















The top 50 riskiest r	niles represer	nt 4.9	% of the	e svste	m risk.		
PPGE							
	_						
	Protection Zone Name	Miles	Cumulative	Mean MAVF	Total CPZ MAVF	% total risk	
	OREGON TRAIL			and the state of the			
25,000 Currulative CP2 Risk	1103CU5391	0.02	0.02	3.16	3.16	0.01%	
	CALPINE 1144276-G	0.01	0.03	1.88	1.88	0.01%	
	MARIPOSA 210190130	0.08	0.12	1.69	1.69	0.02%	
	SHEPHERD 2111688294	0.01	0.13	1.44	1.44	0.02%	
	MIDDLETOWN 1103CB	0.05	0.18	1.30	5.20	0.03%	
	UPPER LAKE 1101CB	1.00	1.17	1.26	3.77	0.04%	
	KESWICK 11011586	6.66	7.83	1.25	48.84	0.17%	
	MIDDLETOWN				1	100000	
	1102302610	4.21	12.04	0.92	48,56	0.29%	
	KONOCII 1102965078	5.61	17.65	88.0	51.70	0.42%	
	MARIPUSA 2102241584	0.04	10.23	0.77	10.01	0.4476	
	DEL MAR 2109378446	4.29	22.56	0.73	2.19	0.47%	
	MIDDLETOWN 1102CB	0.42	23.08	0.72	8 70	0.49%	
	MIDDLETOWN 1103830	24.80	47.88	0.72	151.83	0.87%	
0	************************						
0 5,000 10,000 15,000 20,000 25,000	Key Takeaways						
	 Mitigating 25 of the 	 Mitigating 25 of the 50 riskiest miles within PG&E's service territory would reduce "0.5% of PG8 56 testal wildflow side. 					
Grouit Protection Zone (CPZ) Ranked Miles	PORE'S LOCAL WIIGHT	e nsk.					
	 Reason It is only 0.5 	5% Is becau	se this is across	all circuits in H	FTD's (~25,000 mile	es)	
	 On each project a n 	nore granu	lar risk spend ef	ficiency calcula	tion can and will be	e performed on	
	an NPV basis once t	an NPV basis once the project is fully scoped similar to what is shown on the Keswick					
	circuit protection zo	circuit protection zone					
	*						

8





10











PGE-DIXIE-NDCAL-000009900





17