

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Sent: 5/6/2021 5:49:23 PM
Subject: RE: Request for April EOM WMP Risk and Quality Metrics
Attachments: System Hardening Daily Summary 05/04/21

Hi [REDACTED]
We've included the KPMG team in our daily reporting so they can produce risk graph at any given time.

[REDACTED]
For April month-end, please use the May 4th report per earlier correspondence this week. I've attached it again for your records.

[REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | PG&E - System Hardening

Some of the measures included in this email are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 5:41 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for April EOM WMP Risk and Quality Metrics

[REDACTED] & [REDACTED]
Can we provide an updated version of the attached with data through April? (Maybe you've already done this.)

For the Risk Priority of the miles we've worked YTD and the full 2021 workplan, we show in this excel which miles are in the top 20%, is it possible to break out the miles by each quintile:

- Top 20%
- 20-40%
- 40-60%
- 60-80%
- Bottom 20%

Or similar, if that exact split doesn't work I'm open to other ideas.

[REDACTED] & Team:
We don't really have solid quality metrics yet to speak to the quality of the work. We have two quality checks on all of the miles: work verification and IA validated, but where those counts of miles are less than the construction completed miles that is just a time lag, not because the quality of the open miles is poor. We are working to figure out how to communicate the quality of system hardening.

Thanks,
[REDACTED] | Director, Wildfire Work Delivery
Electric Operations – Major Projects and Programs
[REDACTED] cell | [REDACTED]

Nothing matters more at PG&E than public, employee and contractor safety.

Some of the measures included in this email are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 4:50 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for April EOM WMP Risk and Quality Metrics

Adding [REDACTED] in case he has insight into the MPP Wildfire quality metric development as well.

Best,

[REDACTED] | **Director (Interim), CWSP PMO**
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
[REDACTED] cell | [REDACTED]

Some of the measures included in this [document/email/presentation/study] are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 4:32 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Request for April EOM WMP Risk and Quality Metrics

Hello [REDACTED] & [REDACTED]

I am in the process of completing the draft for the EOM April SNO Report. In previous months we have reported WMP Risk and Quality Metrics have been under development and have gradually provided updates as they become available (please see attached file for last months report out). I am reaching out as I would like to provide the April month end information for

1. The risk priority of the units being completed in key WMP programs, including EVM, system hardening and asset inspections
2. The quality performance of the work completed in the same key WMP programs (if available for reporting?)

Would either of you be able to assist with getting this information to add to the report by EOD tomorrow Friday May 7th?

Regards,

[REDACTED] | **Senior Electric Program Manager- CWSP PMO**
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
[REDACTED] Cell | [REDACTED]

Some of the measures included in this [document/email/presentation/study] are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires

[REDACTED] | **Senior Electric Program Manager- CWSP PMO**
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Some of the measures included in this [document/email/presentation/study] are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires