From: ██

To:
Sent: 3/2/2021 4:42:12 PM
Subject: RE: System Hardening Status
Attachments: 2020-11-25 Tree Strike Modeling.pptx

████████ provides basic slides that we add to our documentation (Attached):

████████

From: ████████████
Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 4:39 PM
To: ████████████████████████████
Subject: RE: System Hardening Status

████

The Tree Strike Model Review would be done by ████████ from the ATS team. I would invite ████████ his Manager, as well to develop the appropriate media.
Fire Rebuild we have this to document attached the design options.

████████

From: ████████████████████████████████████
Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 2:59 PM
To: ████████████████████████████
Subject: RE: System Hardening Status

*****CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.*****

Hey ████████

Wanted to reach out about 2 quick items:
Tree Strike Model Review (WGC Action Item) – The committee (mainly ████████) asked for a walk-through of the model underpinning the tree strike analysis a few weeks ago and we're hoping to close that action item. Could you refresh me on the name of that analysis/model? And, confirm who would be best to speak to that (I believe it was J████████).
Fire-rebuild Committee bypass - Per the RG discussion last night regarding not bringing FRRB for mitigation approval, I think you said that you had a slide already built around the reasons for that decision / recommendation. Is that something you could share and I can get it integrated into the materials for Thursday?

Thanks

████████████████████

Hey ████████

Hope you guys had a good weekend. I just wanted to touch base as we kick off the new week for a few items:

Thursday Mitigation Review: I'm sure this is in process with ████ team already, but a few ad-hoc items are in process as well:
Idle Line Removal Status Update: In process, awaiting updated data from ██████
Remote Grid Follow-up: It looks like the grid innovation team already has some good content to present. I'll make sure they get the invite for Thursday's discussion, unless you guys have a different plan.
Other open items: We've got a few other action items remaining, which we may be able to close out in the near term (i.e., on Thursday or there may also be capacity during the Friday meeting). Let me know if any of these are items we can press this week, or if I can help pull together materials or analysis.
Open Action Item: Economic/financial (total cost of ownership) modeling follow-up with the Operational Observer Team. This has started to attract some attention because it's been on the tracker for a bit (11.20.2020).
WGC Follow-up: Bring the 3 projects presented 2.25.2021 as 'request for review' back to the committee, tested against the decision tree (PM#s 35116512, 35145001, 35053429, 35052823) to validate the mitigation.
WGC Follow-up: Develop a formal approach for to evaluate the on-hold projects (e.g., decision tree) with example projects. My initial thought is that the team could still use the same decision tree for mitigation selection, but we'd just need to formalize the selection criteria (i.e., a continuous line segment of XX length which falls about the 20% threshold). We're still working on Palantir access right now, but if we can get the data restrictions lifted we may be able to take some of the load for targeting these segments.

Again, let me know if there's anything I can do to help out any of these. Or, if there are any other items that you'd like to get in front of the committee this week.

Thanks,

████████████████████████