376. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6293-9>  
 Swarm, R. A., Paice, J. A., Anghelescu, D. L., Are, M., Bruce, J. Y., Buga, S., . . . Gurski, L. A. (2019). Adult Cancer Pain, Version 3.2019, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*, 17(8), 977-1007. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2019.0038  
 Swenson, C., Prashar, N., Mangino, A., Thode, H. C., & Singer, A. J. (2019). Preference for opioids in emergency department patients with acute musculoskeletal pain. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 37(4), 730-732. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.12.057>  
 Tamboli, M., Mariano, E. R., Gustafson, K. E., Briones, B. L., Hunter, O. O., Wang, R. R., . . . Giori, N. J. (2020). A Multidisciplinary Patient-Specific Opioid Prescribing and Tapering Protocol Is Associated with a Decrease in Total Opioid Dose Prescribed for Six Weeks After Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Pain Med*, 21(7), 1474-1481. doi:10.1093/pm/pnz260  
 Tarride, J. E., Gordon, A., Vera-Llonch, M., Dukes, E., & Rousseau, C. (2006). Cost-effectiveness of pregabalin for the management of neuropathic pain associated with diabetic peripheral neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia: a Canadian perspective. *Clinical Therapeutics*, 28(11), 1922-1934. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2006.11.017  
 Teichman, J. M. (2004). Clinical practice. Acute renal colic from ureteral calculus. *N Engl J Med*, 350(7), 684-693. doi:10.1056/NEJMc030813  
 The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. (2021). Pharmacologic Stepwise Multimodal Approach for Postpartum Pain Management: ACOG Clinical Consensus No. 1. *Obstet Gynecol*, 138(3), 507-517. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000004517  
 The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice, & American Society of Addiction Medicine. (2017). ACOG Committee Opinion No. 711: Opioid Use and Opioid Use Disorder in Pregnancy. Retrieved from <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/committee-opinion/articles/2017/08/opioid-use-and-opioid-use-disorder-in-pregnancy>

5443 The Interagency Pain Research Coordinating Committee. (2015). Draft of the National Pain Strategy: a  
5444 comprehensive population health level strategy for pain. Retrieved from  
5445 [http://iprcc.nih.gov/National\\_Pain\\_Strategy/NPS\\_Main.htm](http://iprcc.nih.gov/National_Pain_Strategy/NPS_Main.htm)  
5446 Thiels, C. A., Ubl, D. S., Yost, K. J., Dowdy, S. C., Mabry, T. M., Gazelka, H. M., . . . Habermann, E. B.  
5447 (2018). Results of a prospective, multicenter initiative aimed at developing opioid-prescribing  
5448 guidelines after surgery. *Ann Surg*, 268(3), 457-468.  
5449 Tighe, P., Buckenmaier, C. C., 3rd, Boezaart, A. P., Carr, D. B., Clark, L. L., Herring, A. A., . . . Reisfield, G.  
5450 M. (2015). Acute Pain Medicine in the United States: A Status Report. *Pain Med*, 16(9), 1806-  
5451 1826. doi:10.1111/pme.12760  
5452 Tsertsvadze, A., Clar, C., Court, R., Clarke, A., Mistry, H., & Sutcliffe, P. (2014). Cost-effectiveness of  
5453 manual therapy for the management of musculoskeletal conditions: a systematic review and  
5454 narrative synthesis of evidence from randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Manipulative &*  
5455 *Physiological Therapeutics*, 37(6), 343-362. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2014.05.001>  
5456 Turk, D., Boerl, M., Abraham, L., Hauber, B., Atkinson, J., Bushmakina, A. G., . . . A., W. D. (2020). Patient  
5457 preferences for attributes of treatments for chronic pain associated with osteoarthritis pain and  
5458 chronic low back pain treatments in the United States: a discrete-choice experiment.  
5459 *Osteoarthritis & Cartilage*, 28, 1202-1213. doi:10.1002/art.v71.s10  
5460 Turner, B. J., & Liang, Y. (2015). Drug Overdose in a Retrospective Cohort with Non-Cancer Pain Treated  
5461 with Opioids, Antidepressants, and/or Sedative-Hypnotics: Interactions with Mental Health  
5462 Disorders. *J Gen Intern Med*, 30(8), 1081-1096. doi:10.1007/s11606-015-3199-4  
5463 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2019a). HHS guide for clinicians on the appropriate  
5464 dosage reduction or discontinuation of long-term opioid analgesics. Retrieved from  
5465 <https://www.hhs.gov/opioids/treatment/clinicians-guide-opioid-dosage-reduction/index.html>  
5466 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2019b). *Pain Management Best Practices Inter-Agency*  
5467 *Task Force Report: Updates, Gaps, Inconsistencies, and Recommendations*. Retrieved from  
5468 <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/pmtf-final-report-2019-05-23.pdf>  
5469 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2020). *Substance Use Disorder Prevention that*  
5470 *Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities (SUPPORT) Act Section*  
5471 *7024: Report to Congress on Opioid Prescribing Limits*. Retrieved from waiting for FDA link  
5472 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2021, 4/28/2021). Practice Guidelines for the  
5473 Administration of Buprenorphine for Treating Opioid Use Disorder. Retrieved from  
5474 [https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/04/28/2021-08961/practice-guidelines-for-](https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/04/28/2021-08961/practice-guidelines-for-the-administration-of-buprenorphine-for-treating-opioid-use-disorder)  
5475 [the-administration-of-buprenorphine-for-treating-opioid-use-disorder](https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/04/28/2021-08961/practice-guidelines-for-the-administration-of-buprenorphine-for-treating-opioid-use-disorder)  
5476 U.S. Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration. *Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations Part*  
5477 *1306. §1306.07 Administering or dispensing of narcotic drugs*. U.S. Department of Justice  
5478 Retrieved from [https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/21cfr/cfr/1306/1306\\_07.htm](https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/21cfr/cfr/1306/1306_07.htm)  
5479 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense. (2015). Clinical Practice Guideline for  
5480 the Management of Substance Use Disorders. Retrieved from  
5481 <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/MH/sud/>  
5482 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense. (2017). *VA/DoD Clinical Practice*  
5483 *Guideline for Opioid Therapy for Chronic Pain. Version 3.0* Retrieved from  
5484 [https://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/nchav/resources/docs/mental-health/substance-](https://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/nchav/resources/docs/mental-health/substance-abuse/VA_DoD-CLINICAL-PRACTICE-GUIDELINE-FOR-OPIOID-THERAPY-FOR-CHRONIC-PAIN-508.pdf)  
5485 [abuse/VA\\_DoD-CLINICAL-PRACTICE-GUIDELINE-FOR-OPIOID-THERAPY-FOR-CHRONIC-PAIN-](https://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/nchav/resources/docs/mental-health/substance-abuse/VA_DoD-CLINICAL-PRACTICE-GUIDELINE-FOR-OPIOID-THERAPY-FOR-CHRONIC-PAIN-508.pdf)  
5486 [508.pdf](https://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/nchav/resources/docs/mental-health/substance-abuse/VA_DoD-CLINICAL-PRACTICE-GUIDELINE-FOR-OPIOID-THERAPY-FOR-CHRONIC-PAIN-508.pdf)  
5487 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2007). Public Health Advisory: Important Information for the Safe  
5488 Use of Fentora (fentanyl buccal tablets). Retrieved from  
5489 <https://web.archive.org/web/20130722145715/http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/Pos>

