
From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2/2/2021 1:39:33 PM
Subject: RE: System Hardening Status
Attachments: Project List MAT 08W 2.0 2-2-21 1338.xlsx

I'm showing 104 miles at stage 13 or beyond.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 9:52 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: System Hardening Status

*******CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.*******

Hi [REDACTED]

Reaching out regarding the status tracking overview I sent last night; [REDACTED] wants to open the discussion tonight (and future SH discussions) with the a review of those materials. I've attached an updated version, mostly revised formatting.

Do we need to touch base today to ground out any inconsistencies versus your expectations of what projects are being picked up?

Thanks,

 [REDACTED] | KPMG LLP
Manager, Strategy
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:20 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: System Hardening Status

Sounds good – thanks [REDACTED]. I know there has been a fair bit of back and forth on the validity of filling up the whole 3-year plan, with knowledge that the model may shift. I think [REDACTED] concern is more so about whether you guys have enough miles approved for scoping to fill up the opportunity hopper of projects. This topic may warrant some further discussion with [REDACTED] or potentially [REDACTED] to understand the guidance is there.

For the status tracking, see attached for the back-up to those slides (if that makes it easier for your back-checking). I used executive status 13 as the cut-off for mitigation approved.

 [REDACTED] | KPMG LLP
Manager, Strategy
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 11:00 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: System Hardening Status

I have work that I am trying to adapt to the new template. We will get what we can. Everything looks ok to be inform based on the wide range we were given on the RSE.

So the question is what do we think about getting the approval to scope anything in the top 8500 miles? That's great and all, but that's not a direction. Do you want me to fill up all 3 years of miles based on the 2021 model? And then shift around and bring other work forward every time the model changes?

I'll have to look closer at the tracking. It doesn't seem to be catching everything.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:52 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: System Hardening Status

*******CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.*******

Morning [REDACTED]

Hope you guys had a good weekend. I just want to reach out on a few points ahead of the meeting on Tuesday: **Approvals for this week:** I know it's a pretty quick turnaround for the Tuesday meeting, so wanted to see if we're going to have projects to present for decision or inform? Let me know if there's anything I can do to help the team.

Top 20% Approval: [REDACTED] wants to widen the aperture for the team by getting blanket approval to scope the top 20%. Feels like this should be an easy no-regrets approval for the committee, but wanted to check with you guys to make sure you don't have issues with that approach.

Project Tracking / Status: Attached are a few draft slides for project tracking (mostly high-grading from the executive status designation in the latest 08W). These reflect the latest spreadsheet that [REDACTED] provided on Friday and I wanted to run it back through you guys before passing anything to [REDACTED]. The only updates/validations that we'd need to do are:

Updating the three projects that went to the committee as an inform on Friday (1/29) to executive status 13.

Validate order # [REDACTED] (UPPER LAKE 1101CB), which is still at executive status 8. I believe this was approved on 1/21, with a condition to check on buy-out options.

Best,

[REDACTED]

 | KPMG LLP
Manager, Strategy

[REDACTED]

The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure,

PGE-DIXIE-NDCAL-000014206

copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. When addressed to our clients any opinions or advice contained in this email are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing KPMG client engagement letter.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside KPMG. Do not click links, open attachments or forward unless you recognize the sender, the sender's email domain and you know the content is safe. Forward suspicious emails as an attachment to US-KPMG SPAM Collection Mailbox (spam@KPMG.com).

The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. When addressed to our clients any opinions or advice contained in this email are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing KPMG client engagement letter.
