

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**CC:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 4/21/2021 12:53:28 PM  
**Subject:** FW: MAVF scores for Enhanced Vegetation Management  
**Attachments:** FW: System Hardening Project vs Program RSE

[REDACTED]  
I don't have enough context to understand if this will be adequate for what is going on with C55, and would like to come up to speed. In the mean time, I'm forwarding this email to you.

If there is a follow up discussion with Copperleaf regarding scores for System Hardening, let's follow [REDACTED] advice and include [REDACTED]

Thanks,  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 21, 2021 12:21 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: MAVF scores for Enhanced Vegetation Management

[REDACTED]  
I can share what I have, but want to make sure we're aligned with all the work our team has been doing with Vanessa.

There is the MAVF circuit segment scoring for, of which [REDACTED]/SH team would identify the appropriate circuits, and what PORTION of the Circuit Segment (CS) they intend to do System Hardening work on. IF they do have, they assume they are working on half the risk score for that circuit segment, then subsequently apply the effectiveness (62% for OH, ~99% for UG) to get to the MAVF Risk Buydown. Individual projects they are scoping and calculating risk reduction needs to come from [REDACTED]

The file I have is the overall risk scores that I thought could be brought over, C55 user input can list the % of CS they are doing the work, the type of hardening chosen, and subsequently the cost of the project to help with prioritization.

Thanks.  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 21, 2021 12:14 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: MAVF scores for Enhanced Vegetation Management

[REDACTED]  
Given [REDACTED] email, I'll shift my focus to system hardening. Do you know if we have MAVF scores for any system hardening projects?  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 21, 2021 10:53 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: MAVF scores for Enhanced Vegetation Management

Perhaps [REDACTED] have a better answer. I've asked for this material (for C55 work and other reasons) to the EVM team, and initially, was referred to [REDACTED]. However, I hadn't had much success getting down to the risk scores they have been sharing. Attached is my most recent email thread and [REDACTED] points to additional changes requested by [REDACTED] before re-providing the dataset.

Thanks.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 21, 2021 8:44 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** MAVF scores for Enhanced Vegetation Management

Who is the right planning/risk contact to get MAVF scores for enhanced vegetation management? Context: as part of C55 value framework implementation, the team is looking for MAVF scores for sample wildfire programs. We are separately reaching out to [REDACTED] on System Hardening. Or should we be going to [REDACTED]

Thanks in advance,