
From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Sent: 1/21/2021 5:23:20 PM
Subject: RE: Action Item: System Hardening processes
Attachments: Base vs Fire Rebuild Unit Cost.ppt; ORD130 SH and BR 2020 Unit cost by major CE r1.xlsx

Revised slide and analysis attached

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 10:05 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Action Item: System Hardening processes

[REDACTED]

See attached draft slide for your review. I leveraged the unit cost analysis we just performed as the basis (attached e-mail).

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 8:57 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Action Item: System Hardening processes

I will target COB today to give [REDACTED] some time for any of his input.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 8:11 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Action Item: System Hardening processes

Hi [REDACTED]

Can you send me the slides to review by tomorrow at 11am?

[REDACTED]

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 1:52:41 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Action Item: System Hardening processes

[REDACTED]

A few questions on this action item from the xxx Work Execution meeting:

Action

Evaluate the differences in process between System Hardening done for Rebuild versus System Hardening done for routine work. Determine possible efficiencies which may help to lower cost / mile and present a deep dive on those findings / opportunities in the January 22 meeting.

Will you have a slide to add to the deck this week? Or, is this just a discussion during the meeting? If there is a slide, is it possible to have it by Thursday afternoon to incorporate it into the master deck? Let me know your thoughts.

Thanks,

██████████ | PG&E Electric Operations
MPP Core Programs | Work Execution PMO
██████████ mobile | ██████████@pge.com