From:

Sent: To:

Subject: Location: Start: End: Recurrence: Meeting Status:

Required Attendees: Optional Attendees: Executive Field Trip Prep Microsoft Teams Meeting 6/29/2021 8:30:00 AM 6/29/2021 9:30:00 AM (none) Meeting organized

Group 1

Recently completed undergrounding projects – just 1 or 2. The mileage may not be long. Focus is to understand.

Projects;

1. 35103325- CWSP - MORAGA 1102 & 1104 - OCB (PM OWNER

- a. What was the cycle time of the project ?
 - i. Estimating Began 8/28/19-Completed 4/14/2020
- b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?
 - i. Challenging work was on PG&E property at/near Substation.

ii. Creek crossing required additional bio/enviro review...private property.

iii. Veg took two full weeks to complete due to extra care with property owners

iv. 1104 went underground (4,165 LF) from the Sub and 1102

(2,751 LF) was hardened in place...remaining OH utilizing composite poles.

- 2. 35031662- CWSP LOS GATOS 1106 LB44 PHASE 2.1 (PM OWNER a. What was the cycle time of the project ?
 - i. Estimating Began 10/23/2018-Completed 3/25/2020
 - ii. No Land ownership issues trenching, all in 'franchise'.
 - What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination

Group 2

Undergrounding projects that have completed Estimating but are in the Dependency Clearing Stage – Do not need to be high risk projects. We pull from the pool of projects that we do have. Again 1 - 2 examples.

Projects;

1. 35145003- PSPS CWSP - MORAGA 1103 - CB (PM OWNER

- a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
- b. Permit Dependencies;
 - i. Town of Moraga
 - ii. City of Orinda
- c. Project is nearly ready to release to construction

1. 35145001-PSPS - CLAYTON 2215 - CB (PM OWNER-

- a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
- b. Permit Dependencies;
 - i. Contra Costa County
 - ii. Walnut Creek
 - iii. City of Concord
- c. Land Dependencies;
 - i. One of three easements gained
- d. Environmental Dependencies;
 - i. ERTC expected after Land easements cleared

Focus is to understand

- What is the process currently used to clear the dependencies related to (other dependencies or requirements that have newly been put in place)
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination
- See the same tab as above.

Group 3

Undergrounding projects in the high risk area that are in estimating – Again 1 - 2. Focus is to understand

Projects;

1. 35219093- CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.1 (PM OWNER

- 2. 35231543- CWSP TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.2 (PM OWNER
 - What to the best of our knowledge are the challenges we expect to fact regarding (everyone to understand that this is a bit speculative, but given the location of the project what can we anticipate)
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination
- See the "Top 20" tab. These circuits and zones were selected by I isolated it down to the projects. I would start with Middletown under

 You could ask all the PMs on the list if they have anything as unique as Bucks Creek, but frankly I wonder if we weren't clear enough that Buck's Creek is an outlier as far as dependency complication goes.

- Middletown is a much better cross section of our work in my opinion.
- Wish I could have made more progress on this for you but I ran out of time.

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

Or call in (audio only)

Find a local number Reset PIN

Need Help with Teams? Click on the Help option in this invite to connect you directly to our Teams at PG&E Training

Learn More | Help | Meeting options