

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**CC:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 5/13/2021 12:44:24 AM  
**Subject:** Re: validation response

**\*\*\*\*\*CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.\*\*\*\*\***

We've also updated E3 validation response\_v0.8.docx, and have added a new supporting PPT of "fit for purpose" outage/ignition model comparisons, a csv CPZ summary of ignition and outage probabilities from the new modeling in the context of the official predictions and covariates, and a few xlsx examples of how the modeling results were shared and discussed with subject matter experts as part of the model development process.

[REDACTED]

On 5/12/2021 8:56 PM, [REDACTED] wrote:  
Thanks, we will dive into this tomorrow.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 12, 2021 at 8:41 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** validation response

E3 Team,

The following three files have been uploaded to the ESFT:

1. Response to 8-hour simulation duration question.msg – email from Technosylva describing why 8 hour simulations are optimal
2. Keswick 1101 model views.pptx - zoomed in views of multiple model layers on the Keswick 1101 circuit that show how the model output can be used to inform the identification of mitigation scope
3. Buck Creek Field Visit 4.16.2021.pptx - zoomed in views of multiple model layers on the Buck Creek 1101 circuit that show how the model output can be used to inform the identification of mitigation scope

Please do not hesitate to reach out to discuss any of this content.

Thanks,

[REDACTED] PE  
Risk and Data Analytics  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Some of the measures included in this document are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of future ignitions following the 2017 and 2018 wildfires.

**PGE-DIXIE-NDCAL-000007052**