5490 tmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/DrugSafetyInformationforHealthc  
 5491 areProfessionals/PublicHealthAdvisories/ucm051257.htm

5492 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2013). Goal of label changes: better prescribing, safer use of  
 5493 opioids. Retrieved from <http://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates/ucm367660.htm>

5494 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2014a). *ER/LA Opioid Analgesic Class Labeling Changes and*  
 5495 *Postmarket Requirements*. Silver Spring, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
 5496 Retrieved from <https://www.fda.gov/media/86875/download>

5497 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2014b). *FDA blueprint for prescriber education for extended-release*  
 5498 *and long-acting opioid analgesics*. Retrieved from Silver Spring, MD:

5499 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2014c). FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA requires label  
 5500 changes to warn of rare but serious neurologic problems after epidural corticosteroid injections  
 5501 for pain. Retrieved from <https://www.fda.gov/media/88483/download>

5502 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2015a). *Abuse-Deterrent Opioids-Evaluation and Labeling Guidance*  
 5503 *Document*. Silver Spring, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug  
 5504 Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Retrieved from  
 5505 [https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/abuse-deterrent-](https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/abuse-deterrent-opioids-evaluation-and-labeling)  
 5506 [opioids-evaluation-and-labeling](https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/abuse-deterrent-opioids-evaluation-and-labeling)

5507 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2015b). FDA drug safety communication: FDA strengthens warning  
 5508 that non-aspirin nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can cause heart attacks or  
 5509 strokes. Retrieved from [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-fda-strengthens-warning-non-aspirin-nonsteroidal-anti-inflammatory)  
 5510 [safety-communication-fda-strengthens-warning-non-aspirin-nonsteroidal-anti-inflammatory](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-fda-strengthens-warning-non-aspirin-nonsteroidal-anti-inflammatory)

5511 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2016). FDA announces enhanced warnings for immediate-release  
 5512 opioid pain medications related to risks of misuse, abuse, addiction, overdose and death [Press  
 5513 release]. Retrieved from [https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-announces-enhanced-warnings-immediate-release-opioid-pain-medications-related-risks-misuse-abuse)  
 5514 [announces-enhanced-warnings-immediate-release-opioid-pain-medications-related-risks-](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-announces-enhanced-warnings-immediate-release-opioid-pain-medications-related-risks-misuse-abuse)  
 5515 [misuse-abuse](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-announces-enhanced-warnings-immediate-release-opioid-pain-medications-related-risks-misuse-abuse)

