Wildfire Risk Governance Committee

System Hardening Project Approvals

February 2, 2021

oday's c mote gi	liscuss rid proj	ion will inclue ects to be so	de mitigation oped for 202	recommenda 1	itions, as we	II as addition	al
	The following 3 projects have recommended mitigations:						
o	order No.	CPZ	Work Bucket	Total MAVF Core Risk Value	Mean MAVF Core Risk Rank	Recommendation	WGC Request
wo	GC Decis	ion					
0							Decision
2							Decision
3							
o	order No.	CPZ	Work Bucket	Total MAVF Core Risk Value	Mean MAVF Core Risk Rank	Recommendation	WGC Request
wo	GC Inform	<u>n</u>					
0		Middletown 1101118494	CWSP Top 250	6.97	23	Overhead (1.15 mi)	Inform
2		Middletown 1101481876	CWSP Top 250	6.55	38	Overhead (0.85 mi)	Inform
3		Potter Valley PH 1105 LR 64118	CWSP Top 250	44.7	43	Hybrid: OH - 1.68 mi UG - 0.15 mi	Inform
						CONFIDENTIAL	- FOR INTERNAL DISCUSSIO



Inform: CWSP Top 250 Miles – PM

Middletown 1101 LR 118494

	Middletown 1101 (1.15 miles)	No System Hardening	Overhead Hardening	Under-Grounding	Hybrid
	Project Scope Risk Reduced After Mitigation	-	4.32	6.90	5.50
	Project Scope Residual Risk Value	6.97	2.65	0.07	1.47
	Overall Miles Installed	1.15 Existing OH	1.15	1.60	1.33
	OH System Hardening Cost sk-mile	-	1120	100	100
	UG System Hardening Cost ///////////////////////////////////	-			
	Line Removal Cost	-			
	Total Capital Cost (AACE Class 5)				
	Average O&M Cost (per year)				
	NPV @ 6.8% discount rate				
Primary	\$ NPV per unit of rise (RSE)				
Filter	PSS Preference (Ingress/egress/fire history)		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Secondary Filter	Strike Tree Potential	High Fall-In Risk	Moderate Fall-In Risk	No Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk
	Ingress / Egress	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	PSPS Mitigation (15 custs * 1 event)	15 (0%)	15 (0%)	15 (0%)	15 (0%)
	Execution timeline (2021, 2022, 2022+)		2021	2022+	2022+
	Other (Operational Considerations, etc.)		Preferred	Not Preferred	Not Preferred

Public Safety Specialist: The fuel type in this project area is mostly grass cak woodland with some brush and grey pine near the substation and around a couple residences nearby. Overall fuel loading for this area range from light to medium. This project area resides in a burn scar from the Valley fire in 2015 and lead/decadent fuel remains in some areas. Population density around Middletown proper is considered to be in the medium range (1200) however the actual project location is just East of Middletown about 2 miles.
 Strike Tree Potential: Moderate (5-15) tree strike potential in this segment does not suggest UG hardening is required.

Strike Tree Proteinal: Moderate (5-15) the strike potential in this segment does not suggest US inaterning is required.
 Egress Considerations: No major egress concern
 PSPS Mitigation: No mitigation potential due to limited scope of this hardening project; no critical / essential customers in this segment. To achieve PSPS reductions, additional scope would have to be included
 Execution Timeline (Land/Bio/cuttural/Constructability): Work required during the dry season (May 15 – Oct 15) and/or biomonitoring. No mitigation expenses expected as long as work is within the road ROW.
 Other (Operational Constructability): Work required during the dry season (May 15 – Oct 15) and/or biomonitoring. No mitigation expenses expected as long as work is within the road ROW.
 Other (Operational Constructability): Work required during the dry season (May 15 – Oct 15) and/or biomonitoring. No mitigation expenses expected as long as work is within the road ROW.
 other (Operational Constructability): Work required during the dry season (May 15 – Oct 15) and/or biomonitoring. No mitigation expenses expected as long as work is within the road ROW.
 other (Operational Constituentiability): Work required during the dry season (May 15 – Oct 15) and/or biomonitoring. No mitigation expenses expected as long as work is within the road ROW.
 other (Operational Constituentiability): Work required during the dry season as sub-structures due to narrow roadway. Hybrid & UG alternatives also not preferred due to history of difficulty working with
 customer who owns property in much of job boundaries.

