
From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Sent: 3/23/2021 5:45:36 PM
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4
Attachments: RE: O8W-PM [REDACTED] - Bucks Creek 1101 Phase 1,2&3 - Pre-Engineering Meeting

Hi [REDACTED]

I didn't want to not answer you on this thread, so I am attaching the other email with your questions/concerns regarding BPOs to loop that in and also answer the questions specific to the Keswick projects. Our team has decided to use BPO's predominately for the System Hardening work, so that we can mobilize and make changes quickly without waiting for individual project CWA's to be approved. I have to admit that this is the first time that I personally have used a BPO(which is what is being used for the Keswick work), but Land Surveys has been using them predominately for handling the contract survey work for System Hardening. As [REDACTED] mentions in the other email, the contractors are supposed to submit the accruals/estimates for their monthly work through Salesforce that we then review/approve which should provide forecasting insight to your team. We can definitely also request the survey contractors to give us the this forecasting information separately for the multiple months and send it directly to you since you don't have Salesforce access. I am not sure what might cause the issues that you reference below, but the cost proposals submitted and approved by the Survey Contractors are "not to exceed", so unless there is some kind of tangible change that you are aware of to the SOW your team will not be seeing an increase in the total cost to what we have already shown you. Also, you are correct that the costs will be KB62'd to your project numbers as we receive invoices.

In the case of Keswick: Total [REDACTED]
Segment 1.1(pm# [REDACTED]) [REDACTED]
Segment 1.3(pm# [REDACTED]) [REDACTED]
Segment 1.4(pm# [REDACTED]) [REDACTED]

I am not sure that I have a direct answer to who is the owner of the BPO as the BPO's have been built against various General Orders. The one for Tetrattech being used for Keswick, is held against a number that was assigned to Land Surveys and I am the one the submitted the BPO contract information, so in effect I could possibly be considered the "owner". I don't think this is the case for all of the BPO's that may be used to be consistently held against this same number as they have been created by several surveyors and some may have been put in-place prior to them establishing this number.

I also need an education as to what a SOX violation is?

Thanks for bringing up your concerns and we are here for you to work on getting the answers you need regarding this process. Also to Eric or Peg, please feel free to speak on something I may have not gotten correct here or if there is someone else we can add in that may bring further clarity to [REDACTED] concerns.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 3:04 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4

Hi [REDACTED]

Prior to our 'HGR/Forecasting' meeting on Monday I would like to better understand where the [REDACTED] PO resides? There are no PO's at all associated with PH's 1.1, 1.3, and 1.4.....this leads me to believe that the PO is someone else's responsibility and the monies will be KB62'd to my project. This is all fine with me so long as I am aware of any charges/monies being transferred at least one month prior so I can accurately forecast those amounts.

Please share what you know as we may need to the owner of the PO to also attend my Monday meeting,

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 4:08 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4

Sweet news... thanks [REDACTED]

t

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 4:07 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4

Hi [REDACTED]

I thought this had been entered into the Tracker, sorry about that oversight. The previous delivery date was 5-14-21, but we have pushed for this to be moved up to 5-01-21. Also, thank you for the additional contact information I will forward it on to the surveyors.

Thanks again.


From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 3:52 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4

Hi [REDACTED]

Do you have 'line of sight' as to when the basemap will be completed on all of the underground scoped subject projects...be nice to put a date in the DOT.

I'm also attaching contact info needed for entering the Iron Mountain Mine..... if the surveyors need.

Please advise,



Pacific Gas and

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 12:30 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4

Approved.

Respectfully,

[REDACTED]

Pacific Gas and
Electric Company

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 12:29 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4

Hey [REDACTED]

I know you approved this on the call earlier, but just for my records when you get a chance can you send me an email approving the SOW and cost and I will give the Survey Contractor the go ahead to get started on this one.

Thanks and sorry to bug you I know you slammed.

Courtney

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2021 4:01 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1, 1.3 &1.4 -

Hi [REDACTED]

Here is the survey cost and scope for the Keswick project, let me know if everything looks good and I will work with the contractor to get this moving. The survey proposal cost for segments 1,3 & 4 is \$58,071 to create the base map for underground design. Let me know if it looks like we have covered the correct scope and if we have the approval to get the contractor the go ahead to start work on this one. ■

Thanks !

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 4:21 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1

Hi [REDACTED]

I look forward to working with you on this project. I have reviewed your kmz files and think you have your scope correctly demarcated...my only request is that we survey at least 50' beyond each end-point so we will hopefully avoid any 'go-backs'.

When known, will you please let me know when you expect to have a completed base-map?

Thanks in advance,

[REDACTED]
*Pacific Gas and
Electric Company*

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2021 6:07 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: CWSP - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586 PH 1.1

Hi [REDACTED]

I have been assigned as the Land Surveyor for this project so I wanted to make sure that I have a good handle on the scope and that I found the most up to date files. I downloaded from SAP what looks to be the most recent KMZ /key sketch showing the preferred scope and then made a new file showing what I understand the scope for what needs to be surveyed for the underground base map.

Let me know if I have missed anything, and I will get this sent out to a contractor for a proposal for the base mapping.

Thanks for your help.

[REDACTED]
Pacific Gas and Electric
[REDACTED]