5516 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2017, 9/26/2017). FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA urges  
 5517 caution about withholding opioid addiction medications from patients taking benzodiazepines  
 5518 or CNS depressants: careful medication management can reduce risks. Retrieved from  
 5519 [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-fda-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-fda-urges-caution-about-withholding-opioid-addiction-medications)  
 5520 [urges-caution-about-withholding-opioid-addiction-medications](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-fda-urges-caution-about-withholding-opioid-addiction-medications)

5521 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2019a). FDA approves new treatment for patients with migraine  
 5522 [Press release]. Retrieved from [https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-new-treatment-patients-migraine)  
 5523 [approves-new-treatment-patients-migraine](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-new-treatment-patients-migraine)

5524 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2019b). FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA warns about serious  
 5525 breathing problems with seizure and nerve pain medicines gabapentin (Neurontin, Gralise,  
 5526 Horizant) and pregabalin (Lyrica, Lyrica CR) when used with CNS depressants or in patients with  
 5527 lung problems. 12-19-2019. Retrieved from [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-warns-about-serious-breathing-problems-seizure-and-nerve-pain-medicines-gabapentin-neurontin)  
 5528 [availability/fda-warns-about-serious-breathing-problems-seizure-and-nerve-pain-medicines-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-warns-about-serious-breathing-problems-seizure-and-nerve-pain-medicines-gabapentin-neurontin)  
 5529 [gabapentin-neurontin](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-warns-about-serious-breathing-problems-seizure-and-nerve-pain-medicines-gabapentin-neurontin)

5530 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2019c). FDA identifies harm reported from sudden discontinuation  
 5531 of opioid pain medicines and requires label changes to guide prescribers on gradual,  
 5532 individualized tapering. Retrieved from [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-identifies-harm-reported-sudden-discontinuation-opioid-pain-medicines-and-requires-label-changes)  
 5533 [availability/fda-identifies-harm-reported-sudden-discontinuation-opioid-pain-medicines-and-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-identifies-harm-reported-sudden-discontinuation-opioid-pain-medicines-and-requires-label-changes)  
 5534 [requires-label-changes](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-identifies-harm-reported-sudden-discontinuation-opioid-pain-medicines-and-requires-label-changes)

5535 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2020a). Disposal of unused medicines: what you should know.  
 5536 Retrieved from [https://www.fda.gov/drugs/safe-disposal-medicines/disposal-unused-](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/safe-disposal-medicines/disposal-unused-medicines-what-you-should-know)  
 5537 [medicines-what-you-should-know](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/safe-disposal-medicines/disposal-unused-medicines-what-you-should-know)

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2020b). Prescribing Information for Sublocade. In.

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2020). *Report to Congressional Committees: Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs - Views on Usefulness and Challenges of Programs*. Retrieved from <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-21-22.pdf>

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. (2020). Screening for Unhealthy Drug Use: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Jama*, 323(22), 2301-2309. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.8020

Udén, P., Rentzhog, L., & Berger, T. (1983). A comparative study on the analgesic effects of indomethacin and hydromorphonechloride-atropine in acute, ureteral-stone pain. *Acta Chir Scand*, 149(5), 497-499.

van der Velde, G., Yu, H., Paulden, M., Cote, P., Varatharajan, S., Shearer, H. M., . . . Taylor-Vaisey, A. (2016). Which interventions are cost-effective for the management of whiplash-associated and neck pain-associated disorders? A systematic review of the health economic literature by the Ontario Protocol for Traffic Injury Management (OPTIMA) Collaboration. *Spine Journal: Official Journal of the North American Spine Society*, 16(12), 1582-1597. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2015.08.025>

Varisco, T., Shen, C., & Thornton, D. (2020). Chronic prescription opioid use predicts stabilization on buprenorphine for the treatment of opioid use disorder. *J Subst Abuse Treat*, 117, 108073.

Veiga, D. R., Monteiro-Soares, M., Mendonça, L., Sampaio, R., Castro-Lopes, J. M., & Azevedo, L. F. (2019). Effectiveness of Opioids for Chronic Noncancer Pain: A Two-Year Multicenter, Prospective Cohort Study With Propensity Score Matching. *Journal of Pain*, 20(6), 706-715. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2018.12.007

Veterans Health Administration PBM Academic Detailing Service. Re-evaluating the Use of Benzodiazepines. Retrieved from chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnibpcapjpcglclefindmkaj/viewer.html?pdfurl=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.pb  
m.va.gov%2FPBM%2FAcademicDetailingService%2FDocuments%2FAcademic\_Detailing\_Educati  
onal\_Material\_Catalog%2F23\_Benzodiazepine\_Provider\_AD\_Quick\_Reference\_Guide\_IB10\_929  
.pdf&clen=763603&chunk=true

