Wildfire Risk Governance Committee

System Hardening Project Approvals

February 2, 2021

	The f	ollowing 3 proje	ects have recom	mended mitigat	ions:	
Order No.	CPZ	Work Bucket	Total MAVF Core Risk Value	Mean MAVF Core Risk Rank	Recommendation	WGC Reques
WGC Dec	sision					
						Decision
						Decision
Order No.	CPZ	Work Bucket	Total MAVF Core Risk Value	Mean MAVF Core Risk Rank	Recommendation	WGC Reques
WGC Info	orm					
35219098	Middletown 1101118494	CWSP Top 250	6.97	23	Overhead (1.15 mi)	Inform
35219272	Middletown 1101481876	CWSP Top 250	6.55	38	Overhead (0.85 mi)	Inform
35219280	Potter Valley PH	CWSP Top 250	44.7	43	Hybrid: OH - 1.68 mi	Inform





Inform: CWSP Top 250 Miles - PM 35219098 Middletown 1101 LR 118494

	Middletown 1101 (1.15 miles)	No System Hardening	Overhead Hardening	Under-Grounding	Hybrid
	Project Scope Risk Reduced After Mitigation	-	4.32	6.90	5.50
	Project Scope Residual Risk Value	6.97	2.65	0.07	1.47
	a second ball on part that				
	Overall Miles Installed	1.15 Existing OH	1.15	1.60	1.33
	OH System Hardening Cost \$2M/risk-mile	-	\$2.1M	-	\$1.3M (0.72 mi)
	UG System Hardening Cost \$8.8M/risk-mile	-	•	\$9.4M	\$3.7M (0.61 mi)
	Line Removal Cost	-	-	-	4
	Total Capital Cost (AACE Class 5)		\$2.1M	\$9.4M	\$5M
	Average O&M Cost (per year)		\$79k	\$21k	\$58k
	NPV @ 6.8% discount rate		(\$3.3M)	(\$9.8M)	(\$5.9M)
Primary Filter	Ś NPV per unit of rise (RSE)		(\$0.8M) 1st	(\$1,4M) 3rd	(\$1.1M) 2nd
	PSS Preference (Ingress/egress/fire history)		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Secondary Filter	Strike Tree Potential	High Fall-In Risk	Moderate Fall-In Risk	No Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk
	Ingress / Egress	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	PSPS Mitigation (15 custs * 1 event)	15 (0%)	15 (0%)	15 (0%)	15 (0%)
	Execution timeline (2021, 2022, 2022+)		2021	2022+	2022+
	Other (Operational Considerations, etc.)		Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred

Public Safety Specialist: The fuel type in this project area is mostly grass oak woodland with some brush and grey pine near the substation and around a couple residences nearby. Overall fuel loading for this area range from light to medium. This project area resides in a burn scar from the Valley fire in 2015 and dead/decadent fuel remains in some areas. Population density around Middletown proper is considered to be in the medium range (1200) however the actual project tocoins in just East of Middletown about 2 miles. Strike Tree Potential: Moderate (5-15) tree strike potential in this segment does not suggest UG hardening is required. •

Summer reer Productions: Moderate (0-10) the state provide and this segment dues includes include

Confidential

Inform: CWSP Top 250 Miles – PM 35219272 Middletown 1101 LR 481876



Inform: CWSP Top 250 Miles – PM 35219272 Middletown 1101 LR 481876

	Middletown 1101 (0.85 miles)	No System Hardening	Overhead Hardening	Under-Grounding	Hybrid
	Project Scope Risk Reduced After Mitigation	-	4.06	6.48	5.19
	Project Scope Residual Risk Value	6.55	2.49	0.07	1.36
	Queral Miles Installed	0.95 Evicting OH	0.85	0.95	0.92
	OH System Hardening Cost \$1.8M/risk-mi	e -	\$1.5M	-	\$0.9M (0.5 mi)
	UG System Hardening Cost \$6.6M/risk-mi	e -	-	\$5.6M	\$2.1M (0.44 mi)
	Line Removal Cost	-	-	-	-
	Total Capital Cost (AACE Class 5)		\$1.5M	\$5.6M	\$3M
	Average O&M Cost (per year)		\$48k	\$12k	\$34k
	NPV @ 6.8% discount rate		(\$2.2M)	(\$5.9M)	(\$3.5M)
Primary Filter	\$ NPV per unit of rise (RSE)		(\$0.5M) 1st	(\$0.9M) 3rd	(\$0.7M) 2nd
	PSS Preference (Ingress/egress/fire history)		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Secondary Filter	Strike Tree Potential	Moderate Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk	No Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk
	Ingress / Egress	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	PSPS Mitigation (9 custs * 1 event)	9 (0%)	9 (0%)	9 (0%)	9 (0%)
	Execution timeline (2021, 2022, 2022+)		2021	2022+	2022
	Other (Operational Considerations, etc.)		Recommended		

