

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**CC:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 2/22/2021 10:20:06 AM  
**Subject:** RE: 2021 Work Plan Change Requests for Wildfire Governance Committee Consideration  
**Attachments:** 08W Projects on Hold - 01.13.21.xlsx; Project List MAT 08W - Hard Pivot Review 110320.xlsx; Project List MAT 08W 2.0\_021821\_WGC Tracking.xlsx

**\*\*\*\*\*CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.\*\*\*\*\***

Hey [REDACTED]

I'm working with [REDACTED] to develop materials for the committee to support this request and wanted to validate a few of the orders with you:

- [REDACTED] - CWSP-BRUNSWICK-1103-LR2200 HARDENING PH2 (**Suggest to move to Remove status**): This project is not on the latest 08W that [REDACTED] has shared with me (2.18.2021 – attached). I also realized that this order number had been marked complete in the hard pivot review (11.03.2020 – attached). Can you confirm this order number and that work has not started on PH2?
- [REDACTED] - CWSP - PINE GROVE 1102 - LR1222 - PH 2.6: This order is not on the on-hold projects list that I am tracking (1.13.2021 – attached) and similar to the above, it was marked as complete in the hard pivot review.

Both of these just seem to be data inconsistencies; Let me know if there is a different source of data I should be looking at for the details on these projects that better reflects the thoughts you expressed below.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
kpmg

[REDACTED] KPMG LLP

Manager, Strategy

Mobile [REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 19, 2021 7:34 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] FW: 2021 Work Plan Change Requests for Wildfire Governance Committee Consideration

[REDACTED]

This is something I'd like to build into a future approval meeting. Got any ideas on how to frame these in a slide or 2 for a decision?

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 2, 2021 2:30 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: 2021 Work Plan Change Requests for Wildfire Governance Committee Consideration

PGE-DIXIE-NDCAL-000014712

I think it could be worthwhile to bring these forward, including the removal request, maybe with the exception of the Underground Demonstration project that's still in estimating. The others seem to fall into the following categories:

Request to Keep:

- In Construction, work started, missed during initial inventory of work in construction [REDACTED]
- In Construction, work not started, but significant effort to secure permit would damage relationship [REDACTED]
- In Construction – complete upstream mainline phase [REDACTED]
- Pending, but significant effort to secure permit would damage relationship [REDACTED]

Request to Review:

- Pending, but significant effort to secure easement expended [REDACTED]
- Pending, but significant effort to secure permit [REDACTED]
- Pending – complete mainline phase between two previously completed mainline phases [REDACTED]

Do we want to get [REDACTED] to put these in some slides?

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 2, 2021 1:22 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: 2021 Work Plan Change Requests for Wildfire Governance Committee Consideration

What do you think about proposing any of these? These are all existing projects that were removed on first go.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 2, 2021 1:16 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** 2021 Work Plan Change Requests for Wildfire Governance Committee Consideration

Below are 14 projects that we are requesting be reviewed by the governance committee.

We are proposing the following;

- Move 7 jobs from “Remove” status to “Keep”
  - Move 2 jobs from “Keep” to “Remove”
  - 5 Jobs to have the “Remove” status confirmed given additional details provided below.
- 
- [REDACTED] - CWSP - PINE GROVE 1102 - LR1222 - PH 2.6
    - 15 poles installed.
    - Recommend that this project be moved to “Keep” status
  - [REDACTED] - CWSP - MIWUK 1701\_OCB - PH 1.5 (**Suggest to move to Remove status**)
    - In CONS and only 5 poles installed.
    - 1 location missed during land review that will require redesign of the project or imminent domain. Have been pursuing land rights since summer of 2020.
    - Suggest that this project be moved to the remove list and we have GC either frame the 5 poles around the existing line if practical or remove the poles and close out the project.
  - [REDACTED] - CWSP - STANISLAUS 1702 - LR1888 - PH 1.2
    - 15 poles installed