Veterans Health Administration PBM Academic Detailing Service. (2016). *Pain Management Opioid Taper Decision Tool\_A VA Clinician's Guide*. Retrieved from [https://www.pbm.va.gov/AcademicDetailingService/Documents/Pain\\_Opioid\\_Taper\\_Tool\\_IB\\_10\\_939\\_P96820.pdf](https://www.pbm.va.gov/AcademicDetailingService/Documents/Pain_Opioid_Taper_Tool_IB_10_939_P96820.pdf)

Von Korff, M., Merrill, J. O., Rutter, C. M., Sullivan, M., Campbell, C. I., & Weisner, C. (2011). Time-scheduled vs. pain-contingent opioid dosing in chronic opioid therapy. *Pain*, 152(6), 1256-1262. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2011.01.005

Von Korff, M., Walker, R. L., Saunders, K., Shortreed, S. M., Thakral, M., Parchman, M., . . . Dublin, S. (2017). Prevalence of prescription opioid use disorder among chronic opioid therapy patients after health plan opioid dose and risk reduction initiatives. *Int J Drug Policy*, 46, 90-98. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.05.053

Vowles, K. E., McEntee, M. L., Julnes, P. S., Frohe, T., Ney, J. P., & van der Goes, D. N. (2015). Rates of opioid misuse, abuse, and addiction in chronic pain: a systematic review and data synthesis. *Pain*, 156(4), 569-576. doi:10.1097/01.j.pain.0000460357.01998.f1

Walker, J., Mertens, U. K., Schmidt, C. O., & Chenot, J.-F. (2017). Effect on healthcare utilization and costs of spinal manual therapy for acute low back pain in routine care: A propensity score matched cohort study. *PLOS ONE*, 12(5), e0177255. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177255

Wallen, M., & Gillies, D. (2006). Intra-articular steroids and splints/rest for children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis and adults with rheumatoid arthritis. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*(1), Cd002824. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002824.pub2



Walley, A. Y., Xuan, Z., Hackman, H. H., Quinn, E., Doe-Simkins, M., Sorensen-Alawad, A., . . . Ozonoff, A. (2013). Opioid overdose rates and implementation of overdose education and nasal naloxone distribution in Massachusetts: interrupted time series analysis. *Bmj*, 346, f174. doi:10.1136/bmj.f174

Ware, J. E., Jr., & Sherbourne, C. D. (1992). The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care*, 30(6), 473-483.

Washington State Agency Medical Directors' Group. (2015). *AMDG 2015 interagency guideline on prescribing opioids for pain*. Olympia, WA: Washington State Agency Medical Directors' Group Retrieved from <http://www.agencymeddirectors.wa.gov/guidelines.asp>

Webster, B. S., Verma, S. K., & Gatchel, R. J. (2007). Relationship between early opioid prescribing for acute occupational low back pain and disability duration, medical costs, subsequent surgery and late opioid use. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 32(19), 2127-2132. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e318145a731

Webster, L. R., & Webster, R. M. (2005). Predicting aberrant behaviors in opioid-treated patients: preliminary validation of the Opioid Risk Tool. *Pain Med*, 6(6), 432-442. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2005.00072.x

Weiss, R. D., Potter, J. S., Fiellin, D. A., Byrne, M., Connery, H. S., Dickinson, W., . . . Ling, W. (2011). Adjunctive counseling during brief and extended buprenorphine-naloxone treatment for prescription opioid dependence: a 2-phase randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 68(12), 1238-1246. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.121

Welch, V. A., Akl, E. A., Guyatt, G., Pottie, K., Eslava-Schmalbach, J., Ansari, M. T., . . . Tugwell, P. (2017). GRADE equity guidelines 1: considering health equity in GRADE guideline development: introduction and rationale. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 90, 59-67. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.01.014

Whiteman, V. E., Salemi, J. L., Mogos, M. F., Cain, M. A., Aliyu, M. H., & Salihu, H. M. (2014). Maternal opioid drug use during pregnancy and its impact on perinatal morbidity, mortality, and the costs of medical care in the United States. *J Pregnancy*, 2014, 906723. doi:10.1155/2014/906723

Wielage, R., Bansal, M., Wilson, K., Klein, R., & Happich, M. (2013). Cost-effectiveness of duloxetine in chronic low back pain: a Quebec societal perspective. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 38(11), 936-946. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e31828264f9>

Wielage, R. C., Bansal, M., Andrews, J. S., Klein, R. W., & Happich, M. (2013). Cost-utility analysis of duloxetine in osteoarthritis: a US private payer perspective. *Applied Health Economics & Health Policy*, 11(3), 219-236. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40258-013-0031-3>

Williams, A. C., Eccleston, C., & Morley, S. (2012). Psychological therapies for the management of chronic pain (excluding headache) in adults. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 11(11), Cd007407. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007407.pub3

Williams, P., & Reeder, C. E. (2004). A comparison of the cost-effectiveness of almotriptan and sumatriptan in the treatment of acute migraine using a composite efficacy/tolerability end point. *Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy*, 10(3), 259-265. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2004.10.3.259

Willich, S. N., Reinhold, T., Selim, D., Jena, S., Brinkhaus, B., & Witt, C. M. (2006). Cost-effectiveness of acupuncture treatment in patients with chronic neck pain. *Pain*, 125(1-2), 107-113. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2006.06.006

Wilson, N., Kariisa, M., Seth, P., Smith IV, H., & Davis, N. L. (2020). Drug and opioid-involved overdose deaths—United States, 2017–2018. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 69(11), 290.