Public Safety Specialist: The fuel type in this project area is mostly grass oak woodland. Overall fuel loading for this area is light. This project area resides in a burn scar from the Valley fire in 2015 and dead/decadent fuel remains in some areas. Population density around Middletown proper is considered to be in the medium range (1200) however this project location is approximately. 5 miles to the North where population density is low.

Strike Thee Potential: LOV (0-5) tree strike potential in this segment does not be in the instantial ratio (120 / inverse times project location is approximately or lines to the forth in where population density is
 Sgress Considerations: No major agress concern
 PSPS Mitigation: No miligation potential is to limit a cope of this hardening project; no critical / essential customers in this segment. To achieve PSPS reductions, additional scope would have to be included
 Execution Timeline (Land/Bio/Cultural/Constructability): Work required during the dry season (May 15 – Oct 15) and/or biomonitoring. No miligation expenses expected as long as work is within the road ROW.

Confidential

CONFIDENTIAL - FOR INTERNAL DISCUSSION





Inform: CWSP Top 250 Miles – PM 35219280 Potter Valley PH 1105 LR 64118

	Potter Valley PH 1105 (1.8 miles)	No System Hardening	Overhead Hardening	Under-Grounding	Hybrid
	Project Scope Risk Reduced After Mitigation	-	27.72	44.26	29.08
	Project Scope Residual Risk Value	44.70	16.99	0.45	15.62
	Overall Miles Installed	1.80 Existing OH	1.80	1 90	1.83
	OH System Hardening Cost \$2.2M/risk-mile	-	\$3.6M	-	\$3.4M (1.68 mi)
	UG System Hardening Cost \$7.5M/risk-mile	-	-	\$12.3M	\$0.6M (0.15 mi)
	Line Removal Cost	-	-		-
	Total Capital Cost (AACE Class 5)		\$3.6M	\$12.3M	\$4M
	Average O&M Cost (per year)		\$117k	\$25k	\$111k
	NPV @ 6.8% discount rate		(\$5.4M)	(\$12.8M)	(\$5.6M)
Primary	\$ NPV per unit of rise (RSE)		(\$0.194M) 2nd	(\$0.29M) 3rd	(\$0.194M) 1st
Filter	PSS Preference (Ingress/egress/fire history)	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	Strike Tree Potential	Low Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk	No Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk
Secondary	Ingress / Egress	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	PSPS Mitigation (197 custs * 1 event)	197 (0%)	197 (0%)	0 (100%)	15 (92%)
Filter	Execution timeline (2021, 2022, 2022+)		2021	2022+	2022
	Other (Operational Considerations, etc.)				Recommended

Supporting Detail for Recommended Alternative (EDRS Link 2021-03822):

Public Safety Specialist: The tiel type in the project are is predominantly grass/back woodland with some pockets of brush and scattered confer that border agricultural land in the Valley. Population Density around the community of Potter Valley is
considered to be moderate at 650.
Strike Tree Potential: Strip Central sitiva teres. LOW (0.5) tee strike potential in this segment does not suggest UG hardening is required.
Egress Considerations: No major agress concem
PSPS Mitigation: UG portion of Hybrid Alt is at LR 41118, which is right outside of station and allows the mainline to remain energized
Execution Timeline (LandBio/Cultural/Constructability): Stock ponds with potential suitable habitat for tricolored blackstrid are found within the project vicinity. Recommend July - March. Also Northern Spotted Owl habitat is located in the northernmost
portion of the project alignment. If work is to occur during the March 1 to July 15, a Bio led survey should be expected. Also multiple waterways potentially meeding permitting. Two cultural ESAs have been identified within project footprint. Archaeological
and darp RDM will be necessary. Extensive ground disturbance is not recommended within the Cultural ESAs. The Project EFS shall be contacted 60 days prior to excavation to coordinate miligating measures including soil sampling, analysis,
and disposal activities.