From: Sent: Subject: Attachments:

7/12/2021 12:39:46 PM

Intent Contacts & Letter Template; List of projects.xlsx; PR Preparation - Template & CMDB ; RE: Joint Pole Liability

Hi

Here's a download of what's happening.

- Coverage
  - has the Wednesday calls covered
    - has all the rest covered accept for the 29<sup>th</sup> but he's hitting up for that day.
- Estimating
  - Harsh is being taxed heavily to focus on tag work
  - Lori is really stressed
  - There's no way they're going to deliver what they promised for June.
  - I've felt like the Wednesday report out along with my summary emails of the Thursday call are about as much pressure as that team can take from us.
  - Especially with tags being higher rank than us, I don't see that anything would come of an escalation.
  - They have shifted all 2022 work out 1 month.
  - Our Thursday calls have mostly been focused on confirming that circuit map change sheets are being routed when they are supposed to if at all.
    - This has turned out to be a terrible mess. Many of the estimators weren't submitting CMCS routings until asked to get a BC through.
    - They still don't have the habit formed but it's improving slowly.
    - It clearly shows that they are way behind for their monthly target.
    - This may be something to consider for other MAT codes.
    - 4-mile Remote Grid (Buy Out) Job
  - She's got this under control just wanted to start with good news.
  - The buy out is complete
  - **Grand all** dependencies in a week and is working on the BC should be ready for a phase gate the week you get back
    - assigned it to contract. So, might need help rushing the contract through.
  - Estimating will be out on 7/2
  - Much of the 4 miles, maybe all of it, was burned down is working with QC and Land to verify what's gone and what remains.
  - We could claim these 4 miles in July. Possibly the last week in June if QC can confirm it's all gone.
- •

0

has agreed to move to all POs for our work and move away from VMS at my

mentioned in an email that some processes around the POs might be changing a little and offered to provide our team with a training. I copied you on my response. I'm happy to set up the training when I return if it isn't urgent.

Letter for Joint Trench

request.

∘ Veg,

- The federal monitor wants PG&E to be notifying other utilities when we plan to underground our lines and offer them th<u>e opportunity to put their facilities in a joint trench.</u>
- I was notified of this by
- It is our understanding that the other utilities would still need to pay for their porting as they would on a rule 20
- had an ADE send me a version of a letter that is sent out to the other utilities for new business work that goes underground.
- $\circ\,$  The estimating managers and I were going to review the letter, update it, and I was going to send it

to legal for review.

- We would still need to lock down how we send it out, who sends it out and tracks responses.
- $\circ\,$  The initial emails from that ADE are attached.
- I haven't done anything with this yet.
  - is still struggling. The improvement is very very slow but there is always a little improvement. I've spoken with the struggling and two other folks about this issue.
- The issue is really that he doesn't have a good grasp of what is going on with his projects and who needs to be taking action.
- I've had about 3 project managers and question me about him because of his performance on our calls.
- I've asked and to have someone, possibly a come along side and coach him.
  seems to be another according After 30 days on the job his portfolio is dialed. I attribute that to according and coaching and drive. He seems to have figured out our processes in 30 days and is driving his work hard.
- One positive and one of my reasons for continuing to work with is that at least he is transparent. He tells me what he doesn't know when I ask and tells me he's lost. I didn't feel the same with The other reason is we can't afford to loos anyone else in that part of our territory.
- We can't afford to loose anyone else out there because as soon as can be came on his fatherin-law passed away from cancer. Then just a few days later his mother-in-law was diagnosed with stage 4 advanced cancer and is only expected to live 3 – 4 weeks more. She's lived with for 20 years so he and his wife are taking leaves for 3-4 weeks or longer depending on what takes place.
- I spoke to in person. I get the impressing he's truly <u>planning</u> to return but who knows.
- Soooo, portfolio hasn't progressed much at all since left.
- Our plan is to put down there.
- back. Long story. Said he won't leave again.
- <u>I sat in on his meet and greet.</u> He seems very strong.
- o agreed to put portfolio until gets back.
- $\circ\,$  I think we should reassess at the end of July and see where ,
- Construction Calls on Tuesday's
  - The Tuesday construction calls you set up have been good in the sense that I'm connecting with the folks that need to update the data.
  - However, as you picked up from on our call with her, the construction teams are laser focused on tags. E&F tags I think in tier 2 & 3.
  - So they have huddles during this call time and we don't have much more than on the call.
- Tag work VS SH work.
  - As I think you probably know by now, SH is priority three. Tags are 1 and I don't know what 2 is. I'm sure you'll get up to speed on this pretty quick.
  - There's apparently 500,000 tags they want complete over the next 2 years.
  - Obviously there's a lot of concern from the SH team that work will slow or stop.
  - $\circ\,$  I think it already is.
- Potential Pole Issue
  - mentioned that his GC team is having trouble getting poles.
  - I told him to check with
  - Every time and I have talked there has been plenty of inventory but maybe the tag work has impacted it
  - <u>o I'd just check in with Jeff.</u>
    - / VP July Visit
  - I copied you on the emails from Andy Abranches that spell out the three potential types of projects they want to consider as <u>candida</u>tes to be visited.
  - You may consider putting n charge of this.
  - They are specifically looking at underground work.
  - They would prefer to see multiple agency conflicts.
  - Here's the three groups they're asking us to consider

Group 1

Recently completed undergrounding projects – just 1 or 2. The mileage may not be long. Focus is to understand.

- What was the cycle time of the project ?
- What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?
  - Land Ownership Negotiations
  - State Agency Coordination
- See the attached excel file under the "Completed UG" tab. This is UG work that is out of estimating though closed out status.

## Group 2

Undergrounding projects that have completed Estimating but are in the Dependency Clearing Stage – Do not need to be high risk projects. We pull from the pool of projects that we do have. Again 1 - 2 examples.

Focus is to understand

- What is the process currently used to clear the dependencies related to (other dependencies or requirements that have newly been put in place)
  - Land Ownership Negotiations
  - State Agency Coordination
- See the same tab as above.

## Group 3

Undergrounding projects in the high risk area that are in estimating – Again 1 - 2. Focus is to understand

- What to the best of our knowledge are the challenges we expect to fact regarding (everyone to understand that this is a bit speculative, but given the location of the project what can we anticipate)
  - Land Ownership Negotiations
  - State Agency Coordination
- See the "Top 20" tab. These circuits and zones were selected by I isolated it down to the projects. I would start with Middletown under
- You could ask all the PMs on the list if they have anything as unique as but frankly I wonder if we weren't clear enough that the second sec
- is a much better cross section of our work in my opinion.
- Wish I could have made more progress on this for you but I ran out of time.

I will answer if you call my personal phone.

PMP
Project Manager
Mobile:
Office:
Internal
Email
Pacific Gas and Electric Company