From:

To:

Sent:

CC:

1/21/2021 5:23:20 PM

Subject:

RE: Action Item: System Hardening processes

Attachments: Base vs Fire Rebuild Unit Cost.ppt; ORD130 SH and BR 2020 Unit cost by major CE r1.xlsx

Revised slide and analysis attached

From:

Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 10:05 PM

To:

Subject: RE: Action Item: System Hardening processes

See attached draft slide for your review. I leveraged the unit cost analysis we just performed as the basis (attached e-mail).

Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 8:57 AM

To:

Subject: RE: Action Item: System Hardening processes

I will target COB today to give Justin some time for any of his input.

@pge.com> From: Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 8:11 AM

@pge.com> To:

Subject: Re: Action Item: System Hardening processes

Hi

Can you send me the slides to review by tomorrow at 11am?

Get Outlook for iOS

@pge.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 1:52:41 AM

To:

Subject: Action Item: System Hardening processes

A few questions on this action item from the xxx Work Execution meeting:

Action

Evaluate the differences in process between System Hardening done for Rebuild versus System Hardening done for routine work. Determine possible efficiencies which may help to lower cost / mile and present a deep dive on those findings / opportunities in the January 22 meeting.

Will you have a slide to add to the deck this week? Or, is this just a discussion during the meeting? If there is a slide, is it possible to have it by Thursday afternoon to incorporate it into the master deck? Let me know your thoughts.

Thanks,

| PG&E Electric Operations
| MPP Core Programs | Work Execution PMO
| mobile | @pge.com