Wu, E. Q., Birnbaum, H. G., Mareva, M. N., Le, T. K., Robinson, R. L., Rosen, A., & Gelwicks, S. (2006). Cost-effectiveness of duloxetine versus routine treatment for U.S. patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. *Journal of Pain*, 7(6), 399-407.

Wu, N., Chen, S., Boulanger, L., Rao, P., & Zhao, Y. (2011). Average daily dose, medication adherence, and healthcare costs among commercially-insured patients with fibromyalgia treated with

5633 duloxetine. *Current Medical Research & Opinion*, 27(6), 1131-1139.  
 5634 doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2011.570744>  
 5635 Yazdanshenas, H., Bazargan, M., Smith, J., Martins, D., Motahari, H., & Orum, G. (2016). Pain treatment  
 5636 of underserved older African Americans. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 64(10),  
 5637 2116-2121.  
 5638 Yazdy, M. M., Desai, R. J., & Brogly, S. B. (2015). Prescription Opioids in Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes:  
 5639 A Review of the Literature. *J Pediatr Genet*, 4(2), 56-70. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1556740  
 5640 Yazdy, M. M., Mitchell, A. A., Tinker, S. C., Parker, S. E., & Werler, M. M. (2013). Periconceptional use of  
 5641 opioids and the risk of neural tube defects. *Obstet Gynecol*, 122(4), 838-844.  
 5642 doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182a6643c  
 5643 Young, K. (2019). Utilization and Spending Trends in Medicaid Outpatient Prescription Drugs. Retrieved  
 5644 from [https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/utilization-and-spending-trends-in-medicaid-](https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/utilization-and-spending-trends-in-medicaid-outpatient-prescription-drugs/)  
 5645 [outpatient-prescription-drugs/](https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/utilization-and-spending-trends-in-medicaid-outpatient-prescription-drugs/)  
 5646 Yudko, E., Lozhkina, O., & Fouts, A. (2007). A comprehensive review of the psychometric properties of  
 5647 the Drug Abuse Screening Test. *J Subst Abuse Treat*, 32(2), 189-198.  
 5648 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2006.08.002>  
 5649 Zedler, B., Xie, L., Wang, L., Joyce, A., Vick, C., Kariburyo, F., . . . Murrelle, L. (2014). Risk factors for  
 5650 serious prescription opioid-related toxicity or overdose among Veterans Health Administration  
 5651 patients. *Pain Med*, 15(11), 1911-1929. doi:10.1111/pme.12480  
 5652 Zelaya, C. E., Dahlhamer, J. M., Lucas, J. W., & Connor, E. M. (2020). Chronic Pain and High-impact  
 5653 Chronic Pain Among U.S. Adults, 2019. *NCHS Data Brief*(390), 1-8.  
 5654 Zhang, L., & Hay, J. W. (2005). Cost-effectiveness analysis of rizatriptan and sumatriptan versus Cafergot  
 5655 in the acute treatment of migraine. *CNS Drugs*, 19(7), 635-642. doi:10.2165/00023210-  
 5656 200519070-00005  
 5657 Zhao, Y., Sun, P., & Watson, P. (2011). Medication adherence and healthcare costs among patients with  
 5658 diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain initiating duloxetine versus pregabalin. *Current Medical*  
 5659 *Research & Opinion*, 27(4), 785-792. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2011.554807>  
 5660 Zhao, Y., Wu, N., Chen, S., Boulanger, L., Police, R. L., & Fraser, K. (2010). Changes in opioid use and  
 5661 healthcare costs among U.S. patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain treated with  
 5662 duloxetine compared with other therapies. *Current Medical Research & Opinion*, 26(9), 2147-  
 5663 2156. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2010.503140>  
 5664  
 5665

**TABLE. Morphine milligram equivalent (MME) doses for commonly prescribed opioids for pain management**

<b>Opioid</b>	<b>Conversion factor*</b>
Codeine	0.15
Fentanyl transdermal (in mcg/hr)	2.4
Hydrocodone	1
Hydromorphone	5
Methadone	4.7
Morphine	1
Oxycodone	1.5
Oxymorphone	3
Tapentadol <sup>†</sup>	0.4
Tramadol <sup>‡</sup>	0.2

**Source:** Adapted from Von Korff M, Saunders K, Ray GT, et al. Clin J Pain 2008;24:521–7; Nielsen S, Degenhardt L, Hoban B, Gisev N. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2016;25(6):733–737.

