From: Sent: To: Subject:

Executive Field Trip Prep Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting Start: 6/29/2021 8:30:00 AM End: 6/29/2021 9:30:00 AM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Meeting organized

Required Attendees: Optional Attendees:

Group 1

Recently completed undergrounding projects – just 1 or 2. The mileage may not be long. Focus is to understand.

Proiects:

CWSP - MORAGA 1102 & 1104 - OCB (PM OWNER-

a. What was the cycle time of the project?

i. Estimating Began 8/28/19-CN24 Completed 4/14/2020

b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project?

CWSP - LOS GATOS 1106 - LB44 - PHASE 2.1 (PM OWNER

- a. What was the cycle time of the project?
 - i. Estimating Began 10/23/2018-CN24 Completed 3/25/2020
- What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project?
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination

Group 2

Undergrounding projects that have completed Estimating but are in the Dependency Clearing Stage - Do not need to be high risk projects. We pull from the pool of projects that we do have. Again 1 – 2 examples.

Projects:

PSPS CWSP - MORAGA 1103 - CB (PM OWNER-

- a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
- b. Permit Dependencies;
 - i. Town of Moraga
 - ii. City of Orinda
- c. Project is nearly ready to release to construction

-PSPS - CLAYTON 2215 - CB (PM OWNER a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the

- work plan June, 2021.
- b. Permit Dependencies;
 - i. Contra Costa County
 - ii. Walnut Creek
 - iii. City of Concord
- c. Land Dependencies:

- i. One of three easements gained
- d. Environmental Dependencies;
 - i. ERTC expected after Land easements cleared

Focus is to understand

- What is the process currently used to clear the dependencies related to (other dependencies or requirements that have newly been put in place)
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination
- See the same tab as above.

Group 3

Undergrounding projects in the high risk area that are in estimating – Again 1 - 2. Focus is to understand

Projects:

- CWSP TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.1 (PM OWNER CWSP TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.2 (PM OWNER
 - What to the best of our knowledge are the challenges we expect to fact regarding (everyone to understand that this is a bit speculative, but given the location of the project what can we anticipate)
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination
- See the "Top 20" tab. These circuits and zones were selected by down to the projects. I would start with Middletown under
- You could ask all the PMs on the list if they have anything as unique as Bucks Creek, but frankly I wonder if we weren't clear enough that Buck's Creek is an outlier as far as dependency complication goes.
- Middletown is a much better cross section of our work in my opinion.
- Wish I could have made more progress on this for you but I ran out of time.

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

Or call in (audio only)

Find a local number | Reset PIN

Need Help with Teams? Click on the Help option in this invite to connect you directly to our Teams at PG&E Training site!

<u>Learn More | Help | Meeting options</u>