From: To:

Sent: 1/15/2021 8:18:21 AM

Subject: Project List MAT 08W 2.0 - Clarification

Attachments: Project List MAT 08W 2.0.xlsx

******CAUTION: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Think before clicking links or opening attachments.*****



I'm working a separate piece of analysis for the projects in the pipeline for system hardening. To do that, I'm trying to leverage the latest 08W estimates for risk reduction and have noticed that there are five (5) projects which are reducing more risk (based off of the equation in 'column W' than there more risk reduced than there is total risk in 'column T'). Can you or someone from your team confirm this calculation, or maybe just help me understand it?

The thing that appears to be driving the difference here is that these projects have more miles in scope than what's in the HFTD, and the risk reduction is factored up by the ratio of Residual miles to Total HFTD zone miles.

Residual Miles (SAP EST)	Circuit Name	Circuit Protection Zone	*	Pixel(s)	Total HFTD Zone Miles	Mean MAVF Core Risk Rank	Total M/ Core Ri Value
1.78	RINCON 1104	RINCON 1104CB	7.0	34	0.560244368	3302	0.00014
4.81	TIDEWATER 2106	TIDEWATER 210614072		30	3.665203073	18	19.5993
0.19	BRUNSWICK 1110	BRUNSWICK 1110CB		26	0.003417747	2134	0.16685
0.87	OLETA 1101	OLETA 1101CB		2	0.084663907	95	0.70276
8.58	COARSEGOLD 2104	COARSEGOLD 2104CB		27	2.427683646	22	16.0848



The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. When addressed to our clients any opinions or advice contained in this email are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing KPMG client engagement letter.