\*Multiply the dose for each opioid by the conversion factor to determine the dose in MMEs. For example, tablets containing hydrocodone 5 mg and acetaminophen 325 mg taken four times a day would contain a total of 20 mg of hydrocodone daily, equivalent to 20 MME daily; extended-release tablets containing oxycodone 10mg and taken twice a day would contain a total of 20mg of oxycodone daily, equivalent to 30 MME daily.

The following cautions should be noted: 1) All doses are in mg/day except for fentanyl, which is mcg/hr. 2) Equianalgesic dose conversions are only estimates and cannot account for individual variability in genetics and pharmacokinetics. 3) Do not use the calculated dose in MMEs to determine the doses to use when converting one opioid to another; when converting opioids, the new opioid is typically dosed at a substantially lower dose than the calculated MME dose to avoid overdose due to incomplete cross-tolerance and individual variability in opioid pharmacokinetics. 4) Use particular caution with methadone dose conversions because methadone has a long and variable half-life, and peak respiratory depressant effect occurs later and lasts longer than peak analgesic effect. 5) Use particular caution with transdermal fentanyl since it is dosed in mcg/hr instead of mg/day, and its absorption is affected by heat and other factors. 6) Buprenorphine products approved for the treatment of pain are not included in the table due to their partial mu receptor agonist activity and resultant ceiling effects compared to full mu receptor agonists. 7) These conversion factors should not be applied to dosage decisions related to the management of opioid use disorder.

<sup>†</sup>Tapentadol is a mu receptor agonist and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor. MMEs are based on degree of mu-receptor agonist activity, but it is unknown if tapentadol is associated with overdose in the same dose-dependent manner as observed with medications that are solely mu receptor agonists.

<sup>‡</sup>Tramadol is a mu receptor agonist and norepinephrine and serotonin reuptake inhibitor. MMEs are based on degree of mu-receptor agonist activity, but it is unknown if tramadol is associated with overdose in the same dose-dependent manner as observed with medications that are solely mu receptor agonists.

5694 **Opioid Workgroup**

5695 *Chair: Chinazo O. Cunningham, MD, MS*

5696 *Workgroup Members: Frank Floyd, MD, FACP; Elizabeth Habermann, PhD, MPH; Anne L. Burns, RPh;*

5697 *Beth Darnall, PhD; Christine Goertz, DC, PhD; Joseph Hsu, MD; Marjorie Meyer, MD; Paul Moore, DMD,*

5698 *PhD, MPH; Aimee Moulin, MD, MAS; Kate Nicholson, JD; Tae Woo Park, MD, MSc; Jeanmarie Perrone,*

5699 *MD; Travis Reider, PhD, MA; Roberto Salinas, MD, CAQ, (G, HPM); Doreleena Sammons-Hackett, SM,*

5700 *CPM; Wally R. Smith, MD; Jennifer Waljee, MD, MPH, MS; Mark Wallace, MD; Ex-Officio Members:*

5701 *Wilson Compton, MD, MPE; Neeraj Gandotra, MD; Mallika Mundkur, MD, MPH; Stephen Rudd, MD,*

5702 *FAAFP, CPPS; Designated Federal Official: Melanie R. Ross, MPH, MCHES.*

5703 **Peer Reviewers**

5704 *At the time of drafting the updated guideline, peer reviewers had not yet been identified.*

5705 **Board of Scientific Counselors of the NCIPC**

5706 *Co-Chairs: Amy Bonomi, PhD, MPH (04/20/2021 – 08/31/2024); Chinazo O. Cunningham, MD, MS*

5707 *(04/20/2021 – 08/31/2022); Victoria Frye, MPH, DrPH (07/17/2019 – 02/28/2021); Daniel J. Whitaker,*

5708 *PhD (11/04/2019– 02/28/2021)*

5709 *Members: Donna H. Barnes, PhD (09/01/2018 – 02/28/2021); Amy Bonomi, PhD, MPH (04/20/2021 –*

5710 *08/31/2024); Roger Chou, MD (09/01/2019 – 08/31/2023); Phillip Coffin, MD (01/03/2017 –*

5711 *02/28/2021); Kermit A. Crawford, PhD (01/31/2017 – 02/28/2021); Chinazo O. Cunningham, MD, MS*

5712 *(09/01/2018 – 08/31/2022); Wendy Ellis, DrPH, MPH (04/22/2021 – 08/31/2024); Frank Floyd, MD, FACP*

5713 *(09/01/2019 – 08/31/2022); Frank A. Franklin II, PhD, JD, MPH (09/01/2018 – 08/31/2022); Victoria*

5714 *Frye, MPH, DrPH (01/27/2017 – 02/28/2021); Kevin M. Guskiewicz, PhD (09/01/2018 – 01/14/2020);*

