From:		
Sent:	6/28/2021 4:42:13 PM	_
To:		
Subject:	Executive Field Trip Prep	
Location:	Microsoft Teams Meeting	
Start:	6/29/2021 8:30:00 AM	
End:	6/29/2021 9:30:00 AM	
Show Time As:	Tentative	
Recurrence:	(none)	
Meeting Status:	Not yet responded	
Required Attendees	s:	

Optional Attendees:

Group 1

Recently completed undergrounding projects – just 1 or 2. The mileage may not be long. Focus is to understand.

Projects;

1. 35103325- CWSP - MORAGA 1102 & 1104 - OCB (PM OWNER-

a. What was the cycle time of the project ?

Estimating Began

8/28/19-Completed 4/14/2020
b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?

Ĺ.

i. 2. 35031662- CWSP - LOS GATOS 1106 - PHASE 2.1 (PM OWNERa. What was the cycle time of the project ?

i. Estimating Began

10/23/2018-Completed 3/25/2020

What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?

- o Land Ownership Negotiations
- o State Agency Coordination

Group 2

Undergrounding projects that have completed Estimating but are in the Dependency Clearing Stage – Do not need to be high risk projects. We pull from the pool of projects that we do have. Again 1-2 examples.

Projects;

1. 35145003- PSPS CWSP - MORAGA 1103 - CB (PM OWNER

a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.

ű.

b. Permit Dependencies;

i. Town of Moraga

City of Orinda

- c. Project is nearly ready to release to construction
- 1. 35145001-PSPS CLAYTON 2215 CB (PM OWNER
 - a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.

b. Permit Dependencies;

- i. Contra Costa County
- ii. Walnut Creek
- iii. City of Concord

c. Land Dependencies;

One of three easements

gained d. Environmental Dependencies;

i. ERTC expected after Land

Focus is to understand

- What is the process currently used to clear the dependencies related to (other dependencies or requirements that have newly been put in place)
 - o Land Ownership Negotiations

easements cleared

State Agency Coordination

• See the same tab as above.

Group 3

Undergrounding projects in the high risk area that are in estimating – Again 1 - 2. Focus is to understand

Projects;

- 1. 35219093- CWSP TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.1 (PM OWNER
- 2. 35231543- CWSP TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.2 (PM OWNER
 - What to the best of our knowledge are the challenges we expect to fact regarding (everyone to understand that this is a bit speculative, but given the location of the project what can we anticipate)
 - o Land Ownership Negotiations
 - o State Agency Coordination
- See the "Top 20" tab. These circuits and zones were selected by I isolated it down to the projects. I would start with Middletown under

 You could ask all the PMs on the list if they have anything as unique as Bucks Creek, but frankly I wonder if we weren't clear enough that Buck's Creek is an outlier as far as dependency complication goes.

- Middletown is a much better cross section of our work in my opinion.
- Wish I could have made more progress on this for you but I ran out of time.

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app Click here to join the meeting

Or call in (audio only)

Find a local number | Reset PIN

Need Help with Teams? Click on the Help option in this invite to connect you directly to our Teams at PG&E Training site!