Confidential



Inform: CWSP Top 250 Miles – PM

Middletown 1101 LR 481876

	Braject Scope Bick Beduced After Mitigation		4.06	6.48	5 19
	Project Scope Risk Reduced Alter Mitigation	6 5 5	2.49	0.48	1 36
	Project scope Residual Risk Value	0.00	2.175	0.07	1.50
	Overall Miles Installed	0.85 Existing OH	0.85	0.95	0.93
	OH System Hardening Cost ///////////////////////////////////	-			
	UG System Hardening Cost /risk-mile	-			
	Line Removal Cost	-			
	Total Capital Cost (AACE Class 5)				
	Average O&M Cost (per year)				
	NPV @ 6.8% discount rate				
n.t	\$ NPV per unit of rise (RSE)				
Primary Filter	PSS Preference (Ingress/egress/fire history)		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	Strike Tree Potential	Moderate Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk	No Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk
Secondary Filter	Ingress / Egress	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	PSPS Mitigation (9 custs * 1 event)	9 (0%)	9 (0%)	9 (0%)	9 (0%)
	Execution timeline (2021, 2022, 2022+)		2021	2022+	2022
	Other (Operational Considerations, etc.)		Recommended		

remains in some areas. Population density around Middletown proper is considered to ce in the meaning in range (12/04) nowever units project location is approximating to miles to the north where population density around where around where population density around around a structure population density around where population density around where population density around where population density around where around around

Confidential

CONFIDENTIAL - FOR INTERNAL DISCUSSION



Inform: CWSP Top 250 Miles - PM

Potter Valley PH 1105 LR 64118

	Potter Valley PH 1105 (1.8 miles)	No System Hardening	Overhead Hardening	Under-Grounding	Hybrid
	Project Scope Risk Reduced After Mitigation	-	27.72	44.26	29.08
	Project Scope Residual Risk Value	44.70	16.99	0.45	15.62
	Overall Miles Installed	1.80 Existing OH	1.80	1 90	1.83
	OH System Hardening Cost	-	1.00	2100	2100
	UG System Hardening Cost	-			
	Line Removal Cost	-			
	Total Capital Cost (AACE Class 5)				
	Average O&M Cost (per year)				
	NPV @ 6.8% discount rate				
Primary	\$ NPV per unit of rise (RSE)				
Filter	PSS Preference (Ingress/egress/fire history)	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	Strike Tree Potential	Low Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk	No Fall-In Risk	Low Fall-In Risk
Secondary	Ingress / Egress	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
	PSPS Mitigation (197 custs * 1 event)	197 (0%)	197 (0%)	0 (100%)	15 (92%)
THE	Execution timeline (2021, 2022, 2022+)		2021	2022+	2022
	Other (Operational Considerations, etc.)				Recommended

Supporting Detail for Recommended Alternative (EDRS Link 2021-03822):

Public Safety Specialist: The fuel type in the project area is predominantly grass/dak woodland with some pockets of brush and scattered confer that border agricultural land in the Valley. Population Density around the community of Potter Valley is consideration to be moderate at 650.
Strike Tree Potential: Stip Original active trees. LOW (0.5) tree strike potential in this segment does not suggest UG hardening is required.
Egress Considerations: No major sgrass concem
See Set Strike Tree Potential: Stip Original active trees. LOW (0.5) tree strike potential is in the valley. Bound of Hybrid All is at LR 4118, which is right outside of station and allows the mainline to remain energized
See Set Strike Tree for adjustment to the control Hybrid All is at LR 4118, which is right outside of station and allows the mainline to remain energized
See Set Strike Tree and Columate Contractuability: Stock post with potential subtice hasheld for fruicoleed blackbrid are found within the project vicinity. Recommend July - March. Also Northern Spotted Owi habitat is located in the northernmost portion of the project alignment. If work is to occur during the March 116 July 15, a Bio led survey should be expected. Also multiple waterways potentially needing permitting. Two cutural ESAs have been identified within project corprint. Archaeological momenting and cherr PRM will be necessary. Extensive ground distributes is increated within the Cutural ESAs. The Project EFS shall be contacted 60 days prior to excavation to cordinate mitigating measures including soil sampling, analysis, and dsposal activities.