5715 *Elizabeth Habermann, PhD, MPH (09/01/2019 – 08/31/2023); James H. Hedlund, PhD (01/30/2017 –*

5716 *02/28/2021); Todd Herrenkohl, PhD (09/01/2018 – 02/28/2021); Mark S. Kaplan, DrPH (09/01/2018 –*

|

5717 08/31/2022); Karen D. Liller, PhD (09/01/2018 – 08/31/2022); Angela Lumber-Brown, MD (04/22/2021 –  
5718 08/31/2022); Jeffrey P. Michael, EdD (04/20/2021 – 08/31/2023); Elizabeth Miller, MD, PhD (04/20/2021  
5719 – 08/31/2024); Steven J. Ondersma, PhD (04/21/2021 – 08/31/2024); Rosalie Liccardo Pacula, PhD  
5720 (04/20/2021 – 08/31/2023); Christina A. Porucznik, PhD, MSPH (09/01/2019 – 08/31/2023); John  
5721 Armand Rich, MD, MPH, (04/22/2021 – 08/31/2024); David C. Schwebel, PhD (02/03/2017 –  
5722 02/28/2021); Lyle Ungar, PhD (04/22/2021 – 08/31/2024); Daniel J. Whitaker, PhD (01/31/2017 –  
5723 02/28/2021)

5724 Ex-Officio Members: Melissa L. Brodowski, PhD, MSW, MPH; Dawn Castillo, MPH; Mindy J. D. Chai, PhD;  
5725 Wilson Compton, MD, MPH; CAPT Jennifer Fan, PhD; Meredith A. Fox, PhD; Holly Hedegaard, MD, MSPH;  
5726 John Howard, MD; Lyndon J. O. Joseph, PhD; Valerie Maholmes, PhD, CAS; Bethany D. Miller, LSCW-C,  
5727 MEd; Constantinos Miskis, JD; Judy A. Staffa, PhD, RPh; RADM Kelly M. Taylor, MS, REHS

5728

5729

**Disclosure of relationship**

5730

The Opioid Workgroup members disclose that they have no financial conflicts of interest.

5731

Members disclose the following activities related to the content of this clinical practice guideline: Anne

5732

L. Burns discloses that she is employed by the American Pharmacists Association, a nonprofit 501c6

5733

organization, where she is involved in advancing pharmacists' patient care services, including pain

5734

management services, and she serves on the Board of Directors for the Pharmacy Quality Alliance, a

5735

nonprofit organization that develops quality measures, including opioid-related measures. Beth Darnall

5736

discloses that she consulted with AppliedVR, a virtual reality for chronic and acute pain company. Neeraj

5737

Gandotra discloses that he provided expert testimony before the Senate Judiciary Committee on

5738

12/17/2019 on behalf of SAMHSA regarding the opioid epidemic. Christine Goertz discloses that she

5739

served as a consultant to the American Chiropractic Association until September 30, 2019, and that she

5740

has NIH foundation funding to conduct research on non-pharmacologic approaches to pain

5741

management. Jennifer Waljee discloses that she received research support funding from the Centers for

5742

Disease Control and Prevention, National Institutes of Health, Michigan Department of Health and

5743

Human Services, and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration for research examining the

5744

effect of opioid use prior to and after surgery on postoperative outcomes.

5745

The Board of Scientific Counselors of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control

5746

(BSC/NCIPC) members disclose that they have no financial conflicts of interest. Three BSC/NCIPC

5747

members, Chinazo O. Cunningham, Frank Floyd, and Elizabeth Habermann, served on the Opioid

5748

Workgroup. Roger Chou is a co-author of the clinical practice guideline and AHRQ- sponsored systematic

5749

clinical evidence reviews. Dr. Chou disclosed that he receives funding to conduct reviews on opioids and

5750

recused himself from the July 16, 2021, BSC/NCIPC meeting and discussion of the OWG report on the

5751

draft clinical practice guideline. Wilson Compton discloses that he has long-term stock holdings in

|

5752 General Electric, Pfizer, and 3M Companies; however, his investments in these companies did not  
5753 exceed the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services threshold for significant financial interest.

Draft

**BOX 1. CDC recommendations for prescribing opioids for outpatients with pain outside of sickle cell disease-related pain management, cancer pain treatment, palliative care, and end-of-life care**

**Determining whether or not to initiate opioids for pain**

1. Nonopioid therapies are effective for many common types of acute pain. Clinicians should only consider opioid therapy for acute pain if benefits are anticipated to outweigh risks to the patient. (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 3).
2. Nonopioid therapies are preferred for subacute and chronic pain. Clinicians should only consider initiating opioid therapy if expected benefits for pain and function are anticipated to outweigh risks to the patient. Before starting opioid therapy for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should discuss with patients the known risks and realistic benefits of opioid therapy, should work with patients to establish treatment goals for pain and function, and should consider how opioid therapy will be discontinued if benefits do not outweigh risks (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 2).

