From:
Sent:
To:

Subject:Executive Field Trip PrepLocation:Microsoft Teams MeetingStart:6/29/2021 8:30:00 AMEnd:6/29/2021 9:30:00 AM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Meeting organized

Required Attendees: Optional Attendees:

Group 1

Recently completed undergrounding projects – just 1 or 2. The mileage may not be long. Focus is to understand.

Projects:

- CWSP - MORAGA 1102 & 1104 – OCB (PM OWNER

a. vvnat was the cycle time of the project?

- i. Estimating Began 8/28/19-CN24 Completed 4/14/2020
- b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project?
 - i. Challenging work was on PG&E property at/near Substation.
 - ii. Creek crossing required additional bio/enviro review...private property.
 - iii. Veg took two full weeks to complete due to extra care with property owners
 - iv. 1104 went underground (4,165 LF) from the Sub and 1102 (2,751 LF) was hardened in place...remaining OH utilizing composite poles.

OCGC CWSP: X-1104 OH RECOND SKYLINE (PM OWNER

a. vvnat was the cycle time of the project?

- i. Estimating Began 9/27/2019-CN24 Completed 11/05/2020
- ii. No Land ownership issues trenching, all in 'franchise'/PUE
- b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project?
 - No new easements were needed
 - Took two months to receive encroachment permit
 - Popular hiking trail area where construction crews were required to be sensitive to ingress/egress of hikers.

Group 2

Undergrounding projects that have completed Estimating but are in the Dependency Clearing Stage – Do not need to be high risk projects. We pull from the pool of projects that we do have. Again 1-2 examples.

Projects:

PSPS CWSP - MORAGA 1103 - CB (PM OWNER

- a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
- b. Permit Dependencies;
 - i. Town of Moraga

- ii. City of Orinda
- c. Project is nearly ready to release to construction, BC and civil contract remaining dependencies.
- d. No new Easements needed
- e. Creek Crossing Bio and Enviro reviews.
- f. When completed _____ people will more than likely not be affected by a PSPS event.
- g. Construction is planned in 2022
 - PSPS CLAYTON 2215 CB (PM OWNER
- a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
- b. Permit Dependencies;
 - i. Contra Costa County
 - ii. Walnut Creek
 - iii. City of Concord
- c. Land Dependencies;
 - i. One of three easements gained from City of Walnut Creek (\$10k), in process to gain another from Save Mount Diablo (\$3.6k is the initial offer), and the last one is need from Crystal Ranch HOA (\$3.5k initial offer).
- d. Environmental Dependencies;
 - i. ERTC expected after Land task are cleared in SAP.

Focus is to understand

- What is the process currently used to clear the dependencies related to (other dependencies or requirements that have newly been put in place)
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination
- See the same tab as above.

Group 3

Undergrounding projects in the high risk area that are in estimating – Again 1 - 2. Focus is to understand

Projecto

CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.1 (PM OWNER

- a. No Land Ownership negotiations on this project.....all in a PUE.
- b. Permit needed from East Bay Regional Parks (3-6 months to ascertain)
- c. Permit needed from Contra Costa County
- d. Project will need to be all performed at night due to traffic issues

2

CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.2 (PM OWNER

- a. No Land Ownership negotiations on this project.....all in a PUE.
- b. Permit needed from East Bay Regional Parks (3-6 months to ascertain)
- c. Permit needed from Contra Costa County
- d. Project will need to be all performed at night due to traffic issues
- What to the best of our knowledge are the challenges we expect to face regarding (everyone to understand that this is a bit speculative, but given the location of the project what can we anticipate)
 - Land Ownership Negotiations
 - State Agency Coordination
- See the "Top 20" tab. These circuits and zones were selected by down to the projects. I would start with Middletown unde
- You could ask all the PMs on the list if they have anything as unique as Bucks Creek, but frankly I wonder if we weren't clear enough that Buck's Creek is an outlier as far as dependency complication goes.

- Middletown is a much better cross section of our work in my opinion.
- Wish I could have made more progress on this for you but I ran out of time.

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

Click here to join the meeting

Or call in (audio only)

Find a local number | Reset PIN

Need Help with Teams? Click on the Help option in this invite to connect you directly to our Teams at PG&E Training site!

Learn More | Help | Meeting options