
From:
To: [REDACTED]
CC:
Sent: 1/7/2021 4:25:00 PM
Subject: FW: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up
Attachments: 2021 LTIP Metric Summary - Enhanced Vegetation Management V10.docx; 2021 LTIP Metric Summary - System Hardening V10.docx; Public Safety LTIP Target Setting V42.pptx

We have to re-word the basis. The original 13-year plan was intended to address 7,100 miles based on the old risk model. The new model has 8,755 miles for the top 20% of risk (8,738 if you remove the <1 Km segments).

Since our plan is based on top 20% miles PLUS Fire Rebuild PLUS PSPS Mitigation, we cannot achieve the 8,755 miles in 13 years because the miles per year would be too great.

Also, since the model will change from year to year, there will always be a new top 20%.

We need to be able to explain to others the basis of the mileage and the duration of the program for our Wildfire Mitigation Plan. As we heard yesterday, we need to include the entire LTIP detail.

The 8,755 miles would take ~16 years if we only focused on that, but assuming 20% is not in the "High Risk Areas" we would be addressing 8,755 miles / 80% = 10,944 miles for top 20% circuits, PSPS Mitigation and Fire Rebuild. Further if we assumed that the High Risk Area miles is split 80% for top 20% and the remaining 20% for PSPS Mitigation and Fire Rebuild, then you'd need to address 10,944 / 80% = 13,680 miles. Averaging 550 miles per year would equal 13,680 miles / 550 miles per year = 25 years. We should talk about a 25 year program to address the High Risk Areas (including the top 20% riskiest segments).

I'll put something on the calendar to discuss.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 6, 2021 5:01 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

This is the latest as of today. All numbers should match.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 4:58 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

Also, the Word document shows ad 2023 target of 564. It doesn't look like it aligns with the powerpoint. Is 1,127 the target for all three years?

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 6, 2021 4:51 PM

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

Are these the latest? Are they approved?

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 6, 2021 4:50 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

[REDACTED]
Per our chat. I received these on 12/30 from [REDACTED] it's possible there have been some changes in the last week.

Thanks,
[REDACTED] | Director, EO Regulatory Strategy & CWSP PMO
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
[REDACTED]

Nothing matters more at PG&E than public, employee and contractor safety.

Some of the measures included in this email are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, January 03, 2021 3:13 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

[REDACTED]
Happy New Year. Hope you had good Holidays.

I'm attaching the most recent version of the deck that [REDACTED] mentioned. Note, the 2023 System Hardening targets are not fully vetted – I'm not sure if you need these for the 2021 WMP. If you are planning on including them, please let me and we can figure out the best way to handle it.

I will keep you updated in case there are any last-minute changes to the 2021 and 2022 targets.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2020 3:57 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] mentioned today that there are powerpoint decks that go with these word docs. Can you send me the PPT decks as well?

Thanks,
[REDACTED] | Director, EO Regulatory Strategy & CWSP PMO
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
[REDACTED]

Nothing matters more at PG&E than public, employee and contractor safety.

Some of the measures included in this email are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2020 11:59 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

[REDACTED]

Please see the metric definition documents attached. The 2021 targets are good to go. The document has the description of calculations, conditions, and exceptions.

Let me know if you have any questions,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2020 11:51 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

I was not able to validate the 2023 SH targets with [REDACTED] from a funding view point in the GRC.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | PG&E | Sr. Director, Risk – Special Projects | [REDACTED] |

•
There is no such thing as a small act of kindness; every act creates a ripple with no logical end.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2020 11:50 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

[REDACTED]

Will do. Before I send the details to [REDACTED] though – did you have a chance to validate the 2023 SH targets with [REDACTED]

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2020 11:48 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

[REDACTED]

Yes I am. Let me have [REDACTED] send over the specifics.

Can you send over both the SH and EV – description and targets. For SH, Option 2, For EVM – 1800 miles.
To [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | PG&E | Sr. Director, Risk – Special Projects | [REDACTED]

There is no such thing as a small act of kindness; every act creates a ripple with no logical end.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2020 11:12 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up
Importance: High

[REDACTED] Are you familiar with the details of the two effectiveness metrics, how they are calculated and what our 2021 targets are for these?

System Hardening Effectiveness

EVM Effectiveness

These two items are currently NOT called out in the 2021 WMP but it sounds like the instruction from the OPC is to make them 2021 WMP Commitments so they can be captured through EOEP in the WMP Metrics Compliance item and left out of the Safety & Operational Metrics compliance item. I'm not sure if you were in the meeting where this was discussed but is that consistent with your understanding?

Thanks,
[REDACTED] | Director, EO Regulatory Strategy & CWSP PMO
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
[REDACTED]

Nothing matters more at PG&E than public, employee and contractor safety.

Some of the measures included in this email are contemplated as additional precautionary measures intended to further reduce the risk of wildfires.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2020 6:58 PM
To: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: EOEP SOMs Decision Meeting Follow Up

Redacted for Privilege

Redacted for Privilege

Redacted for Privilege