**Opioid selection and dosage**

3. When starting opioid therapy for acute, subacute, or chronic pain, clinicians should prescribe immediate-release opioids instead of extended-release/long-acting (ER/LA) opioids (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 4).
4. When opioids are started for opioid-naïve patients with acute, subacute, or chronic pain, clinicians should prescribe the lowest dosage to achieve expected effects. If opioids are continued for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should use caution when prescribing opioids at any dosage, should carefully evaluate individual benefits and risks when considering increasing dosage, and should avoid increasing dosage above levels likely to yield diminishing returns in benefits relative to risks to patients (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 3).
5. For patients already receiving higher opioid dosages, clinicians should carefully weigh benefits and risks and exercise care when reducing or continuing opioid dosage. If risks outweigh benefits of continued opioid therapy, clinicians should optimize other therapies and work closely with patients to gradually taper to lower dosages or, if warranted based on the individual clinical circumstances of the patient, to appropriately taper and discontinue opioids. Unless there are indications of a life-threatening issue, such as warning signs of impending overdose, e.g., confusion, sedation, or slurred speech, opioid therapy should not be discontinued abruptly, and clinicians should not abruptly or rapidly reduce opioid dosages from higher dosages (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 4).

**Opioid duration and follow-up**

6. When opioids are needed for acute pain, clinicians should prescribe no greater quantity than needed for the expected duration of pain severe enough to require opioids (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 4).
7. Clinicians should evaluate benefits and risks with patients within 1 to 4 weeks of starting opioid therapy for subacute or chronic pain or of dose escalation. Clinicians should evaluate benefits and



risks of continued therapy with patients every 3 months or more frequently (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 4).

### Assessing risk and addressing harms of opioid use

8. Before starting and periodically during continuation of opioid therapy, clinicians should evaluate risk for opioid-related harms and discuss with patients. Clinicians should work with patients to incorporate into the management plan strategies to mitigate risk, including offering naloxone when factors that increase risk for opioid overdose are present (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 4).
9. When prescribing initial opioid therapy for acute, subacute, or chronic pain, and periodically during opioid therapy for chronic pain, clinicians should review the patient's history of controlled substance prescriptions using state prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) data to determine whether the patient is receiving opioid dosages or combinations that put the patient at high risk for overdose (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 4).
10. When prescribing opioids for subacute or chronic pain, clinicians should consider toxicology testing to assess for prescribed medications as well as other prescribed and non-prescribed controlled substances (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 4).
11. Clinicians should use extreme caution when prescribing opioid pain medication and benzodiazepines concurrently and consider whether benefits outweigh risks of concurrent prescribing of opioids and other central nervous system depressants (recommendation category: B, evidence type: 3).
12. Clinicians should offer or arrange treatment with medication for patients with opioid use disorder (recommendation category: A, evidence type: 1).

\* See full clinical practice guideline for recommendation categories and evidence ratings.

These five guiding principles should broadly inform implementation across recommendations:

1. Acute, subacute, and chronic pain need to be appropriately and effectively treated independent of whether opioids are part of a treatment regimen.
2. Recommendations are voluntary and are intended to support, not supplant, individualized, person-centered care. Flexibility to meet the care needs and the clinical circumstances of a specific patient are paramount.
3. A multimodal and multidisciplinary approach to pain management attending to the physical health, behavioral health, long-term services and supports, and expected health outcomes and well-being needs of each person is critical.
4. Special attention should be given to avoid misapplying this updated clinical practice guideline beyond its intended use or implementing policies purportedly derived from it that might lead to unintended consequences for patients.
5. Clinicians, practices, health systems, and payers should vigilantly attend to health inequities, provide culturally and linguistically appropriate communication, and ensure access to an

|

5844 appropriate, affordable, diversified, coordinated, and effective nonpharmacologic and  
5845 pharmacologic pain management regimen for all persons.

5846

5847

5848

Draft

## **BOX 2. Interpretation of recommendation categories and evidence type**

### **Recommendation categories**

Based on evidence type, balance between desirable and undesirable effects, values and preferences, and resource allocation (cost).

**Category A recommendation:** Applies to all persons; most patients should receive the recommended course of action.

**Category B recommendation:** Individual decision making needed; different choices will be appropriate for different patients. Clinicians help patients arrive at a decision consistent with patient values and preferences and specific clinical situations.

### **Evidence type**

Based on study design as well as a function of limitations in study design or implementation, imprecision of estimates, variability in findings, indirectness of evidence, publication bias, magnitude of treatment effects, dose-response gradient, and constellation of plausible biases that could change effects.

**Type 1 evidence:** Randomized clinical trials or overwhelming evidence from observational studies.

**Type 2 evidence:** Randomized clinical trials with important limitations, or exceptionally strong evidence from observational studies.

**Type 3 evidence:** Observational studies or randomized clinical trials with notable limitations.

**Type 4 evidence:** Clinical experience and observations, observational studies with important limitations, or randomized clinical trials with several major limitations.