From: To: CC: Sent: 1/12/2021 9:07:50 AM Subject: RE: SH Scoping meetings this week Thank you for this coordination! Let's talk offline as said the DSDD makes everything more complicated now. From: Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:02 AM To: Cc: **Subject:** RE: SH Scoping meetings this week NO, I believe Estimating still prefers it because of all the detailed information required on the DSDD. Specific Pole locations, Heights, etc. You may want to converse with to determine what is specifically needed for DSDD so we can prepare for it as well. Thank You, Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 8:57 AM To: Cc: **Subject:** RE: SH Scoping meetings this week OH hardening in CT R/W does not require a base map. Would you like me to remove the two projects below from the base map list?

contemplated as precautionary measures intended to reduce future wildfire risk.

^{*} Following the 2017 and 2018 wildfires, some of the changes included in this discussion are

From: Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 8:51 AM Cc: **Subject:** RE: SH Scoping meetings this week OK, Go ahead and get started on Base Maps. Here are a few that do not have UG (unless something changes) but are within CALTRANS ROW. SH - UPPER LAKE 1101 CB SH - KONOCTI 1102 LR 965078 Thank You, From: Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 7:32 AM Subject: RE: SH Scoping meetings this week Of course. Good luck today! * Following the 2017 and 2018 wildfires, some of the changes included in this discussion are contemplated as precautionary measures intended to reduce future wildfire risk. From: Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 7:31 AM To: Cc: Subject: RE: SH Scoping meetings this week Let me answer this question tomorrow. We have our first Wildfire Governance Committee meeting today to discuss finalized scopes on a few projects. I would like to see how it goes and get a comfortable feeling that the scope we proposed remains relatively the same. Thank You,

From:
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 7:26 AM
To:
Cc:

Subject: RE: SH Scoping meetings this week

Thank you. This makes it much easier.

Do you approve starting base maps for all of these projects, including Middletown 1101?



* Following the 2017 and 2018 wildfires, some of the changes included in this discussion are contemplated as precautionary measures intended to reduce future wildfire risk.

From:

Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 7:19 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject: RE: SH Scoping meetings this week

Here are all the projects that have UG on them

ECOP - SILVERADO 2104 - H05-LR726
ECOP - LAS GALLINAS A 1105 - H01-LR99904
ECOP - FULTON 1107 - H02-LR604
ECOP - MIDDLETOWN 1101 - H16-LR48212 Ph2
ECOP - MIDDLETOWN 1101 - H16-LR48212 Ph1
ECOP - CLAYTON 2212 - H01-LR2951
SH - BUCKS CREEK 1101 CB
SH - KESWICK 1101 LR 1586
SH - UPPER LAKE 1101 CB
SH - KONOCTI 1102 LR 965078
ECOP - DIAMOND SPRINGS 1107 - H01-LR1402
ECOP - DIAMOND SPRINGS 1107 - H02-LR1402
CWSP - SHINGLE SPRINGS 2109-LR 9372-PH1
CWSP - SHINGLE SPRINGS 2109-LR 9372-PH2
CWSP - SHINGLE SPRINGS 2109-LR 9372-PH3
ECOP - PUEBLO 2102 - H01-LR792
SH - MIDDLETOWN 1102 - LR 302610
SH - MIDDLETOWN 1103 LR 830 PH1
SH - MIDDLETOWN 1103 - LR 830 PH2

SH - MIDDLETOWN 1103 - LR 830 PH3
SH - MIDDLTOWN 1103 - LR 830 PH4
SH - MARIPOSA 2102 LR 241564
FROGTOWN 1701 - 3115FT RECOND HFTD TIER2
CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072
CWSP-COLUMBIA HILL 1101-TS8233-PH1.1
RECONDUCTOR DIAMOND SPRINGS 1105
ECOP - MIDDLETOWN 1101 - H12 - LR548-PH1
ECOP - MIDDLETOWN 1101 - H12 - LR548-PH2
ECOP - MIDDLETOWN 1101 - H12 - LR548-PH3

Thank You,

From:

Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 7:17 AM

To: Cc:

Subject: SH Scoping meetings this week

Good morning

I am compiling a list of all the projects scoped this week that require base maps for city/county encroachment permits and UG in CT R/W. Land Department would like to get a jump start on creating the base maps, even though the routes may not be finalized and approved.

Please assist in pointing out which projects you would like Land Dept to start creating base maps this week to ensure a complete list and help reduce lead times.

Thank you!



^{*} Following the 2017 and 2018 wildfires, some of the changes included in this discussion are contemplated as precautionary measures intended to reduce future wildfire risk.