- SAP issues are keeping this project in UNSC status
- Requests to move to “Keep” status
- [REDACTED] - CWSP - STANISLAUS 1702 - LR1888 - PH 2.3
  - 3 easements have been acquired for this project (+/- \$500 each)
  - 4<sup>th</sup> easement is underway with an expected cost of +/- \$2500
  - No other critical reason to consider keeping this project.
  - Wanted to confirm the “Remove” status in light of easement status
- [REDACTED] - PSPS - CLAYTON 2215 - CB
  - 3 easements - 1 with city of Walnut Creek all terms accepted - 1 with Save Mt. Diablo some language clarifications still underway. \$ amount accepted. - 3rd with a development company \$ amount accepted just need terms finalized.
  - No other critical reason to consider keeping this project.
  - Wanted to confirm the “Remove” status in light of easement status
- [REDACTED] - CWSP - MIWUK 1702 - LR 6018 - PH 1.1
  - This Phase 1.1 is mainline that sits in between Phase 1.2 and 1.3 both of which are mainline and have been hardened.
  - Want to confirm the “Remove” status in light of the hardening work performed on the phases on each end of this portion of mainline.
- [REDACTED] - RECON-6 SPANS CAMP ONE TEN MILE FT BRAGG
  - This is a project for which we have applied for a Coastal Development Permit with the Agency and has been one public hearing on the project already. It is anticipated that the permit will be issued in early 2021. This permit took 2 years to obtain, and many messages to the agency that it be prioritized due to the critical nature of the work. The agency has recently agreed to use an emergency statutory exemption under CEQA to approve the project, with the understanding that a longer CEQA process would prevent our ability to perform critical wildfire work.
  - Requests to move to “Keep” status
- [REDACTED] - RECON 2300 FT - NUNS CANYON -DUNBAR 1101
  - Obtaining this permit required several years of discussion with the CDFW and messaging that the work is critical to the wildfire plan. PG&E also developed a mitigation plan and will be on the hook for implementing and paying for it regardless of whether or not this project moves forward.
  - Requests to move to “Keep” status
- [REDACTED] - CWSP:EL DORADO PH 2101 LR 63464 OH TO UG
  - This is a pilot project to test underground technology
  - Wanted to confirm the “Remove” status of a pilot project.
- [REDACTED] - CWSP HALF MOON BAY 1102 FUCO 12457
  - GGNRA permit received and took two years.
  - Want to confirm “Remove” status give the recipe of the long lead permit.
- [REDACTED] - CWSP-BRUNSWICK 1103 LR50070 ZONE-PH 2.3
  - PM team was told to keep 2.1, 2.2, 2.4, and 2.5
    - [REDACTED]
    - [REDACTED]
    - [REDACTED]
    - [REDACTED]
  - All of these projects are in CONS
  - 2.1 & 2.2 are 75%+ complete.
  - Requesting that this project (Phase 2.3 – [REDACTED]) be moved to “Keep” status with the other phases.
- [REDACTED] - CWSP-BRUNSWICK-1103-LR2200 HARDENING PH2 (**Suggest to move to Remove status**)
  - PM team was told to keep this phase but told to remove Phase 1 - [REDACTED], Phase 3 - [REDACTED] & Phase 4 - [REDACTED]
  - No work has started on phase 2
  - Suggest that either all phases are moved to “Keep” status or move phase 2 to “Remove” status.
- [REDACTED] - OH RECONDUCTOR - [REDACTED] 2105 -S026CC117
  - This is a project for which we have applied for a Coastal Development Permit with the Santa Cruz County and have been working with them for a the last year. In progress with Santa Cruz since Feb 2019. CDFW permit underway since Aug 2020. Permits expected by 11/21/21. Would be a detriment to our relationship with CDFW and Santa Cruz to cancel this project.
  - Request that this project be moved to “Keep” status to preserve relationship with CDFW and Santa

Cruz county

- [REDACTED] 2105: OH Reconducto [REDACTED] 2105 - S027CC118
  - This is a project for which we have applied for a Coastal Development Permit with the Santa Cruz County and have also been working with CDFW on work within critical habitat on their property. Both agencies have been engaged on the project for the last year. In progress with Santa Cruz since Feb 2019. CDFW permit underway since Aug 2020. Permits expected by 11/21/21. Would be a detriment to our relationship with CDFW and Santa Cruz to cancel this project.
  - Request that this project be moved to "Keep" status to preserve relationship with CDFW and Santa Cruz county

[REDACTED] PMP

Project Manager

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Office: [REDACTED]

Internal [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside KPMG. Do not click links, open attachments or forward unless you recognize the sender, the sender's email domain and you know the content is safe. Forward suspicious emails as an attachment to US-KPMG SPAM Collection Mailbox ([spam@KPMG.com](mailto:spam@KPMG.com)).

---

The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. When addressed to our clients any opinions or advice contained in this email are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing KPMG client engagement letter.

\*\*\*\*\*