

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:**

**Subject:**

Executive Field Trip Prep

**Location:**

Microsoft Teams Meeting

**Start:**

6/29/2021 8:30:00 AM

**End:**

6/29/2021 9:30:00 AM

**Recurrence:**

(none)

**Meeting Status:**

Meeting organized

**Required Attendees:**

**Optional Attendees:**

#### Group 1

Recently completed undergrounding projects – just 1 or 2. The mileage may not be long. Focus is to understand.

#### Projects:

1. 35103325- CWSP - MORAGA 1102 & 1104 – OCB (PM OWNER- [REDACTED])
  - a. What was the cycle time of the project ?
    - i. Estimating Began 8/28/19-[REDACTED] Completed 4/14/2020
  - b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?
    - i.
2. 35031662- CWSP - LOS GATOS 1106 [REDACTED] - PHASE 2.1 (PM OWNER-[REDACTED])
  - a. What was the cycle time of the project ?
    - i. Estimating Began 10/23/2018-[REDACTED] Completed 3/25/2020
  - b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?
    - o Land Ownership Negotiations
    - o State Agency Coordination

#### Group 2

Undergrounding projects that have completed Estimating but are in the Dependency Clearing Stage – Do not need to be high risk projects. We pull from the pool of projects that we do have. Again 1 – 2 examples.

#### Projects:

1. 35145003- PSPS CWSP - MORAGA 1103 – CB (PM OWNER-[REDACTED])
  - a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
  - b. Permit Dependencies;
    - i. Town of Moraga
    - ii. City of Orinda
  - c. Project is nearly ready to release to construction
1. 35145001-PSPS - CLAYTON 2215 – CB (PM OWNER-[REDACTED])
  - a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
  - b. Permit Dependencies;
    - i. Contra Costa County
    - ii. Walnut Creek
    - iii. City of Concord
  - c. Land Dependencies;

- i. One of three easements gained
- d. Environmental Dependencies;
  - i. ERTC expected after Land easements cleared

Focus is to understand

- What is the process currently used to clear the dependencies related to (other dependencies or requirements that have newly been put in place)
  - Land Ownership Negotiations
  - State Agency Coordination
- See the same tab as above.

Group 3

Undergrounding projects in the high risk area that are in estimating – Again 1 – 2.

Focus is to understand

Projects;

1. 35219093- CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.1 (PM OWNER-[REDACTED])
2. 35231543- CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.2 (PM OWNER-[REDACTED])

- What to the best of our knowledge are the challenges we expect to fact regarding (everyone to understand that this is a bit speculative, but given the location of the project what can we anticipate)
  - Land Ownership Negotiations
  - State Agency Coordination
- See the “Top 20” tab. These circuits and zones were selected b [REDACTED] isolated it down to the projects. I would start with Middletown unde [REDACTED]
- You could ask all the PMs on the list if they have anything as unique as Bucks Creek, but frankly I wonder if we weren’t clear enough that Buck’s Creek is an outlier as far as dependency complication goes.
- Middletown is a much better cross section of our work in my opinion.
- Wish I could have made more progress on this for you but I ran out of time.

---

## Microsoft Teams meeting

### Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

### Or call in (audio only)

[REDACTED]

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

Need Help with Teams? Click on the Help option in this invite to connect you directly to our Teams at PG&E Training site!

[Learn More](#) | [Help](#) | [Meeting options](#)

---